ANALYSIS OF U AND PU RESIN BEAD SAMPLES WITH A SINGLE STAGE MASS SPECTPOMETER\*

David H. Smith, P. L. Walker, L. Y. Bertram, and J. A. Carter

CCAF MICE 58 -- X

Analytical Chemistry Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Restrictions in sample size caused by recently imposed limits on the amounts of fissionable isotopes that can be shipped has created a keen interest in minimizing the amount of sample required for analysis for Safeguards purposes. Use of the resin bead sampling technique allows shipment of nanogram quantities of U and Pu for mass spectrometric analysis for isotopic composition and, through the use of isotope dilution, quantity.

Application of this technique to Safeguards has been investigated with a single-stage mass spectrometer. The instrument has a 90-degree sector magnet with a 30 cm central radius of curvature. The instrument was designed and built at ORNL, but does not differ in any significant way from several widely used commercially available mass spectrometers. The small samples require a pulse-counting detection system to supply the necessary sensitivity.

To evaluate the single stage-resin bead combination, several samples were analyzed. Standards (Table 1) gave results in good agreement with NBS certified values. Other samples, previously run on multi-stage instruments, were analyzed and gave good agreement with the earlier results (Table 2).

Precision of our results is not as good as that obtainable under the optimum conditions of very large samples and a Faraday cup collector; however, our results are comparable in quality to those obtainable from current integrating detectors. External precisions of ±0.5% are obtained on isotopic ratios of about 0.01; precisions on quantitative measurements are ±1.0%.

Table 1. Results from Standards

	NBS-010		NBS-500	
	23-/235	235/238	234/235	235/238
NBS	0.00538	0.01014	0.01043	0.9938
ORNL	0.00549 ±0.00032	0.01013 ±0.00004	0.01041 ±0.00010	1.0006 ±0.0536
	8 analyses		13 analyses	

	NBS -947						
	238/239	240/239	241/239	242/239			
NBS*	0.00370	0.24147	0.04309	0.01559			
ORNL	0.00377 ±0.00013	0.24119 ±0.00069	0.04327 ±0.00031	0.01557 ±0.00021			

22 analyses

\*Corrected to August 13, 1978.

This report was preported as an arc and of work spouse red by the United States Government. Norther the United States for the United States Department of heavy, one was of their implaced on a state of their contract on, subcontact on, subcontact on, subcontact on, subcontact on, subcontact on, supplied on united States for the States of the United States of t

<sup>\*</sup>Research sponsored by the U. 5. Department of Energy under Contract #W-7405-eng-26 with the Union Carbide Corporation.



-

ASSESSED MEN A

Dracerotines of this aid to, this postilines or smill the total does the trib. Governous that the tribute a non-exclusive, regards the advance in and to any expert the cheeting the annual.

 $\mathcal{JCD}_{\mathcal{W}}$ 

Table 2. Results from Comparison Samples

بيسندونونين بتزيود

ياب يحل الأسام الرواطي

rate in the state of the state

	No. of	<u>SAU-14</u>					
Ü	Analyses	234/235	235/238				
2-stage		0.01030	0.01216				
l-stage	4	0.01015	0.01220 ±0.00008				
Pu		238/239	240/239	241/239	242/239		
2-stage		0.0093	0.3116	0.1020	0.03149		
l-stage	4	0.0097 ±0.0006	0.3121 ±0.0008	0.0992 ±0.0008	0.03153 ±0.00011		
		<u>K-1</u>					
υ	No. of Analyses	234/235	235/238	238/233			
2-stage (unspike			0.00198				
l-stage (unspiked	d) 4		0.00202 ±0.00003				
2-stage (spiked)	2	2.42 ±0.03	0.00236 ±0.00004	2.113 ±0.010			
l-stage (spiked)	4	2.34 ±0.04	0.00244 ±0.00004	2.096 ±0 913			
Pu		238/239	240/239	241/239	242/239		
2-stage (unspiked	3) 2	0.00057 ±0.00028	0.05858 ±0.00022	0.00219 ±0.00001	0.00019 ±0.00001		
l-stage (unspiked	1) 4	0.00022 ±0.00006	0.05862 ±0.00014	0.00224 ±0.00001	0.00037 ±0.00001		
2-stage (spiked)	2	0.00033 ±0.00007	0.05863 ±0.00006	0.00223 ±0.00001	0.3415 ±0.0002		
l-stage (spiked)	4	0.00023 ±0.00003	0.05864 ±0.00024	0.00231 ±0.00001	0.3397 ±0.0017		

## References

- R. L. Walker, P. E. Eby, C. A. Pritchard, and J. A. Carter, Anal. Lett., <u>7</u>, 563 (1974).
- 2. D. H. Smith, ed., USDOL Report ORNL/TM-6485, Oak Ridge, TN, November, 1978.