



INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

LOW-ENERGY PHOTO- AND ELECTROPRODUCTION FOR PHYSICAL PIONS - II:
PHOTOPRODUCTION PHENOMENOLOGY AND EXTRACTION OF THE QUARK MASS

J.T. MacMullen

and

M.D. Scadron




**INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY**



**UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

1979 MIRAMARE-TRIESTE



International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

LOW-ENERGY PHOTO- AND ELECTROPRODUCTION FOR PHYSICAL PIONS - II:
PHOTOPRODUCTION PHENOMENOLOGY AND EXTRACTION OF THE QUARK MASS *

J.T. MacMullen

Physics Department, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA,

and

M.D. Scadron **

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

The background resonance $\Delta(1230)$, $N^*(1520)$, $N^*(1470)$ and $N^*(1535)$ pion and axial-vector amplitudes are first calculated in the soft pion and on-shell configuration, respectively. Then a comparison is made with the usual soft pion theorems and on-shell low-energy expansions of current algebra as worked out in the previous paper. The agreement is good and we also deduce a nucleon dipole form factor axial-vector mass of $m_A = 1.23$ GeV. Finally an approximate value for the non-strange current quark mass of $\hat{m} = 0.64 \pm 1.1\mu$ is extracted from the data.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

February 1979

A. Introduction

Given the theoretical analysis of the previous paper⁽¹⁾ (ref. 1), we are prepared to make a comparison with the data. To do this we must make use of the pion and radiative couplings of the baryon resonances which dominate the photoproduction backgrounds: the $\Delta(1230)$, $N^*(1520)$, $N^*(1470)$ and $N^*(1535)$. The required covariant resonance couplings are worked out in Appendix I. In Sec. B we approximate the soft pion background amplitudes by these isobar resonance poles and find excellent agreement with the standard soft pion theorems.^(2,3)

Then we proceed to compare the on-shell photoproduction amplitudes with the data. We analyze threshold photoproduction data here with the idea of testing the on-shell low energy theorems of I. Our threshold electroproduction current algebra theorems will be analyzed elsewhere⁽⁴⁾. The background axial-vector amplitudes $B_j^{(+,-,0)}$ are obtained in Appendix II using both dispersion-theoretic and field-theoretic techniques with results which differ little in magnitude. In Sec. C these background amplitudes are decomposed into pole and non-pole parts for general values of the variables ν and t , but with $q_\pi^2 = \mu^2$. Finally in Sec. D these background amplitudes are substituted into the low-energy current algebra photoproduction amplitudes of (1) in ref. I. Good agreement with threshold data is found for $A_3^{(+,0)}$, $A_4^{(+,-,0)}$, and $A_1^{(-)}$. The threshold amplitude $A_3^{(-)}$ leads to a value for the axial-vector mass of $m_A = 1.23$ GeV assuming a dipole structure for the axial-vector-nucleon form factor, in good agreement with a recent neutrino scattering analysis⁽⁵⁾ and with threshold electroproduction⁽⁴⁾.

* Submitted for publication.

** Supported in part by the Science Research Council and the US National Science Foundation.

Permanent address: Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.

This encourages us to make a detailed investigation of the non-strange current quark mass \hat{m} occurring in the chiral breaking Σ terms in $A_1^{(+,0)}$. Looking at threshold multipole analyses for these two amplitudes, we find in Sec. D that $\hat{m} \approx 0.64 \pm 1.1\mu$. While the quark mass is not well determined, the fact that it must be of $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$ and positive on average is consistent with the almost exact agreement of the soft theorems of Sec. B when saturated by the isobar poles. In Sec. E we summarize the situation and note the need for more accurate low-energy photoproduction data.

B. The soft amplitudes

We begin by testing the soft theorems. We use the off-shell analog of equation (1.a) in ref. 1 for photoproduction ($k^2 = 0$):

$$\bar{A}_1^{(+,0)}(\nu, t, q^2) = -\frac{g_A(q^2) \kappa^{V,S}}{4mf_\pi} - \frac{q^2 \Sigma_1^{(+,0)}}{\mu^2 f_\pi (\bar{m}_V^2 - \mu^2 - q^2)} + B_1^{(+,0)}(\nu, t, q) \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{A}_1 = A_1 - (A_1)_{\text{pole}}$. We are interested in the soft pion limit ($q \rightarrow 0$) corresponding to $\nu = t = 0$, so we recover the soft pion result⁽²⁾ from (1),

$$\bar{A}_1^{(+,0)}(0) = -\frac{g_A \kappa^{V,S}}{4mf_\pi} = -\frac{g(0) \kappa^{V,S}}{4m^2} \quad (2)$$

where we have used the Goldberger-Treiman relation $mg_A = f_\pi g(0)$. Recall that $B_1^{(+,0)}$ comes from the axial-vector background term $q^\mu \bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(+,0)}$ which vanishes as $q \rightarrow 0$. Since K_V^1 does not vanish as $q \rightarrow 0$, $B_1^{(+,0)}$ must do so. Then using the values $g(0) = 12.6$, $\kappa^V = 3.7$, $\kappa^S = -0.12$ we find

$$\bar{A}_1^{(+)}(0) = -\frac{11.6}{m^2} = -0.258 \mu^{-2} \quad (3.a)$$

$$\bar{A}_1^{(0)}(0) = \frac{0.4}{m^2} = 0.008 \mu^{-2} \quad (3.b)$$

We expect that $\bar{A}_1^{(+)}(0)$ will be dominated by the $\Delta(1230)$ intermediate state, while there will be contributions to $\bar{A}_1^{(0)}(0)$ from the $N^*(1520)$, $N^*(1470)$ and $N^*(1535)$. Of course the $\Delta(1230)$ will not contribute to $A_1^{(0)}$ since this amplitude represents $I = 1$ pion production from an isoscalar photon which cannot couple to the $I = 3/2$ $\Delta(1230)$. In the soft pion momentum limit these various isobar (narrow width) contributions to $A_1^{(+,0)}$ are unique (see Appendix II), and give

$$\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = \frac{2}{3} g^* \left[-\frac{m(M+m)}{3M^2} G_1 + \frac{M^2-m^2}{6M} G_2 \right] = -\frac{1}{6} g^* G_M^* \frac{M+m}{mM}, \quad (4.a)$$

$$\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1520)] = -\frac{1}{4} g_N^* \left[\frac{m(M-m)}{3M^2} H_1 V_1 S + \frac{M^2-m^2}{6M} H_2 V_1 S \right], \quad (4.b)$$

$$\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1470)] = -\frac{g^* \kappa'_1 V_1 S}{2M(M+m)}, \quad (4.c)$$

$$\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1535)] = -\frac{g'' \kappa'' V_1 S}{2M(M-m)}. \quad (4.d)$$

The relative sign of $g^* G_M^*$ vs. $g \kappa^V$ is positive as determined by SU_6 , consistent with (2). We use data comparison (as given in Sec. D) to choose the relative signs of the $N^*(1520)$ and the $N^*(1470)$ to $N^*(1535)$ contributions; all relative signs are also consistent with the multipole analysis of Adler and Gilman⁽³⁾. The coupling strength $g^* = 15.39 \text{ m}^{-1}$ is narrow width-determined from the $\Delta^{++} \rightarrow p\pi^+$ decay. In Appendix I the remaining coupling constants in (4) are found to be $G_M^* = 3.07$, $G_1 = 2.90 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $G_2 = -1.50 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$; $g_N^* = -23.11 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $H_1^S = -1.95 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $H_1^V = -3.24 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $H_2^S = 1.52 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, $H_2^V = 1.33 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$; $g' = -5.23$, $\kappa'_S = 0.19$, $\kappa'_V = 1.58$; $g'' = 0.68$, $\kappa''_S = -0.34$, $\kappa''_V = 2.22$, where $m = m_N$ and M is the mass of the appropriate isobar. These coupling constants applied to (4) give

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)} \right]_{\text{isobar}} &= \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] + \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)}[N^*(1520)] + \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)}[N^*(1470)] + \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)}[N^*(1535)] \\ &= (-0.306 \pm 0.013 \mu^{-2}) + (-0.006 \pm 0.008 \mu^{-2}) + (0.023 \pm 0.004 \mu^{-2}) \\ &+ (-0.016 \pm 0.003 \mu^{-2}) = -0.305 \pm 0.016 \mu^{-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(0)} \right]_{\text{isobar}} &= \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(0)}[N^*(1520)] + \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(0)}[N^*(1470)] + \bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(0)}[N^*(1535)] \\ &= (0.010 \pm 0.008 \mu^{-2}) + (0.003 \pm 0.004 \mu^{-2}) + (0.002 \pm 0.00 \mu^{-2}) \\ &= 0.015 \pm 0.009 \mu^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.b)$$

The values of $\bar{A}_1^{(+,0)}$ given by equations (5) are only slightly larger than the PCAC predictions (3). This is to be expected since we do not make narrow width corrections for the various $\Delta N\pi$ and $N^* N\pi$ coupling constants as stressed by Adler and Gilman⁽³⁾. Indeed if narrow width corrections are made for the Δ alone in (5a) (not a consistent procedure), then $\bar{A}_{1\text{soft}}^{(+)}$ becomes $-0.243 \mu^{-2}$ which agrees very well with (3a) and Adler-Gilman, who obtain $\bar{A}_1^{(+)}(0) = -0.236 \mu^{-2} (V_1 + -2A_1)$.

Isobar saturation of $\bar{A}_1^{(+,0)}$ was first considered by Fubini *et al.*⁽⁶⁾, but the data are better now and the consistency with both PCAC theorems is worth emphasizing. From our point of view for what is to follow, the soft theorems provide a test of the relative order of magnitudes and especially the signs of the various isobar coupling constants. The good agreement between (3) and (5) gives us further confidence to use the same type of isobar saturation to test the on-shell photoproduction theorems of ref I in the low-energy region.

C. The on-shell axial-vector background amplitude

We develop the background contribution to the pion photoproduction amplitude in terms of the quantities B_j^i defined by the following expression:

$$i f_\pi^{-1} q^\mu \bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^i = \sum_{j=1}^4 B_j^i(\nu, t, k^2 = 0) K_\nu^j \quad (6)$$

where the K_{ν}^j are the CGLN photoproduction covariants. Our plan is to saturate these axial-vector background amplitudes B_j for an on-shell kinematical configuration of ν and t and $q^2 \equiv \mu^2$ using the same type of isobar poles which were so successful in saturating the soft pion background amplitudes $\bar{A}_1^{(+,0)}$ in Sec. 8.

The details of the calculation of the resonant contributions to the photoproduction background amplitudes are given in Appendix II. We concentrate on the subtle spin $3/2$ $\Delta(1230)$ contribution since from it the spin $3/2$ $N^*(1520)$ contribution is easily obtainable. The spin $1/2$ $N^*(1470)$ and $N^*(1535)$ isobar contributions are found in a straightforward manner.

There are two methods which can be used to calculate the spin $3/2$ $\Delta(1230)$ contribution. One method is a dispersion theory approach, which allows the use of the on-shell spin $3/2$ propagator; alternatively a field theory approach necessitates the use of the ambiguous off-shell spin $3/2$ propagator. Actually, what is usually done in the field theory approach is to redefine the couplings so that the ambiguous part of the propagator does not contribute. This technique introduces two new parameters (Y at the photon vertex and Z at the pion vertex), which are determined by fitting to data.⁽⁷⁾ The pole terms one obtains from these two methods are the same, the two methods differ only in the non-pole terms, as is discussed in Appendix II. The discrepancy between dispersion theory and field theory is only important in $B_4^{(+)}$ and $B_3^{(-)}$; it is of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$ in $B_1^{(+)}$. We now list the pole parts of the $\Delta(1230)$ contribution to the background amplitudes as derived in Appendix II:

$$B_{1P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{g^* v_{\Delta}}{v_{\Delta}^2 - v^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{m(M+m)}{6M^2} (M^2 - m^2) - \frac{3M^2 + 2mM + m^2}{6M^2} \mu^2 + k \cdot q \right] G_1 + \left[\frac{(M^2 - m^2)^2}{12M} - \frac{(M-m)^2}{12M} \mu^2 - mk \cdot q \right] G_2 \right\}, \quad (7.a)$$

$$B_{2P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{g^* v_{\Delta}}{v_{\Delta}^2 - v^2} [G_1 + \frac{1}{2}(M-m) G_2], \quad (7.b)$$

$$B_{3P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{g^* v_{\Delta}}{v_{\Delta}^2 - v^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{3M^2 - 2mM + m^2}{6M^2} (M+m) - m \frac{\mu^2}{6M^2} \right] G_1 + \left[\frac{5M-m}{12M} (M^2 - m^2) + \frac{M-m}{12M} \mu^2 - \frac{1}{2} k \cdot q \right] G_2 \right\}. \quad (7.c)$$

$$B_{4P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{g^* v_{\Delta}}{v_{\Delta}^2 - v^2} \left\{ \left[-\frac{3M^2 + 2mM - m^2}{6M^2} (M+m) - m \frac{\mu^2}{6M^2} \right] G_1 + \left[-\frac{M+m}{12M} (M^2 - m^2) + \frac{M-m}{12M} \mu^2 - \frac{1}{2} k \cdot q \right] G_2 \right\}, \quad (7.d)$$

$$B_{jP}^{(-)}[\Delta(1230)] = -\frac{v}{2v_{\Delta}} B_{jP}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)], \quad j \neq 3, \quad (7.e)$$

$$B_{3P}^{(-)}[\Delta(1230)] = -\frac{v_{\Delta}}{2v} B_{3P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)]. \quad (7.f)$$

where $M = M_{\Delta} = 1230$ MeV, $m = m_N = 939$ MeV, $v = (s-u)/4$ and $v_{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2}(M^2 - m^2 - k \cdot q)$.

Note that $B_{1P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)]$ evaluated at the physical CM threshold

$$B_{1P}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] \Big|_{th} = -0.362 \mu^{-2}, \quad (8)$$

This result is of $\mathcal{O}(\mu/m)$ different from the soft value obtained in Sec. 8. This is reasonable since the discrepancy between the resonance denominators for the two cases is of $\mathcal{O}(\mu/m)$.

We also note at this time the necessity of introducing the previously discussed non-pole terms. The background amplitude (i.e. $q_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu}$) must vanish as $q \rightarrow 0$. Since the CGLN covariants K_{ν}^2 , K_{ν}^3 , and K_{ν}^4 vanish as $q \rightarrow 0$, this soft

limit places no constraints on B_2 , B_3 , or B_4 . However, K_0^1 does not vanish as $q \rightarrow 0$ and it is therefore necessary for B_1 to vanish in this limit. $B_{1P}^{(+)}$ as given by (9.a) does not satisfy this condition, underlying the importance of the extra non-pole term of $B_1^{(+)}$. As is derived in Appendix II, the non-pole term is given for dispersion theory by

$$B_{1NP}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = -\frac{2}{3} g^* \left\{ \frac{1}{3M^2} [-m(M+m) + k \cdot q] G_1 + \frac{1}{6M} [M^2 - m^2 + k \cdot q] G_2 \right\}. \quad (9.a)$$

$$B_{jNP}^i[\Delta(1230)] = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} i = (+) , \quad j = 2, 3, 4 \quad \text{or} \\ i \neq (+) , \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{array} \quad (9.b)$$

The non-pole terms for field theory are given in ref. 6 as (in our notation)

$$B_{1NP}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = -\frac{2}{3} g^* G_1 \frac{1}{3M^2} \left[\frac{\alpha\beta}{4} (t-u^2) + \frac{1}{2}\beta(5u^2-t) - m(M+m) \right], \quad (10.a)$$

$$B_{4NP}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)] = -\frac{2}{3} g^* G_1 \frac{1}{3M^2} [\alpha\beta M + \frac{1}{2}m(\alpha\beta + \alpha + \beta)], \quad (10.b)$$

$$B_{1NP}^{(-)}[\Delta(1230)] = \frac{1}{3} g^* G_1 \frac{1}{3M^2} \beta(1-\alpha)v. \quad (10.c)$$

$$B_{3NP}^{(-)}[\Delta(1230)] = -\frac{1}{2} B_{4NP}^{(+)}[\Delta(1230)], \quad (10.d)$$

where $\alpha = 1 + 4Z$, $\beta = 1 + 4Y$ and all other B_{jNP}^i are zero. Note that equations (10) do not include the G_2 coupling which is included in equations (9): both couplings are necessary to produce the observed $M1$ or G_M^* , Δ dominance. It is easy to see that $B_1^{(+)} = B_{1P}^{(+)} + B_{1NP}^{(+)}$ vanishes in the soft pion limit if either (9.a) or (10.a) is used for $B_{1NP}^{(+)}$. We shall henceforth consider only the dispersive approach.

As is shown in Appendix II the $N^*(1520)$ contribution is obtained from that of the $\Delta(1230)$ by letting $M \rightarrow -M$ (i.e. $M_\Delta \rightarrow -M_{N^*}$), $\frac{2}{3} g^* \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}g_{N^*}^*$, $G_1 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}H_1^V$, $G_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2^{V,S}$ where the H_1^V contribute to the (+) amplitude and the H_1^S to the (o) amplitude. To obtain the contribution to the (-) amplitude, the following relations should be used:

$$B_{jP}^{(-)}[N^*(1520)] = \frac{v}{v_{N^*}} B_{jP}^{(+)}[N^*(1520)], \quad j \neq 3, \quad (11.a)$$

$$B_{3P}^{(-)}[N^*(1520)] = \frac{v_{N^*}}{v} B_{3P}^{(+)}[N^*(1520)], \quad (11.b)$$

where $v_{N^*} = \frac{1}{2}(M^2 - m^2 - k \cdot q)$.

Finally, we write down the $N^*(1470)$ contributions:

$$B_{1P}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1470)] = -g^* \kappa'_{V,S} \frac{M-m}{4M} \frac{v_{N^*}}{v_{N^*}^2 - v^2}, \quad (12.a)$$

$$B_{2P}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1470)] = 0, \quad (12.b)$$

$$B_{3P}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1470)] = \frac{g^* \kappa'_{V,S} v}{4M(v_{N^*}^2 - v^2)}, \quad (12.c)$$

$$B_{4P}^{(+,0)}[N^*(1470)] = \frac{g^* \kappa'_{V,S} v_{N^*}}{4M(v_{N^*}^2 - v^2)}, \quad (12.d)$$

$$B_{jP}^{(-)}[N^*(1470)] = \frac{v}{v_{N^*}} B_{jP}^{(+)}[N^*(1470)], \quad j \neq 3, \quad (12.e)$$

$$B_{3p}^{(-)} [N^*(1470)] = \frac{\nu_{N^*}}{\nu} B_{3p}^{(+)} [N^*(1470)] \quad (12.f)$$

$$B_{1NP}^{(+,0)} [N^*(1470)] = \frac{g' \kappa'_{\nu,S}}{2M(M+m)} \quad (12.g)$$

$$B_{jNP}^i [N^*(1470)] = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} i = (+,0), \quad j = 2, 3, 4, \text{ or} \\ i = (-), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{array} \quad (12.h)$$

where $g' = -5.23$ is obtained from the on-mass-shell decay rate for $N^* \rightarrow N\pi$ and $\kappa'_S = 0.19$ and $\kappa'_\nu = 1.58$ are obtained in Appendix I. The $N^*(1535)$ contribution is obtained from that of the $N^*(1470)$ by letting $g' \rightarrow g''$, $\kappa' \rightarrow \kappa''$ and $M \rightarrow -M$ in (12).

D. Data comparison

We are now in a position to compare theory with data. McClaskey, Jacob, and Hite^(B) (MJH) have obtained values for the CGLN photoproduction amplitudes at threshold through the use of interior dispersion relations and we begin by comparing our predictions with their results. We will at first exclude the $j = 1$, $i = (+), (0)$ cases, since these contain the chiral breaking Σ terms which we wish to extract from the data, and will also exclude the $j = 2$ cases, since results for A_2^i are not given by MJH. The first thing we do is evaluate the background contributions at the physical threshold in the CM frame for the four isobars that we consider. These results are given in Table I. The background contributions are to be combined with the other terms contained in equations (1) of ref. I in order to obtain predictions of the photoproduction invariants that can be compared to the phenomenological values found by MJH. Note that MJH differ from us in their definitions of the A_j^i , namely,

$$(A_j^i)_{US} = -\frac{1}{e} (A_j^i)_{MJH} \quad e = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha} \quad (13)$$

Also, the numbers quoted in MJH are in units of GeV^{-n} ($n = 2$ for A_j , $n = 3$ for $A_{3,\nu}$) so we must multiply by a numerical factor μ^n to get numbers in units of inverse pion masses. The tabulated results are given in Table II. As can be seen, the data and theory agree fairly well, with the exception of $A_4^{(0)}$. This discrepancy is not serious since $A_4^{(0)}$ itself is very small.

The low-energy theorem for $A_3^{(-)}$ contains the form factor

$$G(t) = \frac{g_A(t) - g_A(\mu^2)}{t - \mu^2} \quad (14)$$

To estimate $G(t)$ we have used a dipole form for $g_A(t)$,

$$g_A(t) = \frac{g_A(0)}{(1 - t/m_A^2)^2} \quad (15a)$$

which gives at threshold for $g_A(0) \approx 1.25$

$$G(t) = G(-\mu^2) = \frac{2g_A(0)}{m_A^2} \quad (15b)$$

If we demand the low-energy theorem for $A_3^{(-)}$ in ref. I to be exactly satisfied for the reconstructed experimental value at threshold^(B), $A_3^{(-)} = -0.074 \pm 0.001\mu^{-3}$ then we can solve for (15b) and Table I as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(-\mu^2)}{f_\pi} &= A_3^{(-)} + \frac{g \kappa'_\nu}{8 m^2 (1 + \mu/2m)} - B_3^{(-)} \Big|_{\text{th}} \\ &= -0.074 + 0.019 + 0.103 = 0.048\mu^{-3} \end{aligned} \quad (16a)$$

$$m_A \approx 1.23 \text{ GeV} . \quad (16.b)$$

This value of m_A is in good agreement with the recent determination based upon neutrino scattering (5) and also threshold electroproduction (4).

Since the data and the theory appear to be in good agreement, we proceed to a determination of the non-strange quark mass \hat{m} which is contained in the $\Sigma_1^{(+,0)}$ terms of equation (1.a) of ref. I. There are two difficulties which prevent an accurate determination of \hat{m} . First, the values for the $E_{0+}^{(+,0)}$ multipoles at threshold given in the literature vary quite a bit from author to author. Second, since \hat{m} is derived from a small number which is the difference between two large numbers, even small fractional uncertainties in the data will yield large fractional uncertainties in \hat{m} .

There are two ways to obtain a value of \hat{m} . It can be evaluated by using the recent determinations of $A_1^{(+)}$ and $A_1^{(0)}$ made by MJH or from threshold values of $E_{0+}^{(+)}$ and $E_{0+}^{(0)}$ given in the literature.

To obtain \hat{m} directly from $A_1^{(+,0)}$, we use equation (1.a) of ref. I evaluated at physical threshold in the CM frame $v = m\mu$, $t = -u^2$,

$$A_1^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} = \frac{g}{4m^2(1+\mu/2m)} - \frac{g_A(\mu^2)\kappa^{V,S}}{4mf_\pi} - \frac{\Sigma_1^{(+,0)}}{f_\pi m_V^2} + B_1^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} , \quad (17)$$

where

$$\frac{\Sigma_1^{(+)}}{f_\pi m_V^2} \rightarrow \frac{\hat{m}}{f_\pi m_\rho^2} = 0.049 \hat{m}/\mu^3 \quad (18.a)$$

and

$$\frac{\Sigma_1^{(0)}}{f_\pi m_V^2} \rightarrow \frac{5}{9} \frac{\hat{m}}{f_\pi m_\rho^2} = 0.019 \hat{m}/\mu^3 . \quad (18.b)$$

To use $E_{0+}^{(+,0)}$ data for the determination of \hat{m} , we need $E_{0+}^{(+,0)}$ evaluated at the CM threshold:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{0+}^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} &\equiv \frac{8\pi(m+\mu)^2}{e\mu(2m+\mu)\sqrt{m(m+\mu)}} E_{0+}^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} \\ &= -A_1^{(+,0)} - \mu \frac{1+\mu/2m}{1+\mu/m} A_3^{(+,0)} - \mu \frac{\mu/2m}{1+\mu/m} A_4^{(+,0)} , \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where we have defined $\tilde{E}_{0+}^{(+,0)}$ to make the numerical analysis easier, with $\tilde{E}_{0+} = 47.55 E_{0+}/\mu$ at the CM threshold. Using equations (1) of ref. I to solve (19) for the chiral breaking terms we find $(g_A(\mu^2) = 1.30$ from (15))

$$\frac{\Sigma_1^{(+,0)}}{f_\pi m_V^2} = \frac{g(1+\kappa^{V,S})}{4m^2(1+\mu/2m)} - \frac{g_A(\mu^2)\kappa^{V,S}}{4mf_\pi} - \tilde{E}_{0+}^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} + \tilde{E}_{0+} \Big|_{th} , \quad (20)$$

where the background threshold multipoles are from Table I,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{0+}^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} &= -B_1^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} - \mu \frac{1+\mu/2m}{1+\mu/m} B_3^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} - \mu \frac{\mu/2m}{1+\mu/m} B_4^{(+,0)} \Big|_{th} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0.002 \pm 0.024 \mu^{-2} & (+) , \\ 0.001 \pm 0.014 \mu^{-2} & (0) . \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The values of \hat{m} obtained independently from the isovector and isoscalar are tabulated in Table III. Narrow width corrections to (21) can be expected to be negligible. Thus we may apply the various multipole analyses considered in the literature (9-20).

As can be seen from Table III, there is a large variation in the values of the extracted \hat{m} with reasonably large uncertainties. Nevertheless, the scale of the quark mass is small, since it is obtained from the difference between much larger terms. Therefore the few (unphysical) negative values of \hat{m} in Table III are of no great concern. If we average over all the predictions of \hat{m} in Table III we obtain $\hat{m} = 0.64 \pm 1.1\mu$. Clearly, better low-energy data is needed in order to zero in on the quark mass.

E. Conclusion

We conclude that the low-energy photoproduction data is reasonably consistent with the soft pion predictions of current algebra. Furthermore the on-shell Ward identities derived in ref. I appear to be in agreement with a recent extraction of the CM threshold invariant amplitudes. (8) Finally, various determinations of the chiral breaking quark mass roughly average to a value of $\hat{m} = 0.64 \pm 1.1\mu$.

In order that future data analyses zero in on the quark mass, we suggest the data be analyzed in terms of the invariant amplitudes below threshold, as is now done for πN scattering (see e.g. Nielsen and Oades (21)) rather than in terms of multipoles at threshold or slightly above threshold. In this connection it should prove useful to work with the amplitudes F , A_2 , A_3 , A_4 with

$$F = A_1 + \frac{\nu}{m} A_3 + \frac{k \cdot q}{2m} A_4 \quad (22)$$

replacing A_1 . This amplitude F is analogous to the πN amplitude $F = A + \nu B$ and both are useful because the non-pole parts (in dispersion theory) vanish

identically in the single soft pion limit. That is, the large contact terms of g^2/m in πN scattering and $g\kappa/4m^2$ for photoproduction are automatically subtracted out of the background amplitudes \bar{F} . For photoproduction, it is the difference $A_1 - g\kappa/4m^2$ which is the quantity of interest in determining the chiral breaking Σ -terms. If we use equations (1) of ref. I to write an off-shell (the pion) mass-shell expression for F we find

$$\bar{F}^{(+,0)} = \frac{g(q^2)_{\kappa} V, S}{4m^2} \left(1 - \frac{mg_A(q^2)}{F_{\pi} g(q^2)} \right) - \frac{q^2}{\mu^2} \frac{\delta_1^{(+,0)}}{f_{\pi}(m^2 + q^2 - \mu^2)} + F_{\text{res}}^{(+,0)}, \quad (23)$$

where $\bar{F}_{\text{res}}^{(+,0)} = B_1^{(+,0)} + \frac{\nu}{m} B_3^{(+,0)} + \frac{k \cdot q}{2m} B_4^{(+,0)}$. From this expression it is clear that $\bar{F} \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow 0$, since the B amplitudes vanish in the soft pion limit. Furthermore, we see that PCAC requires that \bar{F} be small on the pion mass shell. Thus it is the natural amplitude to use to investigate chiral breaking terms.

Finally, if our low-energy analysis is to be sharpened, the narrow width connection to all four isobar coupling constants should be found by folding in the relevant phase shift data near resonance. This has been considered for the $\Delta(1230)$ resonance in photoproduction (3) and in πN scattering (22), but also must be done for the 1520, 1470 and 1535 photoproduction resonances.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (M.D.S.) would like to thank R. Crayford, F. DonBay, F. Gault, G. Hite, R. Jacob and G. Mooghouse for helpful advice. He is also grateful to Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste. Hospitality at the University of Durham, and Imperial College, London, where this work was completed, is also gratefully acknowledged.

APPENDIX I

Resonance couplings

There are a total of eight couplings required to calculate the resonance background contributions to photoproduction. They are: $\gamma N\Delta$, $\pi N\Delta$ and γNN^* and πNN^* for the $N^*(1520)$, $N^*(1470)$ and $N^*(1535)$. We take these couplings to be given by the following:

$$\langle \Delta^k(K) | J_{\pi}^i | N(p) \rangle = -g^* \delta^{ik} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha(K) q_\alpha N(p), \quad (I.1.a)$$

$$\langle \Delta^j(K) | V_\nu^Y | N(p) \rangle = \delta^{j3} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha(K) [G_1 \chi_{\alpha\nu}^1 + G_2 \chi_{\alpha\nu}^2] N(p), \quad (I.1.b)$$

$$\langle N^*(K) | J_{\pi}^i | N(p) \rangle_{1520} = -g_{N^*} \bar{N}^{\alpha}(K) \tau^i q_\alpha \gamma_5 N(p), \quad (I.1.c)$$

$$\langle N^*(K) | V_\nu^Y | N(p) \rangle_{1520} = \bar{N}^{\alpha}(K) [H_1 J_{\alpha\nu}^1 + H_2 J_{\alpha\nu}^2] N(p), \quad (I.1.d)$$

$$\langle N^*(K) | J_{\pi}^i | N(p) \rangle_{1470} = g^* \bar{N}^{\alpha}(K) \tau^i \gamma_5 N(p), \quad (I.1.e)$$

$$\langle N^*(K) | V_\nu^Y | N(p) \rangle_{1470} = \bar{N}^{\alpha}(K) \frac{\kappa^-}{2M} i \sigma_{\nu\alpha} k^\alpha N(p), \quad (I.1.f)$$

$$\langle N^*(K) | J_{\pi}^i | N(p) \rangle_{1535} = g^* \bar{N}^{\alpha}(K) \tau^i N(p), \quad (I.1.g)$$

$$\langle N^*(K) | V_\nu^Y | N(p) \rangle_{1535} = \bar{N}^{\alpha}(K) \frac{\kappa^+}{2m} i \sigma_{\nu\alpha} k^\alpha \gamma_5 N(p), \quad (I.1.h)$$

with $Q = \frac{1}{2}(K+p)$ and where

$$\chi_{\alpha\nu}^1 = (k_\alpha \gamma_\nu - k_\nu \gamma_\alpha) \gamma_5,$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\nu}^2 = (k_\alpha Q_\nu - k_\nu Q_\alpha) \gamma_5,$$

$$J_{\alpha\nu}^i = \chi_{\alpha\nu}^i \gamma_5 = (k_\alpha Q_\nu - k_\nu Q_\alpha) \gamma_5,$$

$$H_i = \frac{1}{2}(H_i^S + H_i^V \tau^3),$$

$$\kappa^- = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_5^- + \kappa_V^- \tau_3),$$

$$\kappa^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_5^+ + \kappa_V^+ \tau_3).$$

The values of g^* , g_{N^*} , g^- and g^+ are obtained from the decay rates (as given in ref. (9) for resonance $\rightarrow \pi N$ and are given by $g^* = 15.39 m^{-1}$, $g_{N^*} = -23.11 m^{-1}$, $g_4 = -5.22$ and $g^+ = 0.68$. The radiative coupling constants are obtained from ref. 23 (BCP).

The manner in which the photon coupling constants are obtained deserves comment. The coupling constant data of BCP are given in terms of helicity amplitudes whereas our coupling constants do not correspond to definite helicity states. It is easy to relate our coupling constants to helicity coupling constants by expanding the relevant spinors into helicity states. When this is done the following results are obtained (also see ref.(24):

$$\sqrt{3} G_{3/2} = \frac{M^2 - m^2}{2M} [2mG_1 - M(M-m) G_2], \quad (I.2.a)$$

$$G_{3/2} = (M^2 - m^2) [G_1 + \frac{1}{2}(M-m) G_2], \quad (I.2.b)$$

$$\sqrt{3} H_{3/2} = -\frac{M^2-m^2}{2M} [2mH_1 + M(M+m) H_2] , \quad (I.2.c)$$

$$H_{3/2} = -(M^2-m^2)[H_1 + \frac{1}{2}(M+m) H_2] , \quad (I.2.d)$$

$$\kappa_{\frac{3}{2}}^- = -\sqrt{2}(M^2-m^2) \frac{\kappa_{\frac{3}{2}}^-}{2M} , \quad (I.2.e)$$

$$\kappa_{\frac{3}{2}}^+ = \sqrt{2}(M^2-m^2) \frac{\kappa_{\frac{3}{2}}^+}{2M} . \quad (I.2.f)$$

These coupling constants are still not those of the BCP tables.

In order to determine the relationship between our constants and the $\tilde{A}_{3/2, 1/2}$ of the BCP tables, we compare rate expressions. We obtain the following:

$$\Gamma_{\Delta}^W = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \frac{(M^2-m^2)}{32\pi M^3} [G_{3/2}^2 + G_{1/2}^2] , \quad (I.3.a)$$

$$\Gamma_{N^*(1520)}^W = e^2 \frac{(M^2-m^2)}{32\pi M^3} [H_{3/2}^2 + H_{1/2}^2] , \quad (I.3.b)$$

$$\Gamma_{N^*(1470)}^W = e^2 \frac{(M^2-m^2)}{16\pi M^3} (\kappa_{\frac{3}{2}}^-)^2 , \quad (I.3.c)$$

$$\Gamma_{N^*(1535)}^W = e^2 \frac{(M^2-m^2)}{16\pi M^3} (\kappa_{\frac{3}{2}}^+)^2 , \quad (I.3.d)$$

while the PDG tables⁽²⁵⁾ give

$$\Gamma^W = m \frac{(M^2-m^2)^2}{4M^3\pi} \frac{2}{2j+1} [\tilde{A}_{3/2}^2 + \tilde{A}_{1/2}^2] . \quad (I.4)$$

where j = spin of the decaying resonance.

Comparing (I.4) and (I.3) to obtain the G's, H's and F in terms of the \tilde{A} 's and then combining the results with the inverted form of (I.2), we find

$$G_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{M}{(M^2-m^2)(M+m)} \sqrt{\frac{m(M^2-m^2)}{\pi\alpha}} [\tilde{A}_{3/2}^{\Delta} + \sqrt{3} \tilde{A}_{1/2}^{\Delta}] , \quad (I.5.a)$$

$$G_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{2}{(M^2-m^2)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m(M^2-m^2)}{\pi\alpha}} [m\tilde{A}_{3/2}^{\Delta} - \sqrt{3} M \tilde{A}_{1/2}^{\Delta}] , \quad (I.5.b)$$

$$H_1 = -\frac{M}{(M^2-m^2)(M-m)} \sqrt{\frac{m(M^2-m^2)}{\pi\alpha}} [\tilde{A}_{3/2}^{N^*} - \sqrt{3} \tilde{A}_{1/2}^{N^*}] , \quad (I.5.c)$$

$$H_2 = \frac{2}{(M^2-m^2)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m(M^2-m^2)}{\pi\alpha}} [m\tilde{A}_{3/2}^{N^*} - \sqrt{3} M \tilde{A}_{1/2}^{N^*}] , \quad (I.5.d)$$

$$-\kappa^-, \kappa^+ = \frac{\sqrt{2}M}{(M^2-m^2)} \sqrt{\frac{m(M^2-m^2)}{\pi\alpha}} \tilde{A}_{1/2}^{N^*} , \quad (I.5.e)$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$.

These expressions imply from the BCP helicity couplings⁽²³⁾

$$G_1 = 2.90 \pm 0.09 \text{ GeV}^{-1} , \quad (I.6.a)$$

$$G_2 = -1.5 \pm 0.55 \text{ GeV}^{-2} , \quad (I.6.b)$$

$$H_1^P = -2.60 \pm 0.22 \text{ GeV}^{-1} , \quad (I.6.c)$$

$$H_1^N = 0.64 \pm 0.40 \text{ GeV}^{-1} , \quad (I.6.d)$$

$$H_2^P = 1.43 \pm 0.17 \text{ GeV}^{-2} , \quad (I.6.e)$$

$$H_2^n = 0.09 \pm 0.30 \text{ GeV}^{-2} , \quad (I.6.f)$$

$$\kappa_p^- = 0.88 \pm 0.18 , \quad (I.6.g)$$

$$\kappa_n^- = -0.69 \pm 0.19 , \quad (I.6.h)$$

$$\kappa_p^+ = 0.94 \pm 0.22 , \quad (I.6.i)$$

$$\kappa_n^+ = -1.28 \pm 0.39 . \quad (I.6.j)$$

We need the isovector and isoscalar coupling constants $H^V = H^P - H^n$, $H^S = H^P + H^n$, etc.

$$H_1^V = -3.24 \pm 0.46 \text{ GeV}^{-1} , \quad (I.7.a)$$

$$H_2^V = 1.33 \pm 0.34 \text{ GeV}^{-2} , \quad (I.7.b)$$

$$H_1^S = -1.95 \pm 0.46 \text{ GeV}^{-1} , \quad (I.7.c)$$

$$H_2^S = 1.52 \pm 0.34 \text{ GeV}^{-2} , \quad (I.7.d)$$

$$\kappa_V^- = 1.58 \pm 0.26 , \quad (I.7.e)$$

$$\kappa_S^- = 0.19 \pm 0.26 , \quad (I.7.f)$$

$$\kappa_V^+ = 2.22 \pm 0.45 , \quad (I.7.g)$$

$$\kappa_S^+ = -0.34 \pm 0.45 . \quad (I.7.h)$$

Calculation of the axial-vector background

As mentioned in the text, we choose to evaluate the background contribution of spin $\frac{3}{2}$ objects through the use of dispersion theory. Since dispersion theory calculations keep the intermediate states on-mass-shell, it is possible to use the unambiguous on-shell spin $\frac{3}{2}$ propagator. Ambiguities would be present if subtraction constants were needed, but the high-energy behavior of the CGLN invariant amplitudes guarantees that no subtractions are necessary because each amplitude falls off at least as fast as ν^{a-1} .

To calculate the background we must actually calculate a part of the Δ contribution to the axial-vector photoproduction amplitude $(\bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^i)^\Delta$. We do not need the full amplitude, since many of the double-index covariants required for the description of axial-vector photoproduction have zero contraction with q^μ . There are 16 double index covariants needed:

$$K_{\mu\nu}^1 = (k \cdot q g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu q_\nu) \gamma_5 , \quad (II.1.a)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^2 = (k \cdot q g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu q_\nu) \not{k} \gamma_5 , \quad (II.1.b)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^3 = (k \cdot q P_\mu - \nu k_\mu) K_\nu^1 , \quad (II.1.c)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^4 = P_\mu K_\nu^2 - 2\nu(k_\mu P_\nu - \nu g_{\mu\nu}) \gamma_5 , \quad (II.1.d)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^5 = P_\mu K_\nu^3 - \nu(k_\mu \gamma_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \not{k}) \gamma_5 , \quad (II.1.e)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^6 = P_\mu K_\nu^4 - v \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\gamma k) \quad , \quad (II.1.f)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^7 = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma_\mu, K_\nu^3 \} - k_\mu K_\nu^1 - 2(k_\mu P_\nu - v g_{\mu\nu}) \gamma_5 \quad , \quad (II.1.g)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^8 = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma_\mu, K_\nu^4 \} - 2P_\mu K_\nu^1 + 2m(k_\mu \gamma_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \not{k}) \gamma_5 = \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(kq) \quad , \quad (II.1.h)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^9 = (k \cdot q q_\mu - q^2 k_\mu) K_\nu^1 \quad , \quad (II.1.i)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{10} = q_\mu K_\nu^2 - 2q^2 (k_\mu P_\nu - v g_{\mu\nu}) \gamma_5 \quad , \quad (II.1.j)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{11} = q_\mu K_\nu^3 - q^2 (k_\mu \gamma_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \not{k}) \gamma_5 \quad , \quad (II.1.k)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{12} = q_\mu K_\nu^4 - q^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\gamma k) \quad , \quad (II.1.l)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{13} = k_\mu K_\nu^1 \quad , \quad (II.1.m)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{14} = (k_\mu P_\nu - v g_{\mu\nu}) \gamma_5 \quad , \quad (II.1.n)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{15} = (k_\mu \gamma_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \not{k}) \gamma_5 = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma_\mu, K_\nu^1 \} \quad , \quad (II.1.o)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{16} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\gamma k) = \frac{1}{2} [\gamma_\mu, K_\nu^1] \quad . \quad (II.1.p)$$

Here the single index K's are the standard GGLN covariants. Note that all of these covariants are electromagnetic current conserving, while only the first 12 conserve the axial-vector current. Along with these 16 covariants there are two "equivalence theorems" (see, e.g. refs.(26).

$$m[K_\mu K_\nu^4 - k \cdot q K_{\mu\nu}^{10}] = K_{\mu\nu}^1 - \frac{1}{2} k \cdot q K_{\mu\nu}^7 + v K_{\mu\nu}^8 + \frac{1}{2} K_{\mu\nu}^9 \quad , \quad (II.2.a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m[\gamma_\mu K_\nu^2 + k_\mu (K_\mu^4 + 2m K_\nu^1) - 2v K_{\mu\nu}^{15} + 4m K_{\mu\nu}^{14}] \\ = 2v K_{\mu\nu}^1 + 2m K_{\mu\nu}^5 - 2(m^2 + \frac{1}{2} k \cdot q) K_{\mu\nu}^7 + 2v K_{\mu\nu}^8 + K_{\mu\nu}^9 - m K_{\mu\nu}^{12} . \end{aligned} \quad (II.2.b)$$

While it is true that these 16 covariants form a complete set, using them as such necessitates the introduction of kinematic singularities into the invariant amplitudes multiplying them in processes involving an axial-vector current⁽²⁾. While this practice presents no problem in any dynamical calculation, it will lead to erroneous results in dispersion theory. We therefore need to introduce two more covariants, the use of which will eliminate the need for the introduction of kinematic singularities:

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{17} = P_\mu K_\nu^1 \quad , \quad (II.3.a)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{18} = q_\mu K_\nu^1 \quad . \quad (II.3.b)$$

We need only consider contributions to our background amplitude from the covariants $K_{\mu\nu}^{13}$ through $K_{\mu\nu}^{18}$ since

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^j = 0, \quad j = 1 - 12, \quad (\text{II.4.a})$$

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^{13} = k \cdot q K_\nu^1, \quad (\text{II.4.b})$$

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^{14} = \frac{1}{2} K_\nu^2, \quad (\text{II.4.c})$$

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^{15} = K_\nu^3, \quad (\text{II.4.d})$$

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^{16} = K_\nu^4, \quad (\text{II.4.e})$$

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^{17} = \nu K_\nu^1, \quad (\text{II.4.f})$$

$$q^\mu K_{\mu\nu}^{18} = q^2 K_\nu^1. \quad (\text{II.4.g})$$

Next we discuss the coupling at the $\Delta N A$ ($A \Rightarrow$ axial - vector) vertex, defined as in the πN scattering case (see, e.g. ref. 27),

$$\langle \Delta^k | A_\mu^i | N \rangle = -\delta^{ik} f_\pi \bar{\Delta}^\alpha(K) \left[g^*(q^2) \left(g_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{q_\alpha q_\mu}{q^2 - \mu^2} \right) + (\text{three other covariants}) \right] N(p). \quad (\text{II.5.a})$$

The three other covariants are divergenceless and would only contribute to the

$K_{\mu\nu}^1$ through $K_{\mu\nu}^{12}$ covariants, contributions in which we are not interested since they do not correspond to pion amplitudes. The term proportional to $q_\alpha q_\mu$ has a pole for physical pions and cannot contribute to the on-shell pion amplitude. It must therefore give the Δ contribution to the first term in $R_{\mu\nu}^i$ of equation (4) of ref. 1. To avoid double counting we ignore it here.

We are now ready to evaluate the the background amplitudes $B(\Lambda)$. We use equation (I.1.b) for the photon vertex coupling and

$$\langle \Delta^k | A_\mu^i | N \rangle = -\delta^{ik} g^* f_\pi \bar{\Delta}^\alpha(K) g_{\alpha\mu} N(p), \quad (\text{II.6})$$

at the axial-vector vertex. Using these couplings we find that

$$i f_\pi^{-1} (\bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^i)_S^\Delta = -\frac{2}{3} (I_+^i - \frac{1}{2} I_-^i) \frac{g^*}{M^2 - s} g_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha\beta}(K) [G_1 \mathcal{K}_{B\nu}^1 + G_2 \mathcal{K}_{B\nu}^2] \quad (\text{II.7})$$

for the s -channel, where $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha\beta}(K)$ is the on-shell spin $\frac{1}{2}$ projection operator (the $\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \tau_i \tau_j$ isospin part of (II.8) yields for the isospin couplings the structure $I_+ - \frac{1}{2} I_-$ in (II.7)):

$$\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(K) = -\left\{ g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \frac{1}{3M^2} (K^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \gamma^\alpha K^\beta) - \frac{2}{3M^2} K^\alpha K^\beta \right\} (K+M) (\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \tau_i \tau_j). \quad (\text{II.8})$$

We now work out (II.7) ignoring contributions from $K_{\mu\nu}^1$ through $K_{\mu\nu}^{12}$ which will not contribute to our final result. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
if_{\pi}^{-1} (\bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^i)_s^{\Delta} &= \frac{2}{3}(I_+^i - \frac{1}{2}I_-^i) \frac{g^*}{M^2-s} \left\{ K_{\mu\nu}^{13} \left\{ G_1 \left[1 - \frac{1}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{(M+m)(M-2m)}{6M^2} - \frac{2v}{3M^2} \right] \right. \right. \\
&+ G_2 \left[-m - \frac{1}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{M^2-m^2}{6M} - \frac{v}{3M} \right] \left. \right\} + K_{\mu\nu}^{14} \left\{ G_1 [2] + G_2 [M-m] \right\} \\
&+ K_{\mu\nu}^{15} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{\mu^2}{6M} + \frac{1}{3}(M+m) + \frac{M-m}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{M-m}{3M^2}v \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{1}{6}\mu^2 - \frac{M+m}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) \right. \right. \\
&+ \left. \left. \frac{5M-m}{6M}v \right] \right\} + K_{\mu\nu}^{16} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{\mu^2}{6M} - \frac{2}{3}(M+m) + \frac{M-m}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{M-m}{3M^2}v \right] \right. \\
&+ G_2 \left[\frac{1}{6}\mu^2 - \frac{M+m}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) - \frac{1}{2}k\cdot q - \frac{M+m}{6M}v \right] \left. \right\} + K_{\mu\nu}^{17} \left\{ G_1 \left[\frac{(M+m)(M-2m)}{3M^2} \right. \right. \\
&- \left. \left. \frac{2v}{3M^2} \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{M^2-m^2}{3M} - \frac{v}{3M} \right] \right\} + K_{\mu\nu}^{18} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{M^2+mM+m^2}{3M^2} - \frac{v}{3M^2} \right] \right. \\
&+ \left. G_2 \left[\frac{m(M-m)}{6M} - \frac{v}{6M} \right] \right\} \quad (11.9)
\end{aligned}$$

The u-channel result follows from this expression by letting $s \rightarrow u$, $v \rightarrow -v$, $K_{\mu\nu}^i \rightarrow K_{\mu\nu}^i$ $i = 13, 14, 16, 18$, $K_{\mu\nu}^i \rightarrow -K_{\mu\nu}^i$ $i = 15, 17$, and $I_-^i \rightarrow -I_-^i$. After adding the s and u channel contributions together we find the non-contribution to $M_{\mu\nu}$ which will not vanish in $q^{\mu}M_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
if_{\pi}^{-1} (\bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^i)_s^{\Delta} &= \frac{2}{3}I_+^i \frac{g^*}{v_{\Delta}^2-v^2} \left\{ K_{\mu\nu}^{13} \left\{ G_1 \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{(M+m)(M-2m)}{6M^2} \right) v_{\Delta} \right. \right. \right. \\
&- \left. \left. \frac{2v^2}{3M^2} \right] + G_2 \left[\left(-m - \frac{1}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{M^2-m^2}{6M} \right) v_{\Delta} - \frac{v^2}{3M} \right] \right\} + v_{\Delta} K_{\mu\nu}^{14} \left[2G_1 + (M-m)G_2 \right] \\
&+ v K_{\mu\nu}^{15} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{\mu^2}{6M} + \frac{1}{3}(M+m) + \frac{M-m}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{M-m}{3M^2}v_{\Delta} \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{1}{6}\mu^2 - \frac{M+m}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) \right. \right. \\
&+ \left. \left. \frac{5M-m}{6M}v_{\Delta} \right] \right\} + K_{\mu\nu}^{16} \left\{ G_1 \left[\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{6M} - \frac{2}{3}(M+m) + \frac{M-m}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) \right) v_{\Delta} + \frac{M-m}{3M^2}v^2 \right] \right. \\
&+ \left. G_2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{6}\mu^2 - \frac{M+m}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) - \frac{1}{2}k\cdot q \right) v_{\Delta} - \frac{M+m}{6M}v^2 \right] \right\} \\
&+ v K_{\mu\nu}^{17} \left\{ G_1 \left[\frac{(M+m)(M-2m)}{3M^2} - \frac{2v_{\Delta}}{3M^2} \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{M^2-m^2}{3M} - \frac{v_{\Delta}}{3M} \right] \right\} \\
&+ K_{\mu\nu}^{18} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{M^2+mM+m^2}{3M^2}v_{\Delta} - \frac{v^2}{3M^2} \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{m(M-m)}{6M}v_{\Delta} - \frac{v^2}{6M} \right] \right\} \left. \right\} \\
&- \frac{2}{3}I_-^i \frac{g^*}{v_{\Delta}^2-v^2} \left\{ v K_{\mu\nu}^{13} \left\{ G_1 \left[1 - \frac{1}{6M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{(M+m)(M-2m)}{6M^2} - \frac{2v_{\Delta}}{3M^2} \right] \right. \right. \\
&+ G_2 \left[-m - \frac{1}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) + \frac{M^2-m^2}{6M} - \frac{v_{\Delta}}{3M} \right] \left. \right\} + v K_{\mu\nu}^{14} \left[2G_1 + (M-m)G_2 \right] \\
&+ K_{\mu\nu}^{15} \left\{ G_1 \left[\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{6M} + \frac{1}{3}(M+m) + \frac{M-m}{6M^2}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) \right) v_{\Delta} + \frac{M-m}{3M^2}v^2 \right] + G_2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{6}\mu^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
&- \left. \left. \frac{M+m}{12M}(\mu^2+k\cdot q) \right) v_{\Delta} + \frac{5M-m}{6M}v^2 \right] \left. \right\} + v K_{\mu\nu}^{16} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{\mu^2}{6M} - \frac{2}{3}(M+m) \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{M-m}{6M^2} (\mu^2+k\cdot q) - \frac{M-m}{3M^2} v_\Delta \Big\} + G_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \mu^2 - \frac{M+m}{12M} (\mu^2+k\cdot q) - \frac{1}{2} k\cdot q - \frac{M+m}{6M} v_\Delta \right\} \\
& + K_{\mu\nu}^{-17} \left\{ G_1 \left[\frac{(M+m)(M-2m)}{3M^2} v_\Delta - \frac{2v^2}{3M^2} \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{M^2-m^2}{3M} v_\Delta - \frac{v^2}{3M} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + v K_{\mu\nu}^{-18} \left\{ G_1 \left[-\frac{M^2+mM+m^2}{3M^2} - \frac{v_\Delta}{3M^2} \right] + G_2 \left[\frac{m(M-m)}{6M} - \frac{v_\Delta}{6M} \right] \right\} \right\}. \quad (II.10)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the dispersion theory expression from (II.10) we let $v^2 \rightarrow v_\Delta^2$ in the coefficients of the crossing even quantities, that is, we let $v^2 \rightarrow v_\Delta^2$ inside the square brackets. After making this substitution, we contract with q^μ to obtain the contribution to the pion photoproduction amplitude. Note that when q^μ is contracted with the $K_{\mu\nu}^{-17}$ term of the I_+^i part of the amplitude, a term proportional to v^2 is introduced. To obtain the pole part of $B_1^{(+)}$, (9.a), this v^2 should be replaced by v_Δ^2 , while the non-pole term is obtained by replacing this v^2 by $v^2 - v_\Delta^2$. That is, if one wishes to write $B_1^{(+)}$ in the natural form $B_1^{(+)} = B_{1P}^{(+)} + B_{1NP}^{(+)}$ one should replace v^2 with $v^2 = v_\Delta^2 + (v^2 - v_\Delta^2)$.

As was mentioned in the body of the paper, the contribution from the $N^*(1520)$ is easily obtainable from that of the Δ . This can be seen from the expression for $(\bar{M}_{\mu\nu}^i)_s^{N^*}$ channel and using $\gamma_5^2 = -1$, $\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 = \gamma_\mu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
if_\pi^{-1} (M_{\mu\nu}^i)_s^{N^*} &= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{g_N^*}{M^2-s} g_{\mu\alpha} \gamma_5 \mathcal{O}^{\alpha\beta}(k) [-H_1 \mathcal{K}_{\beta\nu}^{-1} \gamma_5 - H_2 \mathcal{K}_{\beta\nu}^{-2} \gamma_5] \\
&= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{g_N^*}{M^2-s} g_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{O}^{\alpha\beta}(k) \Big|_{M \rightarrow -M} [H_1 \mathcal{K}_{\beta\nu}^{-1} - H_2 \mathcal{K}_{\beta\nu}^{-2}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_N^*}{M^2-s} g_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{O}^{\alpha\beta}(k) \Big|_{M \rightarrow -M} [(H_1 S I_0^i + H_1 V I_+^i + H_1 V I_-^i) \mathcal{K}_{\beta\nu}^{-1} \\
&\quad + (H_2 S I_0^i + H_2 V I_+^i + H_2 V I_-^i) \mathcal{K}_{\beta\nu}^{-2}], \quad (II.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in analogy with (II.6)

$$\langle N^* | A_\mu^i | N \rangle = -g_{N^*} f_\pi \bar{N}^{*\alpha}(k) \frac{1}{2} \tau^i g_{\alpha\mu} \gamma_5 N(p). \quad (II.12)$$

Comparing (II.7) and (II.11) we can see $\Delta \rightarrow N^*$ implies that we should let $\frac{2}{3}g^* \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}g_N^*$, $G_1 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}H_1^{V,S}$ and $G_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2^{V,S}$ for $(+,0)$, and $M \rightarrow -M$. The $(-)$ amplitude is then obtained from the following expressions:

$$B_j^{(-)N^*} = \frac{v}{v_{N^*}} B_{jP}^{(+)N^*}, \quad j \neq 3, \quad (II.13 a)$$

$$B_3^{(-)N^*} = \frac{v_{N^*}}{v} B_3^{(+)N^*}. \quad (II.13 b)$$

For completeness the alternate field theory background as obtained in ref. 6 is given by equations (7) and (10).

Finally we outline the derivation of the soft isobar expressions for the pion amplitude, equations (4.a) and (4.b). We first note that contracting (II.6) with q^μ and dividing by f_π yields the coupling for $\Delta N \pi$. This means that if we first contract (II.10) with q^μ and then let $v^2 \rightarrow v_\Delta^2$ (rather than performing these operations in the opposite order as was done above) we will obtain the on-shell expression for the pion amplitude. Letting $q \rightarrow 0$ in the coefficient of $I_+^i K_\nu^{-1}$ will yield equation (4.a) and the use of the $\Delta \rightarrow N^*$ prescription given after equation (II.11) will then yield equation (4.b).

REFERENCES

- 1) J.T. MacMullen and M.D. Scadron, to be published.
- 2) S. Fubini, G. Furlan and C. Rossetti, Nuovo Cimento 40, 1171 (1965).
- 3) S.L. Adler and F.J. Gilman, Phys. Rev. 152, 1460 (1966).
- 4) F.D. Gault and M.D. Scadron, Durham preprint, 1979.
- 5) J. Bell *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1012 (1978).
- 6) S. Fubini, G. Furlan and C. Rossetti, Nuovo Cimento 43, 161 (1966).
- 7) M.G. Olsson and E.T. Osypowski, Nucl. Phys. B87, 399 (1975).
- 8) R. McClaskey, R.J. Jacob, and G.E. Hite, Arizona State University preprint, and Phys. Rev. D18, 660 (1978).
- 9) R.G. Moorhouse, H. Oberlack, and A.H. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. D9, 1 (1974).
- 10) F.A. Berends and A. Donnachie, Nucl. Phys. B84, 342 (1975).
- 11) W. Pfeil and D. Schwela, Nucl. Phys. B45, 379 (1972).
- 12) G. von Gehlen, Bonn University preprint PI 2-80 (1970).
- 13) M.I. Adamovich *et al.*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 11, 369 (1970).
- 14) P. Nolle, Diploma Thesis, Bonn, 1972 (unpublished).
- 15) A. Donnachie and G. Shaw, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 37, 333 (1966).
- 16) P. de Boenst, Nucl. Phys. B24, 633 (1970).
- 17) M.G. Olsson and E.T. Osypowski, Phys. Rev. D17, 174 (1978).
- 18) F.A. Berends, A. Donnachie, and D.L. Weaver, Nucl. Phys. B4, 54 (1974).
- 19) G. Shaw and A. Donnachie, Nucl. Phys. 87, 556 (1967).
- 20) The values for the A_1^i and E_{0+}^i were obtained using hyperbolic boundary conditions and were given to us by MJH in a private communication.
- 21) H. Nielsen and G.C. Oades, Nucl. Phys. B72, 310 (1974).
- 22) G. Hühler, H.P. Jacob, and R. Strauss, Nucl. Phys. B39, 237 (1972).
- 23) I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, and N.H. Parsons, Nucl. Phys. B141, 253 (1978).
- 24) H.F. Jones and M.D. Scadron, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 81, 1 (1973).
- 25) Particle Data Group, Rev. Mod. Phys. 48, S1 (1978).
- 26) H.F. Jones and M.D. Scadron, Phys. Rev. 173, 1734 (1968); Nucl. Phys. B10, 17 (1969); and M.D. Scadron, Univ. of Arizona preprint, 1970 (unpublished).
- 27) M.D. Scadron and L.R. Thebaud, Phys. Rev. D9, 1544 (1974).

TABLE I

Isobar background contributions

	$\Delta(1230)$	$N^*(1520)$	$N^*(1470)$	$N^*(1535)$	Total
$B_{1P}^{(+)}(\mu^{-2})$	-0.362 ± 0.012	-0.010 ± 0.009	$+0.024 \pm 0.004$	-0.017 ± 0.003	-0.365 ± 0.016
$B_{1NP}^{(+)}(\mu^{-2})$	$+0.305 \pm 0.013$	$+0.006 \pm 0.008$	-0.023 ± 0.004	0.016 ± 0.003	$+0.304 \pm 0.016$
$B_{1}^{(+)}(\mu^{-2})$	-0.057 ± 0.018	-0.004 ± 0.012	$+0.001 \pm 0.006$	-0.001 ± 0.005	-0.061 ± 0.023
$B_{2}^{(+)}(\mu^{-4})$	$+0.045 \pm 0.002$	-0.006 ± 0.002	0	0	$+0.039 \pm 0.003$
$B_{3}^{(+)}(\mu^{-3})$	$+0.096 \pm 0.007$	$+0.003 \pm 0.001$	-0.0013 ± 0.001	-0.00017 ± 0.00004	$+0.098 \pm 0.007$
$B_{4}^{(+)}(\mu^{-3})$	-0.482 ± 0.017	-0.009 ± 0.002	-0.006 ± 0.001	-0.0010 ± 0.0002	-0.498 ± 0.017
$B_{1P}^{(0)}(\mu^{-2})$	0	-0.010 ± 0.009	$+0.003 \pm 0.004$	0.003 ± 0.003	$+0.016 \pm 0.010$
$B_{1NP}^{(0)}(\mu^{-2})$	0	-0.010 ± 0.008	-0.003 ± 0.004	-0.003 ± 0.003	-0.016 ± 0.009
$B_{1}^{(0)}(\mu^{-2})$	0	0.000 ± 0.012	0.000 ± 0.006	0.000 ± 0.005	0.000 ± 0.014
$B_{2}^{(0)}(\mu^{-4})$	0	0.000 ± 0.002	0	0	0.000 ± 0.002
$B_{3}^{(0)}(\mu^{-3})$	0	-0.001 ± 0.001	-0.0002 ± 0.0002	0.00003 ± 0.00004	-0.001 ± 0.001
$B_{4}^{(0)}(\mu^{-3})$	0	-0.004 ± 0.002	-0.001 ± 0.001	0.0001 ± 0.0002	-0.005 ± 0.002
$B_{1}^{(-)}(\mu^{-2})$	$+0.078 \pm 0.003$	-0.002 ± 0.002	0.005 ± 0.001	-0.003 ± 0.001	0.078 ± 0.004
$B_{2}^{(-)}(\mu^{-4})$	-0.0098 ± 0.0009	-0.0010 ± 0.0004	0	0	-0.011 ± 0.001
$B_{3}^{(-)}(\mu^{-3})$	-0.110 ± 0.008	$+0.0143 \pm 0.008$	-0.006 ± 0.001	-0.0010 ± 0.0002	-0.103 ± 0.011
$B_{4}^{(-)}(\mu^{-3})$	$+0.104 \pm 0.004$	-0.0017 ± 0.0003	-0.0013 ± 0.0002	-0.00017 ± 0.00004	0.101 ± 0.004

TABLE II

Data comparison with MJH for amplitudes containing no current algebra terms

	NUCLEON POLES	BACKGROUND	TOTAL	MJH
$A_3^{(+)}(\mu^{-3})$	$+0.275 \pm 0.014$	$+0.098 \pm 0.007$	$+0.373 \pm 0.016$	$+0.363 \pm 0.003$
$A_4^{(+)}(\mu^{-3})$	-0.019 ± 0.001	-0.498 ± 0.017	-0.517 ± 0.017	-0.431 ± 0.007
$A_3^{(0)}(\mu^{-3})$	-0.0089 ± 0.0004	-0.001 ± 0.001	-0.010 ± 0.001	-0.0097 ± 0.0001
$A_4^{(0)}(\mu^{-3})$	$+0.00062 \pm 0.00003$	-0.005 ± 0.002	-0.004 ± 0.002	-0.0135 ± 0.0001
$A_1^{(-)}(\mu^{-2})$	-0.998 ± 0.050	$+0.078 \pm 0.004$	-0.920 ± 0.050	-1.000 ± 0.010
$A_4^{(-)}(\mu^{-3})$	$+0.275 \pm 0.014$	$+0.101 \pm 0.004$	$+0.376 \pm 0.015$	$+0.357 \pm 0.003$

TABLE III

Quark mass values

Method	$\tilde{m}(\pi^+ \text{ masses})$	$E_0(10^{-2} \mu^{-1})$ or $A(\text{GeV}^{-2})_{\text{MJH}}$	$\tilde{E}_0(\mu^{-2})$ or $A_1(\mu^{-2})$ US	Source
A_1	3.58 ± 0.75	$A_1(+)$ = 6.63 ± 0.15	$A_1(+)$ = -0.429 ± 0.010	MJH (IDR) (8)
A_1	1.74 ± 0.74	$A_1(+)$ = 5.24 ± 0.13	$A_1(+)$ = -0.339 ± 0.008	MJH (HDR) (20)
A_1	-1.07 ± 0.83	$A_1(0)$ = -1.51 ± 0.02	$A_1(0)$ = 0.098 ± 0.001	MJH (JDR) (8)
A_1	-0.96 ± 0.83	$A_1(0)$ = -1.48 ± 0.02	$A_1(0)$ = 0.096 ± 0.001	MJH (HDR) (20)
E_0^+	3.66 ± 1.96	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.250 ± 0.175	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.119 ± 0.083	MJH (IDR) (8)
E_0^+	1.82 ± 1.01	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.060 ± 0.024	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.029 ± 0.011	MJH (HDR) (20)
E_0^+	-0.04 ± 0.98	$E_0^+(+)$ = -0.130	$E_0^+(+)$ = -0.062	NOLLE (14)
E_0^+	1.76 ± 0.98	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.055	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.026	DONNACHIE (15)
E_0^+	1.45 ± 0.98	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.022	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.011	DE BAENST (16)
E_0^+	0.29 ± 0.98	$E_0^+(+)$ = -0.097	$E_0^+(+)$ = -0.046	OLSSON (7, 17)
E_0^+	2.37 ± 0.98	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.117	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.056	BERENDS (18)
E_0^+	0.64 ± 1.32	$E_0^+(+)$ = -0.06 ± 0.09	$E_0^+(+)$ = -0.029 ± 0.043	ADAMOVIČ (13)
E_0^+	1.80 ± 0.98	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.059	$E_0^+(+)$ = 0.028	VON GEHLEN (12)
E_0^+	-0.98 ± 1.73	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.184 ± 0.060	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.087 ± 0.029	MJH (IDR) (8)
E_0^+	-0.93 ± 0.89	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.180 ± 0.014	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.086 ± 0.007	MJH (HDR) (20)
E_0^+	2.07 ± 0.80	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.060	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.029	NOLLE (14)
E_0^+	-0.03 ± 0.80	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.145	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.069	DONNACHIE (15)
E_0^+	-1.72 ± 0.80	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.212	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.101	DE BAENST (16)
E_0^+	0.13 ± 0.80	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.138	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.066	OLSSON (7, 17)
E_0^+	0.39 ± 0.80	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.127	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.061	BERENDS (18)
E_0^+	0.07 ± 1.50	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.14 ± 0.05	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.067 ± 0.024	ADAMOVIČ (13)
E_0^+	0.34 ± 0.80	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.13	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.062	VON GEHLEN (12)
E_0^+	0.39 ± 1.32	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.127 ± 0.041	$E_0^+(0)$ = -0.061 ± 0.020	SHAH (19)

- IC/78/108 M.P. DAS and J. MAHANTY: Effect of surface magnetic field on dissociation of absorbed molecules. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/121 W.H. MARCH and M.P. TOSI: Hydration of divalent ions in ice. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/122 G. FURLAN and E. CAVA: Meron solutions in a non-abelian conformal invariant Higgs model. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/123 J. SANTAMARINA: SU(4) Yang-Mills field solution. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/124 H.B. GEASSIE and G. BASKARAN: A ^4He effective interaction for dilute solutions of ^4He in liquid ^3He at low temperatures. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/125 G. SERRATORE, M. PARRINELLO and M.P. TOSI: Optical absorption of dilute solutions of metals in molten salts. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/126 G.F. JORJADZE, A.K. POGREBKOV and M.C. POLIVANOV: On the solutions with singularities of the Liouville equation $\Delta\varphi \pm (\varphi^2/2)\exp\varphi = 0$. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/127 T. SUZUKI: Operator ordering and functional integrals for Fermi systems. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/128 A. OSMAN: Three-body model for stripping nuclear reactions. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/129 I.A. ELTAYEB and M.H.A. HASSAN: On the non-linear evolution of sand dunes. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/130 A.M. KHAN: Gamow-Teller decay of the 0.617 MeV 7^+ state in ^{42}Sc . INT.REP.*
- IC/78/131 B.L. ANEVA and D.P. STOYANOV: A supersymmetric form of the Thirring model. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/132 G. GIULIANI and E. TOSATTI: Longitudinal phonon spectrum of incommensurate one-dimensional charge-density-waves. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/133 P. BUDINI, P. FURLAN and R. RACZKA: Weyl and conformal covariant field theories. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/134 B. PRASAD and C.P. SINGH: Constraints due to partial conservation of axial vector current and charmed baryon couplings. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/135 A.M. KHAN: Isospin asymmetry in $A = 9$ mirror beta decays. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/136 Second Latin-American workshop on self-consistent theories of condensed matter - 9-20 October 1978 (Contributions). INT.REP.*
- IC/78/137 ABDUS SALAM: The electroweak force, grand unification and super-unification. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/138 P. BUDINI, P. FURLAN and R. RACZKA: A possible geometrical origin of isotopic symmetry group in strong interactions. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/139 J. TARSKI: Remarks on localizability and on quantized gauge fields. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/140 CAO-XUAN-CHUAN: On the JWKB approximation in the case of a multi-component potential. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/141 S.K. BANDEY: On conformal invariance of gravitational wave equations. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/142 P. BUDINI, P. FURLAN and R. RACZKA: Possible origin of isotopic spin from extended conformal symmetry. INT.REP.*
- IC/78/143 J. NIEDELM: The unusual algebras and their applications in particle physics. INT.REP.*

* Internal Reports: Limited distribution.

THESE PREPRINTS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE PUBLICATIONS OFFICE, ICTP, P.O. BOX 586, I-34100 TRIESTE, ITALY. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO WRITE TO THE AUTHORS.

IC/78/144 L. BERTOCCHI and D. TRELEANI: K^0 regeneration in nuclei and the effect of the inelastic intermediate states.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/145 J. LUKIERSKI: Graded orthosymplectic geometry and $OSp(4,1)$ -invariant fermionic σ -models.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/146 J. LUKIERSKI: Field operator for unstable particle and complex mass description in local QFT.

IC/78/147 MUBARAK AHMAD: Symmetry breaking mechanism, scaling transformations and Josephson effects in superfluid helium-4.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/148 A. QADIR: Field equations in twistors.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/149 I.I. DELCHEV, E.K. MARCHEV and I.J. PETKOV: A view on reactions of complete fusion.

IC/78/150 T. SUZUKI: An anomaly-free regularization for axial-vector current.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/151 M. ROVERE, R. MINIERO, M. PARRINELLO and M.P. TOSI: Gas-liquid transition in charged fluids.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/152 A.N. ANTONOV, V.A. NIKOLAEV and I.Z. PETKOV: Coherent fluctuations of nuclear density.

IC/78/153 M.A. NAMAZIE: On an $OSp(1,4)$ renormalizable theory of supergravity with higher derivatives.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/154 A. QADIR and W.A. SAYED: Hawking radiation and strong gravity black holes.

IC/78/157 N.S. BAKLINI and H.B. BOUZOUITA: Quantisation of the non-linear super-Poincaré fermion in a bag-like formulation.

IC/78/158 V. ELIAS and S. RAJPOOT: Enhanced flavour charge in the integer quark charge model.
INT.REP.*

IC/78/159 V. ELIAS and S. RAJPOOT: Low unifying mass scales without intermediate chiral colour symmetry.

IC/78/160 V. DE ALFARO, S. FUBINI and G. FURLAN: Gauge theory and strong gravity; the use of Weyl invariance.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/2 MUBARAK AHMAD: Few-body system and particle resonances.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/5 V. ELIAS and S. RAJPOOT: Confinement, perturbation theory and Starman-Weinberg jets.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/6 MUBARAK AHMAD and N. SHAFI JALLU: Heavy quark and magnetic moment.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/7 K. KAWARABAYASHI and T. SUZUKI: Dynamical quark mass and the $U(1)$ problem.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/9 G. VIDOSSICH: A priori bounds for solutions of two-point boundary value problems using differential inequalities.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/10 G. VIDOSSICH: On the existence of positive solutions for non-linear elliptic equations.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/11 G. VIDOSSICH: Comparison, existence, uniqueness and successive approximations for two-point boundary value problems.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/12 G. VIDOSSICH: Two remarks on the stability of ordinary differential equations.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/19 A. MORILE and M.P. TOSI: Inhomogeneity effects in the exchange hole for a quasi-one-dimensional chain.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/22 B.K. PAL: Charm fragmentation function from neutrino data.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/23 H.P. MITAL and U. NARAIN: Dielectronic recombination in sodium iso-electronic sequence.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/28 J.G. ESTEVE and A.P. PACHECO: Renormalisation group approach to the phase diagram of two-dimensional Heisenberg spin systems.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/29 B. MAHADEVI-BHAGAVATH: Production of light leptons in arbitrary beams via many-vector boson exchange.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/30 M. PARRINELLO and M.P. TOSI: Analytic solution of the near spherical approximation for a multicomponent plasma.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/31 H. AKCAI: The leading order behaviour of the two-photon next-to-leading amplitudes in QCD.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/33 J. LORENZ, J. PRZYTYKA and A.P. CRACKNELL: A comment on the chain subtraction criterion.
INT.REP.*

