



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

LBL--15672


DE83 008396

Presented at the Fifth Topical Conference
on RF Plasma Heating, Madison, WI,
February 21-23, 1983

EIKONAL THEORY OF THE TRANSITION TO PHASE
INCOHERENCE

Allan N. Kaufman and Eliezer Rosengaus

February 1983



MASTER

EIKONAL THEORY OF THE TRANSITION TO PHASE INCOHERENCE*

Allan N. Kaufman and Eliezer Rosengaus
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Physics Department
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

When a monochromatic electromagnetic wave propagates through a nonuniform plasma (of n dimensions), its refraction may be studied in terms of its family of rays in $2n$ -dimensional phase space (k, x) . These rays generate an n -dimensional surface, imbedded in the phase space. The wave amplitude and phase are defined on this surface. As the rays twist and separate (from the dynamics of the ray Hamiltonian), the surface develops pleats and becomes convoluted. Projection of the surface onto x -space then yields a multivalued $k(x)$. The local spectral density, as a function of k for given x , exhibits sharp spikes at these $k(x)$, in the ray-optics limit. The next correction yields a finite width to these spikes. As the surface becomes more and more pleated, these spectral peaks overlap; the spectrum changes qualitatively from a line spectrum to a continuous spectrum. Correspondingly, the two-point spatial correlation function loses its long-range order, as the correlation volume contracts. This phenomenon is what we call the transition to incoherence.

* Presented at the Fifth Topical Conference on RF Plasma Heating, Madison, Wisconsin, 21-23 Feb. 1983

This work was supported by the Office of Fusion Energy and the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-ACOE-76SF00098.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

The propagation of a monochromatic electromagnetic wave through a stationary nonuniform plasma is represented by the family of rays $d\mathbf{r}/dt = \partial\omega/\partial\mathbf{k}$, $d\mathbf{k}/dt = -\partial\omega/\partial\mathbf{r}$. For an incident coherent wave, we use the eikonal form


$$\underline{E}(\underline{r}, t) = \underline{E}(\underline{r}) e^{-i\omega_0 t} + c. c., \quad (1)$$

$$\underline{E}(\underline{r}) = \hat{e}(\underline{r}) \tilde{E}(\underline{r}) e^{i\psi(\underline{r})}, \quad (2)$$

in terms of the polarization $\hat{e}(\underline{r})$, amplitude $\tilde{E}(\underline{r})$, and phase function $\psi(\underline{r})$. The local wave vector is $\underline{k}(\underline{r}) = \nabla\psi(\underline{r})$. In the 2n-dimensional phase space $(\underline{r}, \underline{k})$, the n-dimensional surface $\underline{k}(\underline{r})$ is termed a "Lagrangian manifold" ($\underline{\Sigma}$). This surface is generated by the rays; as the rays separate (unstably) or twist (stably), due to refraction by the nonuniform medium, the surface becomes highly convoluted. Thus $\underline{k}(\underline{r})$ becomes multivalued, and a local spectrum arises. As this spectrum becomes continuous, the local spatial correlation becomes short-range, and the wave may be termed incoherent.

For illustrative purposes, let the plasma be two-dimensional:

$\underline{r} = (x, y)$, with the spatial variation one-dimensional (in x). Let the phase function $\psi(\underline{r})$ be specified at $y=0$ (say), so that $k_x(x, y=0) = \partial\psi(x, y=0)/\partial x$ is known, while $k_y(x, y=0)$ is determined by the dispersion relation $\omega(k_x, k_y, x) = \omega_0$. The single-valued curve k_x vs. x is the intersection of the Lagrangian manifold with the plane $y=0$:



Now, as the rays propagate (in y), they generate a convoluted surface, whose section with the plane $y=y_1$ yields a multi-valued $k_x(x)$:



(At the caustics, a change of representation $\psi(\underline{x}) \rightarrow \psi(\underline{k})$ allows the Wigner method to be used even in the presence of this folding.) Except at the caustics, Eq. (2) now generalizes to

$$\underline{E}(\underline{x}) = \sum_j \hat{e}_j(\underline{x}) \tilde{E}_j(\underline{x}) e^{i\psi_j(\underline{x})} \quad (3)$$

with $\tilde{E}_j(\underline{x}) = \nabla \psi_j(\underline{x})$ representing the several spectral branches.

To determine the \underline{k} -spectrum, we introduce the local spatial Fourier transform of the electric field: (4)

$$\underline{E}(\underline{k}, \underline{x}) = \int_y e^{-i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{y}} \underline{E}(\underline{x} + \underline{y}) w(\underline{y}), \quad (4)$$

where $w(\underline{y})$ is a window function. A convenient choice is

$$w(\underline{y}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \underline{y} \underline{\sigma} \underline{y}} \quad (5)$$

where $\underline{\sigma}$ is a symmetric matrix, whose eigenvalues are the spatial extent of the window. The local spectral density is defined as

$$I(\underline{k}, \underline{x}) = |\underline{E}(\underline{k}, \underline{x})|^2 \quad (6)$$

(With the choice (5), it is the coarse-grained Wigner function.)

To simplify the discussion considerably, we now examine the contribution of only the phase $\psi_j(\underline{x})$ of a single branch. Letting $\phi_j(\underline{x}) = \exp i\psi_j(\underline{x})$, we calculate $\phi_j(\underline{k}, \underline{x})$ from (the analogue of) Eq. (4), and obtain its contribution to the local spectral density

$$I_j(\underline{k}, \underline{x}) \sim |\det(\underline{\sigma}^{-2} + i \nabla \nabla \psi_j)|^{-1} e^{-i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{x}} (\underline{\sigma}^{-2} + i \nabla \nabla \psi_j)^{-1} \quad (7)$$

where $\underline{k} = \underline{k} - \underline{k}^j(\underline{x})$. Next, we minimize the spectral width with respect to $\underline{\sigma}$. The appropriate $\underline{\sigma}$ is diagonal with respect to the principal axes of $\nabla \nabla \psi_j$, and has components $\sigma_\mu = |\psi_j^j|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\{\psi_j^j\}$ are the eigenvalues of $\nabla \nabla \psi_j$.

With this choice, the spectrum for this one branch is

$$I_j(\underline{k}, \underline{x}) \sim \prod_{m=1}^N |\psi_{jm}^j|^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \kappa_m^2 |\psi_{jm}^j|^{-1}} \quad (8)$$

The spectral width is thus $|\psi_{jm}^j|^{-1/2} = |\partial \epsilon_m / \partial x_j|^{-1/2}$, of order $(\lambda/L)^{1/2}$, where L is the scale-length for the nonuniform medium.

Now, with several (N) branches at given \underline{x} , we have the possibility of overlap of the corresponding contributions to the total spectral density. The spectral width then is of order $N(\lambda/L)^{1/2}$, and the correlation distance (its reciprocal) of order $(\lambda/L)^{1/2}/N$. With this decrease in the spatial phase-correlation, the wave has become incoherent.

Acknowledgements

This work was stimulated by Jack Schuss' observation, at the Fourth Conference, that ray instability made the results of ray tracing unreliable, and by Ward Getty's selection of this fact as an outstanding problem. Extensive discussions with Steven McDonald and with Alan Weinstein contributed to our understanding.

References

- 1.(a) V. P. Maslov and M. V. Fedoriuk, Semi-Classical Approximation in Quantum Mechanics (Reidel, 1981).
- (b) M. V. Berry and N. L. Balazs, J. Phys. A 12, 625 (1979); M. V. Berry, N. L. Balazs, M. Tabor, and A. Voros, Ann. Phys. 122, 26 (1979).
- (c) M. V. Berry, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A 287, 237 (1977).
2. S. W. McDonald, Ph.D. thesis, U. of Calif. Berkeley (1983).

LEGAL NOTICE

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.