



REFERENCE

IC/82/145

**INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR
THEORETICAL PHYSICS**

HADRONIC COUPLINGS OF OPEN BEAUTY STATES

S.N. Ram

and

C.P. Singh



**INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY**



**UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

1982 MIRAMARE-TRIESTE

International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

HADRONIC COUPLINGS OF OPEN BEAUTY STATES *

S.N. Ram
Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India,

and

C.P. Singh **
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

Strong interaction coupling parameters of particles with beauty quantum number are obtained using dispersion sum rules in various forms, e.g. current algebra sum rules, superconvergence sum rules and finite energy sum rules etc. These sum rules lead to a set of algebraic relations among masses and coupling constants. We compare the hadronic couplings of beautiful particles as obtained from various techniques and discuss their implications on the hadronic production of these states.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

August 1982

* To be submitted for publication.

** Permanent address: Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent years have witnessed a great deal of activities in the study of hadrons due to exciting discoveries of charm and beauty quantum numbers. Consequently, there has been an increase of the parameters required to describe the interaction properties of these states, e.g. coupling constants, form factors, etc. The main line of attack to the problem of finding a consistent scheme for understanding and predicting these quantities ¹⁾ is based on quantum chromodynamics (QCD) which is the candidate theory for strong interactions. However, these attempts suffer from the lack of proper understanding of non-perturbative effects. An alternative approach, which has so far played a central role in the strong interaction physics, is based on the S matrix phenomenology. This approach has given us many calculational tools in the form of current algebra sum rules, superconvergence relations, etc. Recently hadronic couplings of charmed particles have been calculated using these techniques by many authors ²⁾⁻⁸⁾. In this paper we want to use these techniques to calculate hadronic couplings of open beauty particles. This theoretical study of hadronic parameters of beautiful particles will throw considerable light on the dynamics of flavour symmetry breaking as well as on the hadronic production of these particles.

Recently we have used PCAC Adler's consistency conditions ⁴⁾, finite energy sum rules and superconvergence sum rules ^{5),6)} to determine charmed particles coupling constants. We have further exploited these hadronic parameters to determine ⁷⁾ the suppression factors for the charmed particle productions in exclusive πp and pp interactions. Recently, Chung *et al.* ⁹⁾ have obtained experimental limits on D^* production in $\pi^- p$ interaction. We find that the experimental data support our findings. In this paper we shall make an extensive study of hadronic couplings of beautiful hadrons which have beauty ± 1 , charm and strangeness quantum numbers zero. The masses and spin parities used for these particles have recently been calculated by various authors ¹⁰⁾. These parameters are tabulated in Table I. Recently Bologna-CERN-Frascati (BCF) collaboration ^{11),12)} has reported the hadronic production of beautiful baryons at $\sqrt{s} = 63$ GeV. However, the experimental data are still incomplete and ambiguous ¹³⁾. We hope that in the near future we will have sufficient reliable experimental information on open beauty states to test our theoretical calculations.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec.II we discuss the use of PCAC Adler's constraints on the invariant amplitudes for various elastic and inelastic processes involving beautiful baryons. Uses of superconvergence

sum rules and finite energy sum rules involving various amplitudes as well as their combinations have been given in Sec.III. In Sec.IV we summarize the implications of our results and list the conclusions.

II. PCAC ADLER CONDITIONS

We consider the following elastic as well as inelastic processes involving pion and beautiful baryons:

$$\pi + \Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi + \Lambda_b \quad (1)$$

$$\pi + \Sigma_b \rightarrow \pi + \Sigma_b \quad (2)$$

$$\pi + \Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi + \Sigma_b \quad (3)$$

$$\pi + \Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi + \Sigma_b^* \quad (4)$$

The derivations of Adler's consistency conditions on the invariant amplitudes of these processes are straightforward and have been discussed elsewhere⁴⁾. We get the following conditions:

$$A^{\pi\Lambda_b}(\nu=0, \nu_B=0, K^2=0) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$A^{\pi\Sigma_b}(\nu=0, \nu_B=0, K^2=0) = \frac{4g^2_{\pi\Sigma_b\Sigma_b} K_{\pi\Sigma_b\Sigma_b}^{(0)}}{m_{\Sigma_b}} \quad (6)$$

$$A^{\pi\Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi\Sigma_b}(\nu=0, \nu_B=0, K^2=0) = \frac{g_{\pi\Lambda_b\Sigma_b} K_{\pi\Lambda_b\Sigma_b}^{(0)}}{m_{\Lambda_b} + m_{\Sigma_b}} \quad (7)$$

$$Q_2^{\pi\Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi\Sigma_b^*}(\nu=0, \nu_B=0, K^2=0) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where g is the coupling constant, $K_{\pi\Lambda_b\Sigma_b}^{(0)}$ is the pionic form factor of the vertex evaluated at $K^2=0$ and

$$\nu = - \frac{K \cdot (b_i + b_f)}{m_i + m_f}$$

$$\nu_B = \frac{K \cdot q}{m_i + m_f}$$

We have decomposed the matrix element for meson baryon scattering

$M(K, m_\pi) + B(p_i, m_i) + M(q, m_\pi) + B'(p_f, m_f)$ into the invariant amplitudes as follows:

$$T = -A + i\delta \cdot K \cdot B \quad (9)$$

for the processes (1)-(3) and

$$T_\alpha = a_1 K_\alpha + a_2 q_\alpha + i\delta \cdot K (b_1 K_\alpha + b_2 q_\alpha) \quad (10)$$

for the process (4).

In the numerical evaluation of the consistency conditions, we use unsubtracted dispersion relations for the invariant amplitude

$$A(\nu, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Im_m A(\nu', t)}{\nu' - \nu} d\nu' \quad (11)$$

and evaluate them by pole term contributions in s as well as u channels at fixed $t = \frac{m_\pi^2}{\pi}$ (i.e. $\nu_B = 0$). The usual procedure is to make a subtraction at threshold in writing the dispersion relation for the amplitude A . However, in the absence of experimental information for the processes (1)-(4), we are unable to determine this subtraction constant. We have also shown that in the low-energy limits which we consider in evaluating the integral, our results do not change much even if we take the modified form for the dispersion relation based on the finite energy sum rule for the amplitude¹⁴⁾.

Saturating the condition (5) with the help of known $I = 1$ beautiful baryon states Σ_b and Σ_b^* in the narrow width approximation we get

$$1.4 \Gamma_{\Sigma_b} - \Gamma_{\Sigma_b^*} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where Γ_{Σ_b} and $\Gamma_{\Sigma_b^*}$ are the widths of Σ_b and Σ_b^* for the decay into $\Lambda_b \pi$ channel, respectively. We can relate the widths of Σ_b^* and Y_1^* (1385) on the basis of single quark transition scheme¹⁴⁾ motivated by Melosh transformation and we get

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_b^* \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi} = 0.89 \Gamma_{Y_1^* \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi}. \quad (13)$$

Using the width for $Y_1^* \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi$ decay mode, we get the width for Σ_b^* as follows:

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_b^* \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi} = 27.6 \text{ MeV}. \quad (14)$$

Now from (12) we can predict

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_b \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi} = 19.8 \text{ MeV}. \quad (15)$$

The values of the corresponding coupling constants can be calculated and are given as

$$\frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} = 275.7 \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi m_\pi^2} = 5.8. \quad (17)$$

Similarly from the consistency conditions (6)-(8), after the saturation of dispersion integral with the known low-lying beautiful baryon states, we get

$$790.4 \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} + 0.17 \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} = 0.68 \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} \quad (18)$$

$$754.05 \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} + -0.087 \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} = 0.087 \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} \quad (19)$$

$$0.623 \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} - 1.99 \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} = 0 \quad (20)$$

From these equations, we can find the values of remaining coupling constants

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_{\pi \Lambda_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} &= 275.6, & \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} &= 96.4, \\ \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi m_\pi^2} &= 1.15, & \frac{g_{\pi \Sigma_b^* \Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} &= 5.4. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

III. SUPERCONVERGENCE SUM RULES

Let us consider the following processes involving pion and beautiful baryons:

$$\pi^+ + \Sigma_b^- \rightarrow \pi^- + \Sigma_b^+ \quad (22)$$

$$\pi^+ + \Sigma_b^- \rightarrow \pi^- + \Sigma_b^{*+} \quad (23)$$

$$\pi + \Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi + \Lambda_b \quad (24)$$

$$\pi + \Lambda_b \rightarrow \pi + \Sigma_b^* \quad (25)$$

These processes are similar to the processes considered in the charmed baryon case ⁵⁾. Therefore, corresponding superconvergence sum rules can be written as follows:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } B^{I_t=2}(\nu, 0) d\nu = 0 \quad (26)$$

for the process (22). And

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } a_2^{I_t=2}(\nu, 0) d\nu = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } b_1^{I_t=2}(\nu, c) d\nu = 0 \quad (28)$$

for the process (23). Similarly we deduce

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } B^{I_t=0}(\nu, 0) d\nu = 0 \quad (29)$$

for (24), and finally for the process (25)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im } b_2^{I_t=1}(\nu, 0) d\nu = 0 \quad (30)$$

After saturating these sum rules with the beautiful baryons listed in Table I and using the value of $g_{\pi\Lambda_b\Sigma_b}^2/4\pi m_\pi^2 = 5.8$ from (17), we get the following values of the coupling constants:

$$\frac{g_{\pi\Lambda_b\Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} = 242.7, \quad \frac{g_{\pi\Sigma_b\Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} = 124.5,$$

$$\frac{g_{\pi\Sigma_b\Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi m_\pi^2} = 0.82, \quad \frac{g_{\pi\Sigma_b^*\Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} = 93.2, \quad \frac{g_{\pi\Sigma_b^*\Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi} = 0.46. \quad (31)$$

These values can be compared with the values given in Eq.(21) obtained from PCAC conditions. We find that all the values of the coupling constants agree within 20% except for the value of $g_{\pi\Sigma_b^*\Sigma_b^*}^2$. This difference we obtained ⁵⁾ in the charmed baryon case as well.

Similarly, constructing the superconvergent combination from the invariant amplitudes for the processes $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$, $KN \rightarrow KN$, $BN \rightarrow BN$, $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $\pi D \rightarrow \pi D$ and $\pi B \rightarrow \pi B$, we find ⁶⁾ the following superconvergence sum rules:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im} (B_{\pi N}^P A_{BN}^P - A_{\pi N}^P B_{BN}^P) d\nu = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im} (B_{KN}^P A_{BN}^P - A_{KN}^P B_{BN}^P) d\nu = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im} [(M_{\pi\pi}^P B_{BN}^P) - (M_{\pi B}^P B_{\pi N}^P)] d\nu = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Im} (M_{\pi B}^P - M_{\pi D}^P) d\nu = 0. \quad (35)$$

In order to saturate sum rules obtained above, we retain the contributions from the low-lying states only in s as well as u channels. For example, we use N and Δ states $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$; $\Lambda_b, \Sigma_b, \Sigma_b^*$ for $BN \rightarrow BN$; Λ, Σ, Y_1^* for $KN \rightarrow KN$, ρ for $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$, D^* for $\pi D \rightarrow \pi D$ and B^*, B^{**} for $\pi B \rightarrow \pi B$. We further use $\Gamma_{B^{**} \rightarrow B\pi} = 93 \text{ KeV}$ [Ref.16], $g_{\pi DD^*}^2/4\pi = 0.4$ [Ref.6], $g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2/4\pi = 2.9$, $g_{KN\Lambda}^2/4\pi = 10$, $g_{KN\Sigma}^2/4\pi = 3$, $g_{KN Y_1^*}^2/4\pi m_K^2 = 6.03$, $g_{\pi NN}^2/4\pi = 14.6$ and $g_{\pi N\Delta}^2/4\pi m_\pi^2 = 18$. We finally get the following values of the coupling constants:

$$\frac{g_{B^*B\pi}^2}{4\pi} = 2.43, \quad \frac{g_{BN\Lambda_b}^2}{4\pi} = 1317.8,$$

$$\frac{g_{BN\Sigma_b}^2}{4\pi} = 1172.7, \quad \frac{g_{BN\Sigma_b^*}^2}{4\pi m_B^2} = 32.4. \quad (36)$$

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We find that the values of the coupling constants for the beautiful particles are much larger than the corresponding values for the charmed and strange particles. It emphasizes the role of $SU(5)$ flavour symmetry breaking. As the masses of the particles become larger, their coupling strengths also increase. It should be mentioned that we have nowhere considered $SU(5)$ flavour symmetry in our calculations. But in our calculations, we are relating the symmetry breaking of particle couplings to the known symmetry breaking in particle masses because we are getting algebraic relations in masses and coupling constants after saturating the sum rules. We hope that this increased value of the coupling for the beautiful baryons will play a dominant role in increasing the production cross-sections for these particles. We hope that in the near future, sufficient experimental data will become available to test these predictions.

In conclusion, superconvergence and current algebra sum rules give a significant tool to calculate hadronic coupling strengths involving particles and resonances. Although these sum rules are of great theoretical interest, it is difficult to give any convincing saturation scheme¹⁴⁾ for them. However, the saturation scheme employed here, has been found to be successful in the past in predicting many coupling constants. Moreover, lack of experimental information on beautiful baryon spectroscopy prompts us to stick to the saturation by low-lying states only. We hope that the values of these coupling constants obtained here will help us in drawing a dynamical scheme for the breaking mechanism of flavour symmetry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (C.P. Singh) would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste.

REFERENCES

- 1) L.J. Reinders, S. Yazaki and H.R. Rubinstein, Phys. Letters 104B, 305 (1981).
- 2) R.L. Thews, Phys. Rev. D17, 255 (1978).
- 3) A. Lahiri and V.P. Gautam, Phys. Rev. D23, 2758 (1981).
- 4) R. Prasad and C.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D20, 256 (1979).
- 5) R. Prasad and C.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D21, 836 (1980).
- 6) R. Prasad and C.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D21, 3259 (1980).
- 7) R. Prasad and C.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. 26, 709 (1982).
- 8) M. Suzuki, Phys. Letters 66B, 57 (1977).
- 9) S.U. Chung et al. BNL preprint 30437 (1981).
- 10) M. Caroli, S. Gentile and R. Mignani, Nuovo Cimento Letters 29, 92 (1980);
G. Dattoli, R. Mignani and D. Prosperi, Nuovo Cimento Letters 27, 252 (1980);
A. Martin, Phys. Letters 103B, 97 (1981).
- 11) M. Basile et al. Nuovo Cimento Letters 31, 97 (1981); Nuovo Cimento 65A, 391 (1981); Nuovo Cimento 65A, 408 (1981).
- 12) A. Zichichi, CERN-EP/82-30, Talk given at EPS Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics, Lisbon (1981).
- 13) D. Drijsard et al. CERN/EP 82-31.
- 14) R. Prasad and C.P. Singh, J. Phys. G 1, 19 (1981).
- 15) B.W. Lee, C. Quigg and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D15, 157 (1977).
- 16) K. Ekesh and K. Igi, Phys. Rev. D23, 2027 (1981).

Table I

Particles	J^P	I	Charm	Strangeness	Beauty	Mass (GeV)	Strong decay mode
B	0^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	5.28	-
B*	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	5.36	-
B**	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	5.55	B π
A_b	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0	-1	5.625	-
E_b	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0	-1	5.846	$A_b \pi$
Σ_b^*	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0	0	0	-1	5.869	$A_b \pi$

- IC/82/23 INT.REP.* SUN KUN OH - Mass splitting between B^+ and B^0 mesons.
- IC/82/24 INT.REP.* A. BREZINI - Self-consistent study of localization near band edges.
- IC/82/25 INT.REP.* C. PANAGIOTAKOPOULOS - Dirac monopoles and non-Abelian gauge theories.
- IC/82/26 CAO CHANG-qi and DING KING-fu - Intermediate symmetry $SU(4)_{EC} \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and the $SU(N)$ unification series.
- IC/82/27 H.B. GHASSIB and S. CHATTERJEE - ^4He -impurity effects on normal liquid ^3He at low temperatures - I: Preliminary ideas and calculations.
- IC/82/28 C. PANAGIOTAKOPOULOS, ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE - Supersymmetric local field theory of monopoles.
- IC/82/29 INT.REP.* G.A. CHRISTOS - Concerning the proofs of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in QCD from the effective Lagrangian point of view.
- IC/82/30 INT.REP.* M.I. YOUSEF - Diffraction model analyses of polarized ^6Li elastic scattering.
- IC/82/31 L. MIZRACHI - Electric-magnetic duality in non-Abelian gauge theories.
- IC/82/32 INT.REP.* W. MECKLENBURG - Hierarchical spontaneous compactification.
- IC/82/33 C. PANAGIOTAKOPOULOS - Infinity subtraction in a quantum field theory of charges and monopoles.
- IC/82/34 INT.REP.* M.W. KALINOWSKI, M. SEWERYNSKI and L. SZYMANOWSKI - On the F equation.
- IC/82/35 INT.REP.* H.C. LEE, LI BING-AN, SHEN QI-XING, ZHANG MEI-MAN and YU HONG - Electroweak interference effects in the high energy $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + \text{hadrons}$ process.
- IC/82/36 G.A. CHRISTOS - Some aspects of the $U(1)$ problem and the pseudoscalar mass spectrum.
- IC/82/37 C. MUKKU - Gauge theories in hot environments: Fermion contributions to one-loop.
- IC/82/38 INT.REP.* W. KOTARSKI and A. KOWALEWSKI - Optimal control of distributed parameter system with incomplete information about the initial condition.
- IC/82/39 INT.REP.* M.I. YOUSEF - Diffraction model analysis of polarized triton and ^3He elastic scattering.
- IC/82/40 INT.REP.* S. SELZER and N. MAJLIS - Effects of surface exchange anisotropy in Heisenberg ferromagnetic insulators.
- IC/82/41 INT.REP.* H.R. HAROON - Subcritical assemblies, use and their feasibility assessment.
- IC/82/42 W. ANDREONI and M.P. TOSI - Why is AgBr not a superionic conductor?

- IC/82/43 N.S. CRAIGIE and J. STERN - What can we learn from sum rules for vertex functions in QCD?
- IC/82/44 ERNEST C. NJAU - Distortions in power spectra of digitised signals - I: General formulations.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/45 ERNEST C. NJAU - Distortions in power spectra of digitised signals - II: Suggested solution.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/46 H.R. DALAFI - A critique of nuclear behaviour at high angular momentum.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/47 N.S. CRAIGIE and P. RATCLIFFE - Higher power QCD mechanisms for large P_T strange or charmed baryon production in polarized proton-proton collisions.
- IC/82/48 B.R. BULKA - Electron density of states in a one-dimensional distorted system with impurities: Coherent potential approximation.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/49 J. GORECKI - On the resistivity of metal-tellurium alloys for low concentrations of tellurium.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/50 S. RANDJBAR-DAEMI and R. PERCACCI - Spontaneous compactification of a (4+d)-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory into $M_4 \times G/H$ for arbitrary G and H.
- IC/82/51 P.S. CHEE - On the extension of H^P -functions in polydiscs.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/53 S. YOKSAN - Critical temperature of two-band superconductors containing Kondo impurities.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/54 M. ÖZER - More on generalized gauge hierarchies.
- IC/82/55 N.S. CRAIGIE and P. RATCLIFFE - A simple method for isolating order α_S and α_S^2 corrections from polarized deep-inelastic scattering data.
- IC/82/56 C. PANAGIOTAKOPOULOS - Renormalization of the QEMD of a dyon field.
- IC/82/57 J.A. MAGPANTAY - Towards an effective bilocal theory from QCD in a background.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/58 S. CHAKRABARTI - On stability domain of stationary solitons in a many-charge field model with non-Abelian internal symmetry.
- IC/82/59 J.K. ABOAYE and D.S. PAYIDA - High temperature internal friction in pure aluminium.
INT.REP.*
- IC/82/60 Y. FUJIMOTO and ZHAO ZHIYONG - $N-\bar{N}$ oscillation in SO(10) and SU(6) supersymmetric grand unified models.
- IC/82/61 J. GORECKI and J. POPIELAWSKI - On the application of the long mean free path approximation to the theory of electron transport properties in liquid noble metals.
- IC/82/62 I.M. REDA, J. HAFNER, P. PONGRATZ, A. WAGENDRISTEL, H. BANGERT and P.K. BHAT - Amorphous Cu-Ag films with high stability.
- IC/PD/82/1 PHYSICS AND DEVELOPMENT (Winter College on Nuclear Physics and Reactors, 25 January - 19 March 1982).
INT.REP.

