

REFERENCE

IC/79/52



INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR
THEORETICAL PHYSICS

GAUGE INVARIANCE AND FERMION MASS DIMENSIONS

V. Elias



INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY



UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION

1979 MIRAMARE-TRIESTE



International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

GAUGE INVARIANCE AND FERMION MASS DIMENSIONS *

V. Elias

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

Renormalization-group equation fermion mass dimensions are shown to be gauge dependent in gauge theories possessing non-vector couplings of gauge bosons to fermions. However, the ratios of running fermion masses are explicitly shown to be gauge invariant in the SU(5) and SU(2) × U(1) examples of such theories.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

May 1979

* To be submitted for publication.

One of the outstanding successes of the SU(5) model ¹⁾ has been its ability to relate differing fermion masses to a hierarchy of symmetry breaking ^{2),3)}. Specifically, d, s and b quarks have been shown to be heavier than their corresponding leptons (e, μ, τ) because leptons do not communicate with the QCD subgroup of the unified theory ⁴⁾. However, inconsistencies between the formulae for fermion mass dimensions ($\gamma_{m_f}^{(i)}$) appearing in Refs. 2 and 3 have led me to re-examine the calculation of these quantities in arbitrary covariant gauge. These inconsistencies are shown below to be a consequence of gauge dependence of $\gamma_{m_f}^{(i)}$ in theories where non-vector couplings occur between fermions and gauge bosons.

To gain an understanding of this loss of gauge invariance, consider first the renormalized fermion propagator in QED. The photon-electron coupling is, of course, vector and is given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = -e\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A^\mu$. The renormalized electron propagator G(p) is found from the electron self-energy $\Sigma(p)$:

$$G(p) = \frac{a(p^2)\not{p} + b(p^2)m}{m^2 - p^2} = \frac{1}{m - \not{p}} \left(1 + \Sigma(p) \frac{1}{\not{p} - m} \right) \quad (1)$$

In the limit of large spacelike momenta ($|p^2| \gg m^2$), G(p) and $\Sigma(p)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{\Sigma}(p) = r\not{p} - sm; \quad a(p^2) = 1 + r; \quad b(p^2) = 1 + 2r + s, \quad (2)$$

where ⁵⁾

$$r = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} d^0 \log \frac{|p^2|}{\mu^2}; \quad s = -\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} (3 + d^0) \log \frac{|p^2|}{\mu^2}, \quad (3)$$

where μ is an (arbitrarily) chosen renormalization point and where d^0 , the gauge parameter, is the coefficient of the longitudinal component of the photon propagator

$$D_{\mu\nu} = \left[(g_{\mu\nu} - (1-d^0) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}) / k^2 \right] .$$

Correspondence to a gauge theory of vector fermion currents is obtained by adding fermion self-energy contributions from each gauge boson of the theory. For example, the currents coupling to a red quark in QCD are

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_I = g_3 \left\{ \left[\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu r (v_\mu^3/2 + v_\mu^8/\sqrt{12}) \right] + \left[\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu y (v_\mu^1 - i v_\mu^2)/2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \left[\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu b (v_\mu^4 - i v_\mu^5)/2 + \text{h.c.} \right] \right\}, \quad (4)$$

so that self-energy bubbles from v^{1-5} and v^8 must be added to find $\Sigma(p)$. This sum can be obtained from the QED expressions for r and s (corresponding to the exchange of a single vector-coupled gauge boson) by replacing e^2 (Eq.(3)) with $g_3^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} + 4 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \right) = 4g_3^2/3$, where the v^3, v^8 and the four equal v^1, v^2, v^4, v^5 contributions are respectively indicated. In general, if fermions transform under a fundamental representation of $SU(N)$ that is a vector coupled to the gauge bosons of the group, then $\Sigma(p)$ is given by

$$r = \frac{g^2 d^0}{16\pi^2} C_2(N) \log \frac{|p^2|}{\mu^2}; \quad s = -\frac{g^2(3+d^0)}{16\pi^2} C_2(N) \log \frac{|p^2|}{\mu^2}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^I \lambda_{\beta\gamma}^I/4 = C_2(N) \delta_{\alpha\gamma} = [(N^2-1)/2N] \delta_{\alpha\gamma}.$$

The fermion mass dimension $\gamma_m^{(N)}$ is found by taking the renormalized fermion inverse propagator $\Gamma^{(2)}(p) = a(p^2)\not{p} - b(p^2)m + O(m^2/p^2)$ through the renormalization group equation ⁽⁶⁾

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \Gamma^{(2)}(p) = \left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + m \gamma_m^{(N)} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} + \gamma_\psi^{(N)} + \delta(g,d) \frac{\partial}{\partial d} \right] \Gamma^{(2)}(p). \quad (6)$$

We substitute r and s (Eq.(5)) into the inverse-propagator coefficients $a(p^2)$ and $b(p^2)$ (Eq.(2)) to obtain mass ($\gamma_m^{(N)}$) and wave function ($\gamma_\psi^{(N)}$) fermion dimensions to order g^2 $\left[\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right.$ and $\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial d}$ are $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$]:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_m^{(N)} &= -3 g^2 C_2(N)/8\pi^2, \\ \gamma_\psi^{(N)} &= g^2 C_2(N) d^0/8\pi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$\gamma_m^{(N)}$ is independent of d^0 and is therefore gauge invariant.

Now consider the self-energy contribution $\Delta\Sigma(p)$ from the interaction of fermions to a single non-vector-coupled gauge boson B :

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = g \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \left[a_+(1 + \gamma_5)/2 + a_-(1 - \gamma_5)/2 \right] \not{B}_\mu.$$

This contribution is given by

$$\Delta r = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} d^0 \frac{(a_+^2 + a_-^2)}{2} \log \frac{|p^2|}{\mu^2}; \quad \Delta s = -\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} (3 + d^0) a_+ a_- \log \frac{|p^2|}{\mu^2} \quad (8)$$

(for vector-coupled theories $a_+ = a_- = 1$, and Eq.(8) becomes the same as Eq.(3)).

These values for Δr and Δs can be used to determine Δa and Δb (Eq.(2)) in the inverse propagator $\Gamma^{(2)} = a\not{p} - bm$. Subsequent substitution of this new inverse propagator into the renormalization-group equation leads to the following contributions to fermion dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \gamma_\psi &= \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} d^0 (a_+^2 + a_-^2), \\ \Delta \gamma_m &= \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-(6 + 2d^0) a_+ a_- + d^0 (a_+^2 + a_-^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The above expression $\Delta \gamma_m$ for the mass dimension from a single gauge boson is the same as that given in Ref.3 (Eq.(2.22)), provided one chooses the Feynman gauge ($d^0 = 1$). Similarly, Eq.(9) yields the same values as Ref.2 for $U(1)$ fermion-mass dimensions from the Weinberg-Salam $U(1)$ current ⁽⁷⁾,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_I = g_1 B^\mu \left\{ \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \left[(1 + \gamma_5)/2 + 4(1 - \gamma_5)/2 \right] u + \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \left[(1 + \gamma_5)/2 - 2(1 - \gamma_5)/2 \right] d \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \left[-3(1 + \gamma_5)/2 - 6(1 - \gamma_5)/2 \right] e + \bar{\nu} \gamma_\mu \left[-3(1 + \gamma_5)/2 \right] \nu \right\}, \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

provided one chooses the Landau gauge ($d^0 = 0$).⁸⁾ In any case, Eq.(9) shows that gauge invariance of γ_m is lost unless $a_+ = a_-$, in which case the coupling is vectorial.

What is the meaning of this failure of gauge invariance? The u, d and e mass dimensions in the SU(2) x U(1) (Weinberg-Salam⁷⁾) and the SU(5) (Georgi-Glashow¹⁾) theories are easily calculated by using the recipe of Eq.(9) to obtain the contribution of each gauge boson in SU(2), U(1) and SU(5) to $\gamma_m^{(N)}$. Adding each contribution to $\gamma^{(2)}$, $\gamma^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(5)}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{e,d,u}^{(2)} &= \frac{g_2^2}{16\pi^2} \left[\frac{3}{4} d^0 \right]; \quad \gamma_e^{(1)} = \frac{g_1^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-\frac{9}{5} + \frac{3}{20} d^0 \right], \\ \gamma_d^{(1)} &= \frac{g_1^2}{16\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{5} + \frac{3}{20} d^0 \right]; \quad \gamma_u^{(1)} = \frac{g_1^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-\frac{2}{5} + \frac{3}{20} d^0 \right], \\ \gamma_{e,d}^{(5)} &= \frac{g_5^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-\frac{54}{5} + \frac{12}{5} d^0 \right]; \quad \gamma_u^{(5)} = \frac{g_5^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-\frac{72}{5} + \frac{12}{5} d^0 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that the difference between $\gamma^{(i)}_s$ obtained for different fermion identities is always independent of d^0 . The ratios of fermion masses depend only on the differences. For example, if two fermions f and g have mass dimensions $\gamma_f^{(i)}$, $\gamma_g^{(i)}$ under the subgroup G_i , then

$$\log \frac{m_f(\mu)}{m_g(\mu)} = \log \frac{m_f(M)}{m_g(M)} + \sum_i \int_M^\mu [\gamma_f^{(i)}(\mu') - \gamma_g^{(i)}(\mu')] d\mu'/\mu'. \quad (12)$$

Thus the calculations of fermion mass ratios given in Refs.2 and 3 remain gauge invariant, even though the individual fermion mass dimensions are not gauge invariant⁹⁾.

The difference between fermion mass dimensions under a given subgroup should, in fact, always be gauge independent, for no physically measurable quantity (such as the ratio of fermion masses) should depend on the choice of

gauge. Different choices for $m_f(Q^2)$, the magnitude of a given fermion's mass at momentum scale Q, rescale all other fermion masses at that momentum without altering any mass ratio - in effect, such choices correspond to a change in the measured unit of mass.

Thus, a specific value (at momentum Q) for a ratio $r(Q^2)$ of fermion masses can always be used to determine r at a different momentum Q' (Eq.(12)) in SU(2) x U(1) or SU(5) theories. However, the lack of gauge invariance in the fermion mass dimension itself does have some startling implications. A specific value for the fermion mass $m_f(Q^2)$ cannot be used to specify $m_f(Q'^2)$ in a gauge-invariant manner, unless the gauge bosons of the appropriate theory are all vector-coupled to fermions¹⁰⁾. Thus, relationships between constituent quark masses $m_f(\mu = 2m_f)$ and current algebra masses⁶⁾ ($\mu' \gg 2m_f$) become ambiguous when SU(2) x U(1) corrections to QCD are considered.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful for discussions with Neil S. Craigie, Steven Downes-Martin, Ali Namazie, Subhash Rajpoot, Abdus Salam, Tom Sherry and David Storey. I should also like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- 1) H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Letters 32, 438 (1974).
- 2) A.J. Buras, J. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard and D.V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B135, 66 (1978).
- 3) D.V. Nanopoulos and D.A. Ross, CERN preprint TH.2536.
- 4) This idea was first suggested by J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D10, 275 (1974), and subsequently applied in M.S. Chanowitz, J. Ellis and M.K. Gaillard, Nucl. Phys. B128, 506 (1977).
- 5) N.N. Bogolubov and D.V. Shirkov, Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields (Interscience Publishers, New York 1959), pp.402-4, 534-5.
- 6) H. Georgi and H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. D14, 1829 (1976).
- 7) S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 1264 (1967);
A. Salam, ⁱⁿ Proceedings of the 8th Nobel Symposium, Ed. N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm 1968), p.367.
- 8) The authors of Ref.2 erred by assigning a non-zero value to $\gamma_f^{(2)}$. Their value corresponds to what $\gamma^{(2)}$ would be if SU(2) were coupled vectorially rather than left-handedly. However, erroneous but equal factors for $\gamma_e^{(2)}$, $\gamma_d^{(2)}$, $\gamma_u^{(2)}$ cancelled in their mass ratio calculations, which are unaffected.
- 9) Note also that $|\gamma_u^5| > |\gamma_d^5|$. The SU(5) model currents (Ref.1) are entirely contained within SO(10) (I am grateful to S. Rajpoot for demonstrating this explicitly). Thus if u and d fermions have the same mass in an SO(10) theory until breakdown into an SU(5) intermediate step occurs, $m_{u,c,t}$ will become greater than $m_{d,s,b}$, respectively, until SU(5) symmetry is broken further to SU(3) × SU(2) × U(1). Therefore, an SO(10) → SU(5) → SU(3) × SU(2) × U(1) hierarchy admits the possibility of u, d and e (or c, s and μ ; t, b and τ) evolving

from a single mass. However, my estimate of the ultimate unifying mass scale for such a theory is much greater than the Planck mass and, therefore, unrealistic.

- 10) This behaviour is to be contrasted with that of the running coupling constants $g(Q^2)$, which are manifestly independent of the choice of gauge [D.J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D8, 3633 (1973)]. Indeed, one of the reasons for believing in QCD is the calculable decrease of $g_3(Q^2)$ with increasing Q^2 , as manifested in the scaling of lepto-production cross-sections. Note, however, that running coupling constants and "running mass ratios" are intrinsically dimensionless, whereas the running mass is not.

- IC/78/108 M.P.DAS and J. MAHANTY: Effect of surface magnetic field on dissociation of absorbed molecules.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/121 N.H. MARCH and M.P. TOSI: Hydration of divalent ions in ice.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/122 G. FURLAN and E. GAVA: Meron solutions in a non-abelian conformal invariant Higgs model.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/123 J. SANTAMARINA: SU(4) Yang-Mills field solution.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/124 H.B. GHASSIB and G. BASKARAN: A ^4He effective interaction for dilute solutions of ^4He in liquid ^3He at low temperatures.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/125 G. SENATORE, M. PARRINELLO and M.P. TOSI: Optical absorption of dilute solutions of metals in molten salts.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/126 G.P. JORJADZE, A.K. POGREBKOV and M.C. POLIVANOV: On the solutions with singularities of the Liouville equation $\square\phi \pm (m^2/2)\exp\phi = 0$.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/127 T. SUZUKI: Operator ordering and functional integrals for Fermi systems.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/128 A. OSWALD: Three-body model for stripping nuclear reactions.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/129 I.A. ELTAYEB and M.H.A. HASSAN: On the non-linear evolution of sand dunes.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/130 A.M. KHAN: Gamow-Teller decay of the 0.617 MeV 7^+ state in ^{42}Sc .
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/131 B.L. ANEVA and D.T. STOYANOV: A supersymmetric form of the Thirring model.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/132 G. GIULIANI and E. TOSATTI: Longitudinal phonon spectrum of incommensurate one-dimensional charge-density-waves.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/133 P. BUDINI, P. FURLAN and R. RACZKA: Weyl and conformal covariant field theories.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/134 R. PRASAD and C.P. SINGH: Constraints due to partial conservation of axial vector current and charmed baryon couplings.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/135 A.M. KHAN: Isospin asymmetry in $A = 9$ mirror beta decays.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/136 Second Latin-American workshop on self-consistent theories of condensed matter - 9-20 October 1978 (Contributions).
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/137 ABDUS SALAM: The electroweak force, grand unification and super-unification.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/138 P. BUDINI, P. FURLAN and R. RACZKA: A possible geometrical origin of isotopic symmetry group in strong interactions.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/139 J. TARSKI: Remarks on localizability and on quantized gauge fields.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/140 CAO-XUAN-CHUAN: On the JWKB approximation in the case of a multi-component potential.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/141 S.N. PANDEY: On conformal invariance of gravitational wave equations.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/142 P. BUDINI, P. FURLAN and R. RACZKA: Possible origin of isotopic spin from extended conformal symmetry.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/143 J. NIEDERLE: The unusual algebras and their applications in particle physics.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/144 L. BERTOCCHI and D. TRELEANI: K^0 regeneration in nuclei and the effect of the inelastic intermediate states.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/145 J. LUKIERSKI: Graded orthosymplectic geometry and $\text{OSp}(4,1)$ -invariant fermionic G -models.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/146 J. LUKIERSKI: Field operator for unstable particle and complex mass description in local QFT.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/147 MUBARAK AHMAD: Symmetry breaking mechanism, scaling transformations and Josephson effects in superfluid helium-4.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/148 A. QADIR: Field equations in twistors.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/149 I.I. DELCHEV, E.K. MARCHEV and I.J. PETKOV: A view on reactions of complete fusion.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/150 T. SUZUKI: An anomaly-free regularization for axial-vector current.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/151 M. ROVERE, R. MINIERO, M. PARRINELLO and M.P. TOSI: Gas-liquid transition in charged fluids.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/152 A.N. ANTONOV, V.A. NIKOLAEV and I.Z. PETKOV: Coherent fluctuations of nuclear density.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/153 M.A. NAMAZIE: On an $\text{OSp}(1,4)$ renormalizable theory of supergravity with higher derivatives.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/154 A. QADIR and W.A. SAYED: Hawking radiation and strong gravity black holes.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/157 N.S. BAKLINI and H.B. BOUZOUITA: Quantization of the non-linear super-Poincaré fermion in a bag-like formulation.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/158 V. ELIAS and S. RAJPOOT: Enhanced flavour charge in the integer quark charge model.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/159 V. ELIAS and S. RAJPOOT: Low unifying mass scales without intermediate chiral colour symmetry.
INT.REP.*
- IC/78/160 V. DE ALFARO, S. FUBINI and G. FURLAN: Gauge theory and strong gravity; the use of Weyl invariance.
INT.REP.*

* Internal Reports: Limited distribution.

THESE PREPRINTS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE PUBLICATIONS OFFICE, ICTP, P.O. BOX 586, I-34100 TRIESTE, ITALY. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO WRITE TO THE AUTHORS.

IC/79/2 MUBARAK AHMAD: Few-body system and particle resonances.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/5 V. ELIAS and S. RAJPOOT: Confinement, perturbation theory and Sterman-Weinberg jets.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/6 MUBARAK AHMAD and M. SHAFI JALLU: Heavy quark and magnetic moment.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/7 K. KAWARABAYASHI and T. SUZUKI: Dynamical quark mass and the U(1) problem.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/9 G. VIDOSSICH: A priori bounds for solutions of two-point boundary value problems using differential inequalities.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/10 G. VIDOSSICH: On the existence of positive solutions for non-linear elliptic equations.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/11 G. VIDOSSICH: Comparison, existence, uniqueness and successive approximations for two-point boundary value problems.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/12 G. VIDOSSICH: Two remarks on the stability of ordinary differential equations.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/19 A. NOBILE and M.P. TOSI: Inhomogeneity effects in the exchange hole for a quasi-one-dimensional chain.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/22 B.K. PAL: Charm fragmentation function from neutrino data.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/25 H.P. MITAL and U. NARAIN: Dielectronic recombination in sodium iso-electronic sequence.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/28 J.G. ESTEVE and A.F. PACHECO: Renormalization group approach to the phase diagram of two-dimensional Heisenberg spin systems.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/29 E. MAHDAVI-HEZAVEH: Production of light leptons in arbitrary beams via many-vector boson exchange.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/30 M. PARRINELLO and M.P. TOSI: Analytic solution of the mean spherical approximation for a multicomponent plasma.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/31 H. AKOAY: The leading order behaviour of the two-photon scattering amplitudes in QCD.
INT.REP.*

IC/79/33 J. LORENC, J. PRYZYSTAWA and A.P. CRACKNELL: A comment on the chain subduction criterion.
INT.REP.*