

REFERENCE

IC/77/55



**INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR
THEORETICAL PHYSICS**

GAUGING THE GRADED CONFORMAL GROUP

WITH UNITARY INTERNAL SYMMETRIES

S. Ferrara

M. Kaku

P. van Nieuwenhuizen

and

P.K. Townsend




**INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY**



**UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

1977 MIRAMARE-TRIESTE



International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

GAUGING THE GRADED CONFORMAL GROUP WITH UNITARY INTERNAL SYMMETRIES †

S. Ferrara ††

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy,
and
Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Rome, Italy,

M. Kaku *

Department of Physics, City College of CUNY, New York, NY, USA.

P.K. Townsend **

Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York
at Stony Brook, Long Island, NY, USA,

and

P. van Nieuwenhuizen **

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy,
and
Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York
at Stony Brook, Long Island, NY, USA.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

June 1977

† To be submitted for publication.

†† Address after 1 September 1977: CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

* Supported in part by Grant No.SUNY-FRT-11450 and NSF Grant No.PHY-77-03354.

** Supported by NSF Grant No.PHY-76-15328.



ABSTRACT

Gauge theories for extended $SU(N)$ conformal supergravity are constructed which are invariant under local scale, chiral, proper conformal, supersymmetry and internal $SU(N)$ transformations. The relation between intrinsic parity and symmetry properties of their generators of the internal vector mesons is established. These theories contain no cosmological constants, but technical problems inherent to higher derivative actions are pointed out.

I. INTRODUCTION

Supergravity theory ¹⁾ in ordinary four-dimensional space-time, by which we mean the gauge theory of the graded Poincaré or de Sitter group, has the great advantage over super-Riemannian ²⁾ theory that it is formulated in terms of relatively few fields. Matter, however, is not unified with the spin-2 and spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ gauge fields of supergravity from the start but must be added, in a locally supersymmetric way.

Investigations into the renormalizability of such matter-supergravity systems have revealed that they consist of two classes: 1) models which are at least one- ³⁾ and two-loop ⁴⁾ finite and contain a global internal $O(N)$ symmetry (for example, the $O(2)$ model ⁵⁾), and 2) non-renormalizable models such as the Maxwell-Einstein system ⁶⁾. The $O(N)$ internal symmetry can be gauged provided a cosmological term and an apparent mass term for the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ gauge field are suitably added to the Lagrangian ⁷⁾. However, in this case the corresponding field theory does not have a direct particle interpretation in Minkowski space $IO(3,1)$ but rather in $O(3,2)$ de Sitter space ⁸⁾. From a pure geometrical point of view, these $O(N)$ theories can be regarded as gauge theories of the simple graded Lie algebra $O\text{Sp}(4,N)$ ⁹⁾ and in fact two of them ($N = 1,2$) have been re-obtained using an entirely geometrical procedure ^{10),11)}. After a group contraction (in the limit of infinite de Sitter radius!) $O(3,2)$ limits to $IO(3,1)$ and $O(N)$ to $\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$ central $U(1)$ charges. In this way, the usual supergravity theories (with the non-semisimple graded Poincaré group as the gauged group) can be re-derived.

The change in the internal symmetry of the gauged group can be understood simply by noting that the dimensionless $O(N)$ gauge coupling g , given by the ratio of the Newton constant and of the de Sitter radius, vanishes after group contraction. For $N > 8$ the $O(N)$ models contain particles with helicities exceeding 2 and it is not clear whether such theories are viable. For $n \leq 8$, on the other hand, the groups $O(N)$ do not contain $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ as a subgroup which is commonly thought to be the minimal gauge group of the non-gravitational interactions. Consequently it becomes a serious problem for supergravity to be regarded as a truly unified theory of Nature. Another problem is connected with the presence of a cosmological term which does not allow a standard quantization procedure for the class of theories with a gauged $O(N)$ symmetry.

In this article we present an investigation which might be a step towards solving these problems. It is known that the graded conformal group allows $U(N)$ internal symmetries rather than $O(N)$.¹²⁾ Gauging these $SU(2,2|N)$ graded groups⁹⁾ for $N \geq 5$ one would obtain supersymmetric actions with an internal $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ gauged symmetry. Moreover, in these theories no cosmological term is present and quantization in asymptotically flat space is possible.

These models look particularly interesting because a complete fusion is achieved among internal and space-time symmetries. In fact the $U(N)$ dimensionless coupling constant coincides with the dimensionless gravitational coupling of the Weyl action, which describes the pure spin-2 sector of these gauged superconformal theories. This is to be contrasted with gauged $OSP(4,N)$ graded Lie groups in which two unrelated couplings are present: the Newton constant and the de Sitter radius.

Recently three of us constructed a gauge theory for $U(1)$ superconformal group¹³⁾. The resulting complete action contains the Weyl action for the spin-2 part, together with terms describing one spin- $\frac{3}{2}$, one axial vector boson and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field. The occurrence of the higher derivative Weyl action is expected, as it is the only locally scale invariant gravitational action without dimensional constants. Although it is manifestly renormalizable¹⁴⁾, it contains ghosts and, from the known relations between non-unitarity and non-renormalizability in gauge theories, we realize that such theories are equivalent to non-renormalizable theories. Nevertheless, if the ghost problem could be dealt with in the future, these theories might become realistic.

We present a gauge theory for the graded conformal group with $U(N)$ internal symmetry, following the approach of MacDowell and Mansouri¹⁰⁾. This implies that we gauge the conformal and superconformal generators K and S , as well as the generators of chiral transformations A , dilatations D and the graded Poincaré group M, P, Q . In this sense our approach differs from that of Freund *et al.*¹⁵⁾ who do not gauge K and S . Another difference is that we work in ordinary space, as a result of which we do not obtain the large number of fields due to expanding the scale parameters in fermionic co-ordinates. However, as in Ref.13, we find that the gauge fields of K and S (and of course of M) are non-propagating and can be eliminated explicitly from the theory. The gauge theory below thus follows straightforwardly from the graded Lie algebra of the group $SU(2,2|N)$, the only non-trivial aspect being the choice of constraints and their solution such that they are compatible with the equations of motion.

Our conventions are $\eta_{ab} = (+++-)$, $\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}$, where γ^a are real and the charge conjugation matrix $C = \gamma^0$. $\gamma_5 = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4$ with $\gamma_4 = i\gamma_0$, hence γ_5 is imaginary, antisymmetric, hermitian, and

$\gamma_5^2 = +1$. We emphasize that this article draws heavily upon Ref.10 and is a continuation of Ref.13. Many of the details used below are explained there.

II. GRADED LIE ALGEBRA OF $SU(2,2|N)$

The generators of $SU(2,2|N)$ consist of the 15 generators P^a, K^a, M^{ab} and D of the ordinary conformal group C , together with the generators A of $U(1)$ and G^i of $SU(N)$, and finally, the $8N$ spinorial charges Q_α^i and S_α^i with $i = 1, N$ and $\alpha = 1, 4$. An explicit $(4+N) \times (4+N)$ matrix representation is given by matrices whose diagonals consist of (4×4) and $(N \times N)$ blocks. For the bosonic generators¹⁶⁾

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} P, K, M, D & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad A = -\frac{i}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{N} & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad G^i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \tau^i \end{array} \right), \quad (1)$$

with $P^a = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^a (1 - \gamma_5)$, $K^a = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^a (1 + \gamma_5)$, $M^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^a \gamma^b - \gamma^b \gamma^a) \equiv \sigma^{ab}$ and $D = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5$. The $N \times N$ matrices τ^i are generalizations of the usual $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ representations. The $(N-1)N$ off-diagonal matrices have only two non-zero entries while the normalization of the N diagonal matrices is explained after Eq.(6). They are of the form:

$$\tau^i = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{i}{2} \\ \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \\ +\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right), \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{i}{N} & \dots & i(1-N)/N & 0 \\ & & 0 & \dots & \frac{i}{N} \end{array} \right), \quad (2)$$

except for the case $N = 2$, where the traceless diagonal matrix has entries $(-\frac{i}{2}, +\frac{i}{2})$. The matrices Q_α^i, S_α^i have non-vanishing entries only in the $(4+i)^{th}$ row and column where they are given by

$$Q_\alpha^i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -\left[\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right] C & \\ \hline \left(\frac{\gamma_5 - 1}{2} \right)_\alpha & \end{array} \right) \cdot (S_\alpha^i) = -(Q_\alpha^i)^* \quad (3)$$

The dot takes the value n in the n^{th} column and row. All generators have zero graded trace⁹⁾. For $N = 4$, A becomes only a central charge, appearing in anticommutation relations for $\{Q, S\}$. This result is known¹²⁾.

From the (anti) commutation relations we abstract the graded Lie algebra for $SU(2, 2|N)$. For the bosonic sector one finds

$$\begin{aligned} [M^{ab}, P^c] &= \eta^{bc} P^a - \eta^{ac} P^b, \quad \text{idem for } K, \\ [M^{ab}, M^{cd}] &= \eta^{bc} M^{ad} + 3 \text{ more terms}, \\ [P^a, D] &= P^a, \quad [K^a, D] = -K^a, \\ [P^a, K^b] &= 2(\eta^{ab} D - M^{ab}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The boson and fermion generators satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [S_b^m, P^a] &= (\gamma^a)_b^c Q_c^m, \quad [S_a^m, A] = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{4}{N} - 1 \right) (\gamma^5)_a^b S_b^m, \\ [Q_b^m, K^a] &= (\gamma^a)_b^c S_c^m, \quad [Q_a^m, A] = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{4}{N} - 1 \right) (\gamma^5)_a^b Q_b^m, \\ [S_a^m, D] &= -\frac{1}{2} S_a^m, \quad [Q_a^m, M^{bc}] = (\sigma^{bc})_a^d Q_d^m, \\ [S_a^m, D] &= \frac{1}{2} Q_a^m, \quad [S_a^m, M^{bc}] = (\sigma^{bc})_a^d S_d^m, \\ [Q_a^m, G^i] &= (\gamma_5)_a^b (\tau_S^i)^{mn} Q_b^n + (\tau_A^i)^{mn} Q_a^n, \\ [S_a^m, G^i] &= -(\gamma_5)_a^b (\tau_S^i)^{mn} S_b^n + (\tau_A^i)^{mn} S_a^n, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

while for the anticommutators one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_c^m, Q_d^n\} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\gamma^a C)_{cd} \delta^{mn} P_a, \\ \{S_c^m, S_d^n\} &= \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^a C)_{cd} \delta^{mn} K_a, \\ \{Q_c^m, S_d^n\} &= \delta^{mn} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} C_{cd} D + (\sigma^{ab} C)_{cd} M_{ab} \right\} + (i\gamma_5 C)_{cd} \delta^{mn} A \\ &\quad + (i\gamma_5 C)_{cd} (2i\tau_S^i)^{mn} G_S^i + C_{cd} (-2\tau_A^i)^{mn} G_A^i. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Summation over pairs (ab) is for $a > b$ only. The only N -dependence is in the $[Q, A]$ and $[S, A]$ commutators since the N dependence in $\{Q, S\}$ is eliminated by our choice of normalization of A and τ^i . The indices S and A in the last commutator indicate that only (anti) symmetric matrices τ^i and generators G^i are to be taken. Clearly, $\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$ internal symmetry generators have even intrinsic parity; they correspond to the residual $O(N)$ symmetry of the graded subalgebra $OSp(4, N)$ which is contained in $SU(2, 2|N)$ ¹⁷⁾. The remaining $\frac{1}{2} N(N+1)$ generators with symmetric structure constants in (m, n) have odd intrinsic parity.

More manifestly covariant formulae are obtained by considering the chiral projections of Q and S

$$Q_{a,L}^m = \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right)_{ab} Q_b^m, \quad Q_{a,R}^m = \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right)_{ab} Q_b^m, \quad \text{idem } S_L, S_R. \quad (7)$$

In terms of these, one finds that Q_L and S_R (and Q_R and S_L) commute while

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_{a,L}^m, G^i] &= (\tau^i)^{mn} Q_{a,L}^n, \quad [S_{a,L}^m, G^i] = -(\tau^i)^{nm} S_{a,L}^n, \\ [Q_{a,R}^m, G^i] &= -(\tau^i)^{nm} Q_{a,R}^m, \quad [S_{a,R}^m, G^i] = (\tau^i)^{mn} S_{a,R}^n, \\ \{Q_{a,L}^m, S_{b,L}^n\} &= \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right)_{ab} \left[\delta^{mn} \left(\frac{1}{2} CD + \sigma^{cd} C_{cd} + C_{cd} A \right) - 2(\tau^i)^{mn} CG^i \right]_{ab}, \\ \{Q_{a,R}^m, S_{b,R}^n\} &= \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right)_{ab} \left[\delta^{mn} \left(\frac{1}{2} CD + \sigma^{cd} C_{cd} - C_{cd} A \right) + 2(\tau^i)^{nm} CG^i \right]_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus Q_L^m and S_R^m transform according to the vector representation of $SU(N)$, and Q_R^m and S_L^m transform according to the complex conjugated vector representation. For these complex charges we define a barred symbol as usual: $\bar{Q}_L^m = (Q_L^m)^\dagger \gamma^4$. For Majorana charges Q^m one then has the relation

$$C \bar{Q}_R^{m\Gamma} = iQ_L^m, \quad C \bar{Q}_L^{m\Gamma} = iQ_R^m, \quad \text{idem } S^m. \quad (9)$$

III. CURVATURES

From the structure constants we form the curvatures,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^A &= -\partial_\mu h_\nu^A + \partial_\nu h_\mu^A + f_{BC}^A h_\nu^B h_\mu^C, \\ [O_A, O_B] &= f_{BA}^C O_C, \\ h_\mu^A &= e_\mu^a, f_\mu^a, \omega_\mu^{ab}, B_\mu, \bar{\psi}_\mu^{a,m}, \bar{\varphi}_\mu^{a,m}, A_\mu, \Lambda_\mu^i, \\ O_A &= P^a, K^a, M^{ab}, D, Q_a^m, S_a^m, A, G^i \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

(see Table I). The action we take is the most general geometrical, parity-conserving action without dimensional constant, to which we add the Maxwell actions for the internal symmetry gauge fields.

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} & \left[\alpha \left(R_{\mu\nu}(M)^{ab} R_{\rho\sigma}(M)^{cd} \right) \epsilon_{abcd} + \beta \left(R_{\mu\nu,L}(S)^{ma} R_{\mu\nu,L}(Q)^{mb} + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + R_{\mu\nu,R}(S)^{ma} R_{\mu\nu,R}(Q)^{mb} \right) (\gamma_5^C)_{ab} + \gamma R_{\mu\nu}(A) R_{\rho\sigma}(D) \right] + \\ & + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \left[\delta R_{\mu\nu}(A) R_{\rho\sigma}(A) + \eta R_{\mu\nu}(G^i) R_{\rho\sigma}(G^i) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This is the same action as in the U(1) case¹³⁾ except for the Maxwell terms for the SU(N) generators.

The curvatures transform under gauge transformations according to the adjoint representation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta h_\mu^A &= D_\mu \epsilon^A \equiv \partial_\mu \epsilon^A + f_{BC}^A h_\mu^B \epsilon^C, \\ \delta R_{\mu\nu}^A &= f_{BC}^A R_{\mu\nu}^B \epsilon^C. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

It follows at once that the action is invariant under M,D,A and Gⁱ transformations. It is not invariant under P but it is invariant under general co-ordinate transformations by construction, whose relation to P transformations with parameter $\epsilon^P \equiv \xi^a$ is given by

-7-

$$\delta_{\text{gen.}(\xi)}^{\text{co-ord.}} h_\mu^A = D_\mu (\xi^a \xi^v) + R_{\mu\nu}^A \xi^v. \quad (13)$$

As in the U(1) case, one can impose the following constraints:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^P = 0, \quad R_{\mu\nu}^Q + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^Q = 0. \quad (14)$$

which expresses ω in terms of e, ψ and B , and ϕ_μ^n in terms of $\psi_\mu^n, \omega(e, \psi, B), e, B, A$ and a new spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field χ^n . The transformation law of the no longer independent fields ω and ϕ_μ^n under M,A,D,K,S and Gⁱ transformations is the same as the original gauge transformation law in (12), provided one fixes the transformation laws for χ^n appropriately. It follows that one can use the rotation laws of curvatures in (12) to investigate the invariance of (11) under M,A,D,K,S and Gⁱ gauge transformations. Invariance under M,A,D,K and Gⁱ is manifest, while in the variation δI under S transformations the MQ curvatures cancel if $\beta = -8\alpha$ and the QD curvatures cancel if $\beta = 2i\gamma$. The AQ terms obtained from the β, γ and δ terms cancel upon using (14) if $\delta = -8\alpha/N$. The $R(\Lambda^i) R(Q)$ terms cancel if $\beta = 2\eta$.

Thus the action in (11) is M,A,D,K,S and Gⁱ gauge invariant as well as generally co-ordinate invariant if

$$\beta = -8\alpha = 2i\gamma = \delta N = 2\eta. \quad (15)$$

The field of special conformal gauge transformations can be eliminated from the action upon using its field equation. In the purely bosonic conformal group the resulting action was the Weyl action $R_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{3} R^2$.¹³⁾ Here the f^2 and $e f R(A)$ terms in $R(L) R(L)$ and $R(A) R(D)$ in the action contribute also to the kinetic terms of the axial boson. After eliminating the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields χ^n from the action by fixing the S gauges appropriately¹³⁾, the kinetic terms for the A, Λ^i, e and ψ fields are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\text{kin}} &= 2\alpha \left(1 - \frac{4}{N} \right) F_{\mu\nu}^2(A) - 4\alpha F_{\mu\nu}^2(\Lambda^i) \\ &+ 8\alpha \left(R_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \right) - 3\alpha \bar{\psi}_\mu \left(\not{\partial} \not{\partial} g_{\mu\nu} - \not{\partial} \not{\partial} \partial_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu \partial_\rho \not{\partial} \right) \psi_\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For $N < 4$ the U(1) field has the same sign of kinetic term as the SU(N) fields. For $N = 4$ there is no kinetic term for A, and ψ and ϕ are U(1) singlets.

Therefore, there is no residual $U(1)$ symmetry for $N = 4$, since only terms of the form $F_{\mu\nu}(A) \bar{\psi}\psi$ could remain.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained the gauge theories of extended $U(N)$ conformal supergravity. This allows the application of supergravity to real nature, since the internal symmetry groups can describe weak, electromagnetic and strong unified field theories, but first it should be understood how to do quantum field theory with higher derivative actions. These theories are invariant under local dilatations, local proper conformal boosts, local chiral rotations, local $U(N)$ internal transformations and local S supersymmetry transformations, and of course under local Lorentz and general co-ordinate transformations. The algebra of the corresponding generators K, D, M, S, A and $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ closes. No non-geometrical terms were needed, only the two constraints $R(P) = R(Q) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \tilde{R}(Q) = 0$. Hence in these theories matter is truly unified with gauge fields, unlike in the $O(N)$ models in de Sitter space, where non-geometrical terms in the transformation law for ψ are needed. ¹¹⁾

The gauge fields ω, f, ϕ and B are non-propagating and were eliminated explicitly from the action. This was expected, since moments of local currents yield in general non-propagating fields, and M, K and D are related to the energy momentum Noether tensor and S to the Q supersymmetry current through moments of x^μ . ^{18), 19)} Explicit spin decomposition ²⁰⁾ of the spin-2 (Weyl) and (higher derivative) spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ kinetic terms reveals that they contain only spin-2 and $-\frac{3}{2}$ and not lower spin gauge components. Again this is to be expected, since globally conformal actions have the property of containing only the highest possible spin ²¹⁾.

We do not have (yet?) Q supersymmetry invariance. By adding curvatures to the local P transformations, one obtains general co-ordinate transformations and it might likewise be possible to obtain local Q invariance by adding curvatures to the local Q transformation laws. In superspace, P and Q play the same role, but here this is not so sure. There is only one spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field in the action, but one can have local invariances without accompanying gauge field (K, M, D , for example, in our case). Hence the situation with Q is not clear at the moment. In $SO(2)$ supergravity one

needs no non-geometrical spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ terms in the action, so that we expect our action to be unique at least for $U(2)$. For $U(N)$ with $N > 2$ there might be different actions, invariant under Q , or maybe our actions are already Q -invariant.

For $N = 4$ the explicit matrix representation given in the text shows that the $U(1)$ field A yields a central charge: it is present in the $\{Q, S\}$ anticommutator. However, one can omit central charges from a (graded) Lie algebra without violating the Jacobi identities. Hence the possibility for $N = 4$ to have $SU(4)$ or $U(4)$ can also be understood from this point of view. But our models can only realize $SU(4)$.

A most interesting aspect is the counting of states. In the $U(N)$ theories one has one spin-2 and N spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ higher derivative fields, one axial boson A from the chiral transformation, N spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ χ^n as residue from ϕ_μ^n after solving the Q constraints, and (N^2-1) vector bosons whose parity is even or odd depending on whether their generators are antisymmetric or symmetric. One should not attempt to put these fields in spin $(J, J + \frac{1}{2})$ multiplets since one deals with higher derivative theories. For example, the scalar multiplet with fields A, B, ψ, F and G becomes, upon adding a gradient to all fields, a higher derivative theory in which the scalars F and G become propagating and whose particle content is a higher derivative spin $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ multiplet and two propagating spin-0 bosons only. In fact, one might turn the argument around and speculate that the axial vector boson A and the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields χ^n correspond to non-propagating fields in ordinary supergravity. Signals that there is such an axial vector auxiliary field are known, ²²⁾ but whether the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ is another (the other?) auxiliary field is an interesting possibility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Two of the authors (S.F.) and (P.v.M.) would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, where part of this investigation was performed.

REFERENCES

- 1) D.Z. Freedman, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and S. Ferrara, Phys. Rev. D13, 3214 (1976);
S. Deser and B. Zumino, Phys. Letters B62, 335 (1976).
- 2) R. Arnowitt and P. Nath, Phys. Letters B56, 117 (1975);
P. Nath, Proc. Conf. on Gauge Theories and Modern Field Theory (MIT Press 1975).
- 3) M.T. Grisaru, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Phys. Rev. Letters 37, 1662 (1976);
S. Deser, J.H. Kay and K.S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. Letters 38, 527 (1977);
P. van Nieuwenhuizen and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Phys. Rev. D, to be published.
- 4) M.T. Grisaru, Phys. Letters B66, 75 (1977);
E. Tomboulis, Princeton preprint, 1976.
- 5) S. Ferrara and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. Letters 37, 1669 (1976).
- 6) S. Ferrara, J. Scherk and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. Letters 37, 1035 (1976);
P. van Nieuwenhuizen and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Phys. Letters B65, 263 (1976).
- 7) D.Z. Freedman and A. Das, Nucl. Phys. B120, 221 (1977);
P.K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. D, to be published.
- 8) S. Deser and B. Zumino, Brandeis preprint, 1977.
- 9) V.G. Kac, Functional Analysis and its Applications 2, 91 (1975);
P.G.O. Freund and I. Kaplansky, J. Math. Phys. 17, 228 (1976);
W. Nahm, V. Rittenberg and M. Scheunert, Phys. Letters B61, 383 (1976).
- 10) S.W. MacDowell and F. Mansouri, Phys. Rev. Letters 38, 739 (1977).
- 11) P.K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Letters B, to be published.
- 12) R. Haag, J.T. Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius, Nucl. Phys. B88, 257 (1975).
- 13) M. Kaku, P.K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Letters B, to be published, and in preparation.
- 14) K. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D, to be published.
- 15) J.C. Romao, A. Ferber and P.G.O. Freund, EFI 76/73;
A. Ferber and P.G.O. Freund, EFI 77/36.
- 16) G. Mack and A. Salam, Ann. Phys. (NY) 53, 174 (1969).
- 17) S. Ferrara, Phys. Letters, to be published.
- 18) C.G. Callan, S. Coleman and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. (NY) 59, 42 (1970).
- 19) S. Ferrara and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B87, 207 (1975).
- 20) P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B60, 478 (1973).
- 21) W. Ruhl, Commun. Math. Phys. 34, 149 (1973).
- 22) S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B117, 333 (1976).

Table I

Table of curvatures for SU(2,2|N)

$$R_{\mu\nu}^P = -2(\partial_\mu e_\nu^a - \omega_\mu^{ad} e_{d\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_\mu^n \gamma^a \psi_\nu^n + 2e_\mu^a B_\nu ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^K = -2(\partial_\mu f_\nu^a - \omega_\mu^{ad} f_{d\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\phi}_\mu^n \gamma^a \phi_\nu^n - 2f_\mu^a B_\nu ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^L{}^{ab} = -2(\partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{ab} + \omega_\nu^{ac} \omega_{\mu c}^b) - 8e_\mu^a f_\nu^b - 2\bar{\psi}_\mu^n \sigma^{ab} \phi_\nu^n ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^D = -\partial_\mu B_\nu + \partial_\nu B_\mu + 4e_\mu^a f_{a\nu} + \bar{\psi}_\mu^n \phi_\nu^n ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^A = -\partial_\mu A_\nu + \partial_\nu A_\mu - 2i\bar{\psi}_\mu^n \gamma_5 \phi_\nu^n ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu,L}(\mathcal{Q})^n = \left(D_\nu \bar{\psi}_\mu^n - D_\nu \bar{\psi}_\nu^n + \bar{\phi}_\mu^n \gamma_\nu - \bar{\phi}_\nu^n \gamma_\mu + B_\nu \bar{\psi}_\mu^n \right. \\ \left. - \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{k}{N} - 1 \right) A_\nu \bar{\psi}_\mu^n + 2A_\nu^i \bar{\psi}_\mu^m (\tau^i)_{mn} \right) \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu,L}(S)^n = \left(D_\nu \bar{\phi}_\mu^n - D_\nu \bar{\phi}_\nu^n - \bar{\psi}_\mu^n \gamma_a f_\nu^a + \bar{\psi}_\nu^n \gamma_a f_\mu^a - B_\nu \bar{\phi}_\mu^n \right. \\ \left. + \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{k}{N} - 1 \right) A_\nu \bar{\phi}_\mu^n - 2A_\nu^i \bar{\phi}_\mu^m (\tau^i)_{nm} \right) \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} ,$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{A^i} = -\partial_\mu A_\nu^i + \partial_\nu A_\mu^i + f_{kj}^i A_\nu^j A_\mu^k - \bar{\psi}_\nu^m (1 + \gamma_5) \phi_\mu^n (\tau^i)_{mn} \\ + \bar{\psi}_\nu^m (1 - \gamma_5) \phi_\mu^n (\tau^i)_{nm} .$$

All expressions are to be antisymmetric in μ, ν and $[\tau_i, \tau_j] = f_{ij}^k \tau_k$.
Note that f, B and ω are non-propagating fields.

- IC/76/60 K. ARYA and A.R. HASSAN: Excitonic molecule in semiconductors by two-photon absorption.
- IC/76/61* P. CHANDRA SEKHAR and P.V. PANTULU: The reversal symmetry and transport properties of magnetic crystals.
- IC/76/65 A. OSMAN: Repulsive core effects in (t,p) stripping reactions using a Tabakin potential.
- IC/76/66 P. CORDERO: Canonical formulation of the spherically-symmetric Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system for a general gauge group.
- IC/76/68* G. BASKARAN: Peierls instability in multivalley bands.
- IC/76/69 L. SATPATHY, K.W. SCHMID, S. KREWALD and A. FAESSLER: On the intrashell quartet states.
- IC/76/70* A.A. SABRY: Stability of the spherical form of nuclei.
- IC/76/72 A.Q. SARKER: Localized states in semiconductors - II: Arsenic in germanium.
- IC/76/77 A. OSMAN: Faddeev equations for the ${}^6\text{Li}$ nucleus.
- IC/76/80 R. GAMBINI and A. RESTUCCIA: Asymptotic radiative solutions with vanishing null energy.
- IC/76/83 A. OSMAN: Faddeev equations for deuteron breakup on alpha particles.
- IC/76/84 R. GAMBINI and S. HOJMAN: Electromagnetism in terms of its two degrees of freedom.
- IC/76/85* S.A. EK WAKIL and A. HAMED: Solution of neutron transport equation in cylindrical geometry.
- IC/76/86 N.F. NASRALLAH and K. SCHILCHER: Non-leptonic K decay rate in terms of spectral function integrals. A short distance approach.
- IC/76/87 M. HORTAÇSU: Confined solutions of the Thirring model coupled to a Schwinger field.
- IC/76/88* M.Y.A. YOUSSEF and S.A. EL WAKIL: Bellman-Krein formula for an integral equation with kernel of the type $k(x,y) = k(|x-y|)|x-y|^{-\alpha}$.
- IC/76/89 E. MAVROMMATIS: The Euler equation for the BDJ correlation function of a many-fermion system when a closed form energy expression is used.
- IC/76/90 P. BUDINI and P. FURLAN: Unified models and unitary symmetry.
- IC/76/91 A. OSMAN: Faddeev equations of separable potentials with attraction and repulsion.
- IC/76/92* Informal meeting on spontaneous symmetry breaking - 7-9 April 1976 (Contributions).
- IC/76/93* S.M.M.R. CHOWDHURY and SAIFUL HUG: Specific heat anomalies of amorphous arsenic and germania between 1°K and 20°K .
- IC/76/94 K. TAHIR SHAH: A general qualitative theory of conservation laws; their violation and other spontaneous phenomena - I.
- IC/76/95 M. HORTAÇSU: Scattering solutions in a potential derived from the bound state solutions for the massive Thirring model.
- IC/76/96* M. PAVŠIČ and E. RESCAMI: How to recover causality for tachyons even in macrophysics.
- IC/76/97 Y. UEDA: New resonances in the linear chiral $\text{SU}(4) \times \text{SU}(4)$ model.
- IC/76/98 M.H.A. HASSAN: Anisotropic temperature relaxation of plasmas in an external magnetic field.
- IC/76/100* S.G. KAMATH: E1 transitions in the Harari quark model.
- IC/76/101* N. MOUSSA: On permanent heavy waves of two liquids flowing one upon the other.
- IC/76/102 S. DUBNIČKA, I. FURDIK and V.A. MESHCHERYAKOV: An analytic formula describing all data on the pion form factor.
- IC/76/103 S.M. WOJCIECHOWSKI: Completely integrable systems of N particles interacting on the real line.
- IC/76/104* S.A. BARAN: Study of deep inelastic eN scattering using colour excitation in a gauge theory.
- IC/76/105 A.A. LOGUNOV, M.A. MESTVIRISHVILI and V.A. PETROV: Behaviour of cross-sections for exclusive and inclusive processes at high energies.
- IC/76/106 J. MAHARANA and L.P. SINGH: A Regge pole approach to charmed meson production in neutrino scattering.
- IC/76/107 ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE: Hadronic temperature and black solitons.
- IC/76/108 C.P. SINGH and J. MAHARANA: Neutral current and diffractive production of vector mesons in neutrino scattering.
- IC/76/109 S. CIULLI, D. TRELEANI and C. VERZEGNASSI: Universal curve for Π - Π scattering lengths by optimal extrapolation techniques.
- IC/76/110 B.B. DEO: Dynamical symmetry breaking effects in quark gluon system.
- IC/76/111* J.K. PATNAIK and R. RAMANADHAM: Electric charge generation due to phase changes.
- IC/76/112* C.T.J. DODSON: b-boundary geometry of closed Friedmann universes.
- IC/76/113 A. BAIQUNI: An $O(4,2) \otimes O'(4,2)$ model for a Keplerian system with spin-orbit interaction.
- IC/76/114* N.S. BAAKLINI: New superalgebra and Goldstone spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ particle.
- IC/76/115* Y. YOKOO and T. KITAZOE: A model of weak interactions based on quark structure.

* Internal Reports: Limited distribution.

THESE PREPRINTS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE PUBLICATIONS OFFICE, ICTP, P.O. BOX 586, I-34100 TRIESTE, ITALY. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO WRITE TO THE AUTHORS.

- IC/76/116* F.D. ZAMAN: Perturbation of a biharmonic eigenvalue problem.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/117* V. ELIAS, J.C. PATI, ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE: Charged spin-1 gluons, parton model and the Archimedes effect.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/118* C.T.J. DODSON: Hazy manifolds.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/119 A. SCHORR: First-order phase transitions in a class of gauge theories.
- IC/76/120* S. CIULLI and C. VERZEGNASSI: Π - Π scattering at high complex energies.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/121* F.D. ZAMAN: Correlation function for certain composite materials.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/122* N. CH. PATIABHI RAMACHARYULU: A non-Darcian approach to flows through porous media.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/123 A. SCHORR: Improved Pati-Salam models.
- IC/76/124* D. PUSHKAROV and Kh. PUSHKAROV: Solitary defects in one-dimensional quantum crystals.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/125 ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE: A class of solutions for the strong gravity equations.
- IC/76/126* P. CAMPBELL and C.T.J. DODSON: Projective geometry for polarizations in geometric quantization.
INT.REP.
- IC/76/127* B.B. DEO: Radiative correction to β -decay in an $SU(4) \times U(1)$ unified model.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/1* C.T.J. DODSON: Spacetime edge geometry (Chapters I and II).
INT.REP.
- IC/77/2* C.T.J. DODSON: Spacetime edge geometry (Chapter III).
INT.REP.
- IC/77/3* S. ONO: Hadron mass spectrum in the quark model.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/4* H.P. STAPP: Are superluminal connections necessary?
INT.REP.
- IC/77/5* C.H. LEE: Massive spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ wave around a Kerr-Newman black hole.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/6 ABDUS SALAM: Gauge unification of basic forces particularly of gravitation with strong interactions [Lecture at Prof. Marshak's 60th birthday].
- IC/77/7* V. de ALFARO, S. FUBINI and G. FURLAN: Conformal invariant field theories in one spacetime dimension.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/8* H. INAGAKI: New classical solutions with fermion in conformal invariant field theories.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/9* A. GARCÍA, C.A. GARCÍA CANAL and L. MASPERI: The energy dependence of the ρ -trajectory intercept.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/10* J.K. PATNAIK: Droplet rotation in cloud processes and weather modification.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/11* N.S. BAAKLINI: Spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field and Cartan's geometry.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/12 A. OSMAN: Faddeev equations for the nuclear break-up process.
- IC/77/13* S.I. GRABRAKOV, N.I. PIATOV and D.I. SALAMOV: Effects of breaking the translational and galilean invariances of nuclear model Hamiltonians.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/14* G. DA COSTA: Statistical analysis of random pulse trains.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/15* V. RAMACHANDRAN: Theoretical considerations for the selection of electro-optic crystals for the grating-based laser beam modulators/deflectors.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/16* M. MOHAN and P. CHAND: Electron scattering in the presence of an intense electromagnetic field.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/17* W.C. FON: Elastic scattering of electrons by atomic hydrogen.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/18 Y. YOKOO: A vector-like $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory with neutral lepton oscillations and implication for the rare decay $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma$.
- IC/77/19* G. DA COSTA: Laser techniques applied to solve technological problems in developing countries.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/20 ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE: A steeply-rising potential in tensor gauge theory
- IC/77/21* A. SCHORR: Di-quark mechanism for di-lepton production.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/22* C.T.J. DODSON: Hazy spaces, tangent spaces, manifolds and groups.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/23* M. MOHAN: Electron impact excitation of the hydrogen atom in the presence of an intense laser beam.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/24 I. AHMAD: The e^+ inelastic scattering of high-energy pions on ^{12}C .
- IC/77/25 S. MUKHERJEE and S.S. CHANDEL: Relativistic electron scattering from a two-centre potential.
- IC/77/26 A. DELLA SELVA, L. MASPERI, V. ROBERTO and A. UNGKITCHANUKIT: A critical-pomeron's view of the total and triple-Regge inclusive cross-sections.
- IC/77/28* J. TARSKI: Models of discrete spacetime based on hazy manifolds.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/29 B.I. SADOVNIKOV and N.G. INOZEMTSEVA: On some asymptotic relations in the Boltzmann-Enskog model.
- IC/77/30* ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE: Classical confining solutions of a tensor gauge theory incorporating colour.
INT.REP.
- IC/77/31* MAN MOHAN and RAMA ACHARYA: Collisional absorption of two laser beams in plasma.
INT.REP.

