

1524

198
3/23/79

LD. 2366

MARCH 1979

PPPL-1524
UC-20f

MASTER

IRON FORBIDDEN LINES IN
TOKAMAK DISCHARGES

MASTER

BY

S. SUCKEWER AND E. HINNOV

**PLASMA PHYSICS
LABORATORY**



UNIVERSITY OF PRINCETON

**PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy
Contract No. EY-76-C-02-3073. Reproduction, translation,
publication, use and disposal, in whole or in part, by or
for the United States Government is permitted.

LOW FORBIDDEN LINES IN TOKAMAK DISCHARGES

S. Suckewer and E. Hinnov

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08541

ABSTRACT

Several spectrum lines from forbidden transitions in the ground configurations of highly ionized atoms have been observed in the PLT tokamak discharges. Such lines allow localized observations, in the high-temperature region of the plasma, of ion-temperatures, plasma motions, and spatial distributions of ions. Measured absolute intensities of the forbidden lines have been compared with simultaneous observations of the ion resonance lines and with model calculations in order to deduce the mechanism of level populations by means of electron collisions and radiative transitions.

I. Introduction

Recent measurements of ion temperature^{1,2} and plasma rotation³ in the PLT tokamak during neutral beam injection demonstrated the utility of forbidden lines of highly ionized atoms for plasma diagnostics. Ion temperature measurements from Doppler broadening of the forbidden line of FeXX at 2665.1\AA were performed for a wide range of T_i ($0.5 \text{ keV} \leq T_i \leq 6.5 \text{ keV}$). The high potential of ionization ($I_p = 1582 \text{ eV}$) and strong deviation of ionization states from corona equilibrium provides emission of this line in the central part of the plasma during neutral beam injection even when the electron temperature reaches $T_e \approx 3-4 \text{ keV}$. The long wavelength of the FeXX forbidden line simplified the measurements and improved their accuracy (for example radial distribution of toroidal plasma rotation was measured during neutral beam injection into the PLT plasma by using a 0.5m monochromator in air).

Interest in forbidden lines of highly ionized atoms appeared first in astrophysics⁴⁻⁶ and only recently also in high temperature laboratory plasmas.⁷⁻⁹ In astrophysics, interest in forbidden lines is motivated by the possibility of using them for ion temperature and mass motion, electron temperature and density measurements in solar flares (S.F.) and in the solar corona (S.C.).¹⁰⁻¹² Ion temperature and mass motions are based on measurements of line Doppler broadening and shifts, whereas electron temperature and electron density can be deduced from line intensity ratios. The method of line intensity ratio for electron density measurement was developed for laboratory plasma using Li-like ions.¹³ Feldman

and Doschek^{9,14} extended the method to ratios of highly ionized iron lines (FeXVIII-FeXXII) from optically allowed and forbidden transition. In tokamak plasmas, where a variety of density measurements are available, and measurements with uncertainties in excess of 10-20% are not of interest, the problem may be reversed in principle, and the measured line intensities and ratios at known electron density may be used to test the adequacy of excitation rates and transition probabilities.

In this paper (Section 2) we present measurements of forbidden line intensities in the PLT discharges for the three well-identified lines, FeXVIII $974.8 \pm 0.3\text{\AA}$, FeXX $2665.1 \pm 0.3\text{\AA}$ and FeXXII $845.55 \pm 0.1\text{\AA}$. Time evolution and space distribution measurements of the emissivity of these lines and comparison with other highly ionized iron lines form part of the identification process, and are of course also required for localized plasma diagnostics.

In Section 3 we discuss energy levels, configurations and transitions for FeXVIII-FeXXIII forbidden lines observed or expected to be observable in tokamaks. Calculations of the intensity of FeXVIII 975\AA , FeXX 2665\AA , and FeXXII 846\AA lines as a function of electron density are presented and compared with measurements. The effect of radial diffusion of the ions on intensity ratios in tokamak plasmas and the consequent deviation from coronal equilibrium is also discussed.

Finally, Section 4 presents some concluding remarks on the forbidden lines behavior in tokamak type plasma and their application for plasma diagnostics.

II. Instrumentation and measurements

The measurements of wavelengths, time evolution and space distribution of emissivities of the forbidden lines of highly ionized iron were carried out in the Princeton Large Torus^{15,16} discharges during 1976-78. The discharges are characterized by electron temperatures in the range $T_e = 1.-2.5$ keV and electron densities $N_e = (3-10) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the plasma region where the emission of these lines occurs.

The measurements were performed mainly with a SPEX 1m grazing incidence spectrometer, equipped with a 2400/mm holographic grating in spectral range 30-1200Å, and an 1m Jarrell-Ash Ebert-Pastie type monochromator in range 1200-7000Å.^{17,18} Both instruments were calibrated for absolute intensity measurements. Below 1200Å radial intensity distributions were obtained by shot-by-shot scanning, whereas above 2000Å an additional 0.5m monochromator with a fast rotating mirror was used for single-shot radial scans.¹⁹

Table 1 shows the three forbidden lines with wavelengths 845.5Å, 974.8Å and 2665.1Å which have been observed in PLT and well identified from space and time behavior of their emissivities. The first two of these lines had been observed before in solar flares²⁰ (with wavelengths reported at 845.1Å and 974.8Å). The third one (2665.1Å) was first observed¹ in PLT. Two other forbidden lines of C-sequence FeXXI, at 2304Å and 1354.1Å were also observed in ATC and PLT tokamaks (line 1354.1Å having been already observed in solar flares²⁰), but their wavelengths and intensity distributions were not adequately established (in the near future we hope to perform

in PLT definitive measurements for both these lines, when plasma conditions will be appropriate: low oxygen and carbon radiation, relatively high density of iron, $\approx 1-2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and electron temperature above $T_e > 1.5-2.0 \text{ keV}$). Table I also shows two predicted forbidden lines, FeXX and FeXXIII. These lines will probably be also observable in tokamak discharges.

The characteristic appearance times and intensity peak times of the several forbidden lines in typical PLT discharges ($N_e = 3-5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T_e = 1.5-2.0 \text{ keV}$) are shown in Fig. 1, together with some resonance line behavior of FeXV and FeXXIII, FeXXIV, for comparison. This figure gives an indication of the initial assignment of an observed line to a particular ion species. In all cases there are also simultaneous measurements of the time behavior of the allowed resonance lines of the same ions.

In a typical PLT discharge the peak intensity of the FeXVIII 975\AA line was $1.1 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$, and the ratios $I(975\text{\AA})/I(94\text{\AA}) \approx 1/4$, $I(975\text{\AA})/I(104\text{\AA}) \approx 1/1.5$. In similar discharge conditions, and with total FeXX concentration about $7 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the peak intensity of the FeXX 2665\AA line was $1.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$. The intensity of the FeXXII 846\AA at its peak was about $1 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ and the ratios of $I(846)/I(136) \approx 1/3$, $I(846)/I(156) = 1/1.6$ and $I(846)/I(114) \approx 1/1.1$. The relative intensities of several other FeXXII lines related to the 846\AA transition are described in Ref. 26 (see also Fig. 7).

III. Level populations and intensity ratios

In this section we calculate the populations of the upper levels of the transitions leading to the emission of FeXVIII 975Å, FeXX 2665Å and FeXXII 846Å lines, and the expected intensity ratios of these lines to the various allowed resonance lines.

The excited level populations are determined predominantly by electron and, in some cases, proton collisional transitions and spontaneous radiative transitions. The line intensities and ratios thus depend directly on the local electron density, and, where proton collisions are important, also on ion temperature. The electron temperature enters only indirectly, determining the radial location of the emitting ions, since the electron energies are typically large compared to transition energies, and the collisional rates hence are only weakly electron temperature dependent.

In tokamak plasmas the radial ion distributions²⁷ deviate considerably from coronal equilibrium,²⁸ as shown in a typical case in Fig. 2. This deviation is due to radial motion of the ions, and probably also to charge-exchange with neutral hydrogen (which is present in quantities considerably above equilibrium values). Thus, calculations or modelling of plasmas that assumes coronal equilibrium distribution of ions can lead to erroneous results. For example, the temperature and density at the ion concentration maxima can be significantly different from values that would correspond to coronal equilibrium.^{9,29}

Calculations of level population as a function of electron density were performed by solving a set of steady state rate equations in the form

$$\frac{dN_n}{dt} = 0 = -N_n \left(\sum_{m < n} A_{nm} + N_e S_{nm} + N_p S_{nm}^p \right) + \sum_{m \neq n} N_m \left(A_{mn} + N_e S_{mn} + N_p S_{mn}^p \right) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Here A_{nm} is the spontaneous transition probability ($A_{nm} = 0$ if $m \geq n$), S_{mn} is the collisional excitation rate coefficient if $m < n$ or deexcitation coefficient if $m > n$, and the letter "p" indicates protons. From the detailed balance relation we have

$$S_{mn} = S_{nm} \frac{g_n}{g_m} \exp \left(- \frac{E_n - E_m}{kT} \right) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

where g_n , g_m are statistical weights, and E_n , E_m are excitation energies of levels n and m from the ground level. As T should be used T_e for electron and T_i for proton excitation and deexcitation collisions. Electron impact excitation rate coefficients of Refs. 30-32 were used while the proton excitation rate coefficients were extrapolated from Bely and Faucher calculations.³³ Coefficients A_{nm} were taken from Refs. 22,23. Partial energy level diagrams (Fig. 3) and principal transitions for population of upper levels of the FeXVIII-FeXXII forbidden lines were reconstructed from Refs. 24,25.

Figure 4 shows the results of calculations of FeXVIII 975 \AA line intensity as a function of electron density N_e together with the intensity from the allowed transitions $2s2p^6 \ ^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 2s^2 2p^5 \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}$ (104 \AA and 94 \AA), which influence the population of the level

$2s^2 2p^5 \ ^2P_{1/2}$ (we will label this level as level 2). Calculations were performed in a three level scheme. Level 2 was populated directly from level 1 ($2s^2 2p^5 \ ^2P_{3/2}$) by electron and proton excitation, and from level 3 ($2s 2p^6 \ ^2S_{1/2}$) by electron collision and radiative deexcitation. Depopulation of level 2 was by radiative and collisional (electron and proton) deexcitation to level 1 and by electron collisional transition to level 3. Proton density in the calculations was assumed $N_p \sim 0.8 N_e$ and the electron and ion temperatures at FeXVIII emissivity maximum were 1.0 keV and 0.5 keV, respectively. Influence of higher levels was neglected as weakly coupled to level 2. The (extrapolated³³) proton collisional excitation rate coefficient S_{12}^p for the 1→2 transition is comparable to the electron excitation rate coefficient,³⁰⁻³³ for $T_i = 0.5$ keV and $T_e = 1.0$ keV. As a result, proton collisions should have a noticeable effect on the level 2 population for $N_p < 10^{14}/\text{cm}^3$, as shown in Fig. 4, although the level 3 population is not appreciably affected. However, comparing observed intensity ratios (Fig. 5) with the calculated values (for observed electron density) indicates that inclusion of the proton collisions makes the agreement worse. Quite similar effect was found in calculation of the 846\AA line of FeXXII, where the relative electron and proton collisional rates are comparable to those of FeXVIII. This probably indicates that the extrapolated proton rates (Appendix) are too high.

Calculations of FeXX line intensities are presented in Fig. 6. Intensity of the 2665\AA line was calculated assuming that level $2s^2 2p^3 \ ^2D_{5/2}$ (level 3) is mainly excited by electron collisions from levels

$2s^2 2p^3 4s_{3/2}$ (level 1) and $2s^2 2p^3 2d_{3/2}$ (level 2) and radiatively and collisionally deexcited to levels 1 and 2. Population rate of level 3 from levels $2s^2 2p^3 2p_{1/2,3/2}$ (levels 4 and 5) was small, and population from other levels was neglected. The calculated absolute value of the 2665\AA line is in good agreement with experiment,¹ i.e., the deduced FeXX density is consistent with other ion densities, established from resonance line intensities. In Fig. 6, calculated intensity of intercombination lines from $3\rightarrow 1$ and $2\rightarrow 1$ transitions, (wavelengths³⁵ have not yet been observed) are also shown. According to calculations by Feldman and Doschek³⁴ the intensity of the second forbidden line (dashed curve in Fig. 6) with predicted wavelength 1585\AA should be larger than the intensity of the 2665\AA line in tokamak conditions.

For the FeXXII 846\AA forbidden line intensity calculations we had to calculate simultaneously the population of six levels. The upper level of 846\AA line, $2s^2 2p^2 2p_{3/2}$ (level 2) is directly populated from level $2s^2 2p^2 2p_{1/2}$ (level 1) and from the levels of $2s2p^2$ configuration, $2d_{3/2}$ (level 3), $2d_{5/2}$ (level 4), $2s_{1/2}$ (level 5) and $2p_{1/2,3/2}$ (levels 6 and 7). Influence of levels $4p_{1/2,3/2,5/2}$ (levels 8,9 and 10, respectively) on level 2 population is small, so population of these levels was calculated independently of level 2 population calculations. In depopulation of level 2, besides radiative and collisional deexcitation to level 1 we included electron collisional transitions to levels 3-7.

All lines in Fig. 7 may be divided into three groups: a) lines (populated strongly from level 2) with the fastest intensity changes versus electron density (156\AA , 116\AA , 114\AA and 101\AA), b) those with nearly linear electron density dependence (136\AA , 117\AA and 247\AA , populated almost entirely from level 1) and c) the forbidden line (846\AA) with the slowest change of intensity. Therefore, the intensity ratio of

lines from these different groups should be density dependent. Comparison of calculations with experiment²⁶ is shown in Fig. 8.

Generally, the agreement of calculations with experiment is quite good except for the line 156\AA . This discrepancy for only one line suggests that perhaps the rate coefficient S_{24} for the 156\AA line from Ref. 31 should be higher or that the measured intensity is in fact superposition of the 156\AA line and some other unidentified line intensity. (U. Feldman³⁶ has suggested that a CrXX resonance line may have sufficient intensity and required time-dependence).

IV. Concluding remarks

In typical PLT plasma in the spectral range $800\text{-}3000\text{\AA}$ forbidden lines of highly ionized iron have intensity in range $10^{13}\text{-}10^{14}$ photons $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. These intensities are comparable with intensities of non-resonant lines from allowed transitions of the ions of the same stage of ionization. Influence of proton collisions on the forbidden line intensities is uncertain in the ohmic heating discharges ($T_i < T_e$) but it may become quite significant during neutral beam injection. The relatively high intensities, the high potentials of ionization of ions emitting such forbidden lines and their long wavelengths, make these lines very important spectroscopy tools for high-temperature plasma diagnostics.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank our colleagues from the PLT and particularly K. Bol, R. J. Hawryluk, J. Hosea, E. Meservey, and J. Strachan for producing the discharges where the reported measurements were made, and N. Bretz, D. Dimock, and D. Johnson for providing us with information about electron density and

temperature profiles.

This work is supported by the United States Department of Energy, Contract No. EY-76-C-02-3073.

Appendix

In this Appendix we present the spontaneous transition probabilities A_{nm} , and the electron and proton excitation (S_{mn}, S_{mn}^P) and deexcitation (S_{nm}, S_{nm}^P) rate coefficients used for intensity calculations of FeXVIII, FeXX and FeXXII lines.

FeXVIII

Approximate temperature of maximum density location in the plasma of the FeXVIII, $T_e(\text{ion}_{\text{max}}) \approx 1.0 \text{ keV}$ ($T_i \approx 0.5 \text{ keV}$)

$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	$n \rightarrow m$	$A_{nm} (s^{-1})$	S_{mn}	S_{nm}	S_{mn}^P	S_{nm}^P
975	2→1	1.9(+4)*	1.8(-11)	3.6(-11)	≈ 8(-11)?	≈ 1.6(-10)?
94	3→1	9.5(+10)	1.6(-10)	3.2(-10)		
104	3→2	3.6(+10)	6.0(-11)	6.0(-11)		

FeXX

$T_e(\text{ion}_{\text{max}}) \approx 1.2 \text{ keV}$

$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	$n \rightarrow m$	$A_{nm} (s^{-1})$	S_{mn}	S_{nm}
2665	3→2	5.7(+2)	3.7(-11)	2.6(-11)
≈630	3→1	1.3(+3)	2.6(-11)	1.8(-11)
≈824	2→1	1.6(+4)	1.8(-11)	1.8(-11)
1585	5→4	1.6(+3)		

* Read 1.9(+4) = 1.9×10^4

FeXXII

$T_e(\text{ion}_{\text{max}}) \approx 1.5 \text{ keV}$ ($T_i \approx 0.8 \text{ keV}$).

$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	$n \rightarrow m$	$A_{nm}(\text{s}^{-1})$	S_{mn}	S_{nm}	S_{mn}^P	S_{nm}^P
846	2→1	1.5(+4)	2.6(-11)	1.3(-11)	1.5(-10)?	8(-11)?
135.7	3→1	1.2(+10)	3.5(-10)	1.8(-10)		
156	4→2	6.4(+9)	2.5(-10)	1.7(-10)		
117	5→1	4.1(+10)	3.6(-10)	3.6(-10)		
135.9	5→2	4 (+7)?	2.6(-12)	5.2(-12)		
102	6→1	2.4(+9)	1.2(-11)	1.2(-11)		
116	6→2	3.7(+10)	1.8(-10)	3.6(-10)		
100.8	7→1	6.4(+9)	7.5(-11)	3.8(-11)		
114	7→2	4.7(+10)	3.5(-10)	3.5(-10)		
247	8→1	9.7(+7)	1.6(-11)	1.6(-11)		

References

- ¹S. Suckewer and E. Hinnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 756 (1978).
- ²H. Eubank, et al., Proc. 7th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion, (IAEA), Innsbruck, Austria, 1978.
- ³S. Suckewer and E. Hinnov, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 23, 875 (1978).
- ⁴B. Edlén, Z. Astroph. 22, 47 (1942).
- ⁵B. Edlén, Mem. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liege, Collect. 9, 235 (1976).
- ⁶A. M. Gabriel et al., Astrophys. J. 169, 595 (1971).
- ⁷E. Hinnov, Workshop of Theoretical Aspects of Atomic Physics in CTR, ORNL (1975).
- ⁸R. D. Cowan, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report LA-6679-MS (1977) (unpublished).
- ⁹G. A. Doschek and U. Feldman, J. Appl. Phys. 47, 3083 (1976).
- ¹⁰G. D. Sandlin, G. E. Brueckner and R. Tcusey, Astrophys. J., 214, 898 (1977).
- ¹¹A. H. Gabriel and C. Jordan, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. 173, 397 (1975).
- ¹²H. Nussbaumer, Astrophys. J. 166, 411 (1971).
- ¹³S. Suckewer, Phys. Lett. 25A, 284 (1967); Phys. Rev. 170, 239 (1968).
- ¹⁴U. Feldman and G. A. Doschek, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 67, 726 (1977).
- ¹⁵D. Grove et al., Proc. 6th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion (IAEA, Berchtesgarden, W. Germany 1976, paper CN-35/A2).
- ¹⁶K. Bol et al., Proc. 7th Int. Conf. of Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion (IAEA), Innsbruck, Austria, 1978.

- 17 E. Hinnov, Phys. Rev. A14, 1533 (1976).
- 18 E. Hinnov, S. Suckewer, K. Bol, R. J. Hawryluk, J. Hosea, E. Meservey, Plasma Physics 20, 723 (1978).
- 19 S. Suckewer, E. Hinnov, and J. Schivell, PPPL Report 1430, Princeton, N. J., (1978).
- 20 G. A. Doschek et al., Astrophys. J. 196, L83 (1975).
- 21 B. Edlén, Solar Physics 24, 356 (1972).
- 22 A. W. Weiss, J.Q.S.R.T. 18, 481 (1977); also private communication (1977).
- 23 S. O. Kastner, A. K. Bhatia, and L. Cohen, Physica Scripta 15, 259 (1977).
- 24 J. Reader and J. Sugar, Energy Levels of Iron FeI through FeXXVI, J. Phys. and Chemical Reference Data 4, pp.353-440 (1975).
- 25 K. Mori, M. Otsuka and T. Kato, Grotrian Diagrams of Highly Ionized Iron FeVIII-FeXXVI, Nagoya University (1977).
- 26 E. Hinnov, to be published.
- 27 E. Hinnov et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 23, 874 (1978).
- 28 C. Breton, C. deMichelis, M. Finkenthal and M. Mattioli, Report EUR-CEA-FC-948, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France (1978).
- 29 C. Jordan, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. 148, 17 (1970).
- 30 A. Merts et al., Report at Workshop on Atomic Processes in CTR,
- 31 D. Robb, unpublished data (1978). LASL (1978).
- 32 M. Blaha, Astrophys. J. 157, 473 (1969).

- 33 O. Bely and P. Faucher, *Astron. Astrophys.* 6, 88 (1970).
- 34 U. Feldman and G. A. Doschek, private communication (1978).
- 35 K. Widing, *Astrophys. J.* 222, 735 (1978).
- 36 U. Feldman, private communication (1979).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. The time of start and peak intensity of iron FeXVIII-FeXXII forbidden lines and FeXV, FeXXIII and FeXIV resonance lines in a PLT discharge.
- Fig. 2. Observed radial distribution of highly ionized iron lines and the distribution expected in coronal equilibrium, in a PLT discharge.
- Fig. 3. Partial energy level diagrams for FeXVIII, FeXX, FeXXI and FeXXII.
- Fig. 4. Calculations of intensities of selected FeXVIII lines versus electron density. Dashed curves include transitions induced by proton-iron collisions.
- Fig. 5. Comparison of observed (a) relative intensities of FeXVIII 94\AA , 104\AA and forbidden line 975\AA with calculated intensities without (b) and with proton-iron collisions (c).
- Fig. 6. Calculated intensities of selected FeXX lines versus electron density. (Dashed curve from Feldman and Doschek calculations for 1585\AA line.)
- Fig. 7. Calculated intensities of selected FeXXII lines versus electron density.
- Fig. 8. Comparison of observed (a) and calculated (b) intensities of FeXXII lines.

TABLE I. IRON FORBIDDEN LINES OF TOKAMAK INTEREST

Sequence	Ion	Transition (24, 25)		λ (Å)		Intensity Ph·cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ Sr ⁻¹	A _{nm} (s ⁻¹) (22, 23)	E _n (eV) (24)	IP (eV)
		Series	Term	Observation	Predictions (21)				
F	FeXVIII	2s ² 2p ⁵	² P _{1/2} + ² P _{3/2}	974.8 ± 0.3 ^T (T, S.F.)		1.1(+14)* (T)	1.9(+4)	12.72	1358
O	FeXIX	2s ² 2p ⁴	³ P ₁ + ³ P ₂	1118.4 (S.F.)		—	1.4(+4)	11.08	1456
N	FeXX	2s ² 2p ³	² D _{5/2} + ² D _{3/2}	2665.1 ± 0.3 (T)		1.2(+13) (T)	5.7(+2)	20.56	1582
N	FeXX	2s ² 2p ³	² P _{3/2} + ² P _{1/2}	—	1585	—	1.6(+3)	38.83	1582
C	FeXXI	2s ² 2p ²	³ P ₁ + ³ P ₀	2300(?) (T)	2304		9.1(+2)	19.14	1689
C	FeXXI	2s ² 2p ²	³ P ₂ + ³ P ₁	1354.1 (S.F.; T?)			6.8(+3)	14.52	1689
B	FeXXII	2s ² 2p	³ P _{3/2} + ³ P _{1/2}	845.5 ± 0.1 (T, S.F.)		1.0(+14) (T)	1.5(+4)	14.66	1799
Be	FeXXIII	2s 2p	³ P ₂ + ³ P ₁	—	1104		9.7(+3)	11.23	1950

* Read 1.1(+14) = 1.1x10¹⁴

(T) Observation in tokamak (PLT)

(SF) Observation in solar flare²⁰




Fig. 1. 793012




Fig. 2. 793192




Fig. 3. 793193




Fig. 4. 793046




Fig. 5. 793032




Fig. 6. 793142




Fig. 7. 793141




Fig. 8. 793143

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO TIC UC-20

ALL CATEGORIES

R. Askew, Auburn University, Alabama
 S. T. Wu, Univ. of Alabama
 Geophysical Institute, Univ. of Alaska
 G.L. Johnston, Sonoma State Univ, California
 H. H. Kuehl, Univ. of S. California
 Institute for Energy Studies, Stanford University
 H. D. Campbell, University of Florida
 N. L. Oleson, University of South Florida
 W. M. Stacey, Georgia Institute of Technology
 Benjamin Ma, Iowa State University
 Magne Kristiansen, Texas Tech. University
 W. L. Wiese, Nat'l Bureau of Standards, Wash., D.C.
 Australian National University, Canberra
 C.N. Watson-Munro, Univ. of Sydney, Australia
 F. Cap, Inst. for Theo. Physics, Austria
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Bruxelles, Belgium
 D. Palumbo, C. European Comm. B-1049-Brussels
 P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto de Fisica, Campinas, Brazil
 C. R. James, University of Alberta, Canada
 T.W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, Varennes, Quebec
 H. M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, Canada
 Librarian, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England (2)
 A.M. Dupas Library, C.E.N.-G, Grenoble, France
 Central Res. Inst. for Physics, Hungary
 R. Shingal, Meerut College, India
 A.K. Sundaram, Phys. Res. Lab., India
 M. Naraghi, Atomic Energy Org. of Iran
 Biblioteca, Frascati, Italy
 Biblioteca, Milano, Italy
 G. Rostagni, Univ. Di Padova, Padova, Italy
 Preprint Library, Inst. de Fisica, Pisa, Italy
 Library, Plasma Physics Lab., Gokasho, Uji, Japan
 S. Mori, Japan Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Tokai-Mura
 Research Information Center, Nagoya Univ., Japan
 S. Shioda, Tokyo Inst. of Tech., Japan
 Inst. of Space & Aero. Sci., Univ. of Tokyo
 T. Uchida, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan
 H. Yamato, Toshiba R. & D. Center, Japan
 M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res. Est., Japan
 N. Yajima, Kyushu Univ., Japan
 R. England, Univ. Nacional Auto-noma de Mexico
 B. S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, New Zealand
 S. A. Moss, Saab Univas Norge, Norway
 J.A.C. Cabral, Univ. de Lisboa, Portugal
 O. Petrus, A.L.I. CUZA Univ., Romania
 J. de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd., South Africa
 A. Maurech, Comisaria De La Energy y Recursos
 Minerales, Spain
 Library, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
 Cen. de Res. En Phys.Des Plasmas, Switzerland
 Librarian, Fom-Instituut Voor Plasma-Fysica, The
 Netherlands
 V. E. Golant, A.F. Ioffe Physical-Tech. Inst., USSR
 B.B. Kadomtsev, Kurchatov Inst. of Atomic Energy,
 USSR
 The Kharkov Physical-Tech. Inst., USSR
 M. S. Rabinovich, Academy of Sci, USSR

Bibliothek, Stuttgart, West Germany
 R.D. Buhler, Univ. of Stuttgart, West Germany
 Max-Planck-Inst. fur Plasmaphysik, W. Germany
 Nucl. Res. Estab., Julich, West Germany
 K. Schindler, Inst. Fur Theo. Physik, W. Germany

EXPERIMENTAL
 THEORETICAL

M. H. Brennan, Flinders Univ. Australia
 H. Barnard, Univ. of British Columbia, Canada
 S. Screenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, Canada
 J. Radet, C.E.N.-B.P., Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, France
 S. C. Sharma, Univ. of Cape Coast, Ghana
 R. N. Aiyer, Laser Section, India
 B. Buti, Physical Res. Lab., India
 L. K. Chavda, S. Gujarat Univ., India
 I.M. Las Das, Banaras Hindu Univ., India
 S. Cuerman, Tel Aviv Univ., Israel
 E. Greenspan, Nuc. Res. Center, Israel
 P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Tech., Israel
 Int'l. Center for Theo. Physics, Trieste, Italy
 I. Kawakami, Nihon University, Japan
 T. Nakayama, Ritsumeikan Univ., Japan
 S. Nagao, Tohoku Univ., Japan
 J.I. Sakai, Toyama Univ., Japan
 S. Tjøtta, Univ. I Bergen, Norway
 M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, South Africa
 H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech., Sweden
 Astro. Inst., Sonnenborgh Obs., The Netherlands
 N.G. Tsintsadze, Academy of Sci GSSR, USSR
 T. J. Boyd, Univ. College of North Wales
 K. Hubner, Univ. Heidelberg, W. Germany
 H. J. Kaeppler, Univ. of Stuttgart, West Germany
 K. H. Spatschek, Univ. Essen, West Germany

EXPERIMENTAL
 ENGINEERING

B. Grek, Univ. du Quebec, Canada
 P. Lukac, Komenskeho Univ., Czechoslovakia
 G. Horikoshi, Nat'l Lab for High Energy Physics,
 Tsukuba-Gun, Japan
 V. A. Glukhikh, D.V. Efremov Sci.
 Res. Institut. of Elect. App., USSR

EXPERIMENTAL

F. J. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, Australia
 J. Kistemaker, Fom Inst. for Atomic
 & Molec. Physics, The Netherlands

THEORETICAL

F. Verheest, Inst. Vor Theo. Mech., Belgium
 J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, Canada
 T. Kahan, Univ. Paris VII, France
 R. K. Chhajlani, India
 S. K. Trehan, Panjab Univ., India
 T. Namikawa, Osaka City Univ., Japan
 H. Narumi, Univ. of Hiroshima, Japan
 Korea Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Korea
 E. T. Karlson, Uppsala Univ., Sweden
 L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, Sweden
 J. R. Saraf, New Univ., United Kingdom