LBL--15119

DE83 011679

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

Presented at the Particle Accelerator Conference on Accelerator, Engineering and Technology, Sweeney Convention Center, Santa Fe, NM, March 21-23, 1983

LONG-PULSE INDUCTION ACCELERATION OF HEAVY IONS

Andris Faltens, Milton Firth, Denis Keefe, and Stephen S. Rosenblum

March 1983



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

LBL-15119

LONG-PULSE INDUCTION ACCELERATION OF HEAVY-IONS*

Andris Faltens, Milton Firth, Denis Keefe, Stephen S. Rosenblum

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

March 1983

NOTICE
PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE.
It has been reproduced from the best available copy to permit the broadest possible availability.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

*Work supported by the Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs, Office of Inertial Fusion, Laser Fusion Division, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

This manuscript was printed from originals provided by the authors.

LONG-PULSE INDUCTION ACCELERATION OF HEAVY-IONS*

Andris Faltens, Milton Firth, Denis Keefe, Stephen S. Rosenblum
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Summary

A long-pulse induction acceleration unit has been installed in the high-current Cs^+ beam line at LBL and has accelerated heavy ions. A maximum energy gain of 250 keV for 1.5 μs is possible. The unit comprises 12 independent modules which may be used to synthesize a variety of waveforms by varying the triggering times of the low-voltage trigger generators.

Introduction

The Heavy Ion Fusion group at LBL has developed conceptual designs of induction linacs which accelerate a few hundred microcoulombs of charge to megajoule energies for Inertial Confinement Fusion.[1] In these machines a single bunch of ions is accelerated from typically 1 MeV to 10 GeV with an accompanying decrease in pulse duration from about 10 μs at the entrance of the accelerator to 100 ns at the exit (followed by further bunching down to 20 ns in a drift distance between the accelerator and the fusion reactor). Because the ions are nonrelativistic throughout the process and can move with respect to each other, it is necessary to apply longitudinal control to the bunch, in the form of shaped waveforms, in addition to the usual flat voltage pulses (corrected for beam loading) which are required for a monoenergetic output. The required corrections which are new to acceleration of intense beams of heavy ions are, at any instant of time, a linear electric field in space for bunch-length control, plus the negative of the gradient of the space charge potential of the beam for counteracting space charge longitudinal defocusing. These requirements translate into specified desirable applied waveforms at any location. The largest deviations from flat waveforms occur in the low energy portion of the accelerator.

There exists a large technological data-base developed for acceleration of electron beams in the 1-10 kA range[2] which is directly applicable to the greater part of a heavy-ion induction linac. Most of the experience is for pulse durations well under 1 μs, corresponding to the high energy end of the ion accelerator. The large flat-pulse module built at NBS to provide a 2 μs, 400 kV pulse for acceleration of a 1 kA electron beam represents the culmination of an R&D program for economical long pulse modules and provides the only experience base for the low energy end of the ion machine[3]. Here we report on an early stage in an analogous process for heavy ions.

To provide the desired waveforms we are proposing to use a few types of standardized modules, containing core and pulser combinations which can be independently triggered, and to approximate the waveforms in staircase fashion by varying the firing times of a large number of them. The step amplitude chosen in the low energy end of the accelerator is nominally 25 kV, consequently several thousand steps are required with pulse durations longer than 1 μs. While the staircase approximations might appear coarse over a short distance such as 1 meter, they are probably satisfactory when averaged over distances of a few tens of meters (comparable to the bunch length) over which some appreciable intrabunch motion should just start to occur. This distance would contain about 100 modules, a module being defined as a single pulser driving a number of cores (two, in our case).

Description

The first such induction unit has just been completed and installed at the exit of the LBL high-current Cs^+ injector facility,[4] as shown in Figure 1, where it may be used for additional acceleration and correction of the energy profile of the ion beam. The main function of the device, however, is as an engineering prototype of one of the kinds of units that may be required in the future. There are 12 independently driven core pairs, i.e. 12 modules, within a common container, which would increase the particle energy by 250 keV if triggered simultaneously. The typical module consists of a high-power pulse generator which drives two large induction cores in parallel, a reset pulser which restores the magnetic core to negative saturation before the beginning of the acceleration pulse, and a correction network which is used to modify the accelerating pulse. Each core drives two resistively graded sections of the centrally located accelerating column, at a low enough voltage such that each of the two sections can support the full voltage. A simplified sketch of one module and its drive circuitry is shown in Figure 2.



Fig. 1. The induction module being installed in the high-current Cs^+ beam line

The induction core is wound with 2 mil thick 3.25 percent silicon steel tape which is insulated with an inorganic phosphate coating with a ceramic filler, resulting in a radial packing fraction of 92 percent. The cores are insulated axially from the drive conductors with Mylar sheets, and the entire unit is

*Work supported by the Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs, Office of Inertial Fusion, Laser Fusion Division, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.



Fig. 4. Metglas core driven to saturation from a large capacitor. Top trace voltage, bottom trace current.



Fig. 5. Silicon steel core driven to saturation from a small capacitor, with a correction network at the output to modify waveform. This is the output voltage from the prototype of one module. Top trace voltage, bottom trace current.

useful flux change can be obtained from the core, but the post-saturation drive current rises to large values, as shown in Figure 4, and efficiency suffers. Ideally, one would like the exciting current to go to zero as the core saturates. While this desirable behavior can be obtained with a controllable switch such as a hard tube, it is too expensive an option. Instead, a simple closing switch, a core with reasonably square-loop behavior, and a pulse-forming network were selected which decrease the post-pulse current at the expense of wasting some of the available flux during the voltage fall time, as shown in Figure 5. The correcting network is essentially an LC across the core which takes energy from the PFN at the start of the pulse, when the drive current is small, and returns it at the end of the pulse when the drive current is large.

The core losses, which are a function of eddy currents and hysteresis, may be reduced by several means, the main one being the use of thinner laminations in the regime of interest for induction linacs. Cost considerations, of course influence the designs. At the time the module was designed, the 2 mil steel was chosen because the pulser cost increased more than the material savings when going to 4 mil steel, and because the material cost increased substantially more than the pulser cost decreased when going to 1 mil material. The recently developed Metglas material, an amorphous magnetic metallic glass tape, has been decreasing in price and might become the material of choice in the future.

While we have been measuring sample cores of Metglas for four years, until recently we have not been able to obtain cores of the size of interest for induction linacs. Now we have two cores of Metglas 2605SC which may be compared, with some adjustment of numbers to take into account dimensional differences, with the silicon steel cores used in the module. Table I below gives the results for the finished cores.

Both types of cores should be considered developmental at this stage, and the costs given are for relatively small quantities of material. The induction change and impedance numbers are approximations for the way in which the cores are used in the present module, and could be improved with both circuit and core developments.

Table I. Comparison of Core Materials

		2 mil silicon steel	Metglas
Saturation Induction,	kG	13.8	10.7
Remanent Induction,	kG	11.4	9.9
Coercive Force	Oe	1	0.1
Available Induction Change at High Impedance,	kG	20	17
Average Apparent Impedance During 1.5μs Pulse	Ω	5	20
Radial Packing Fraction	%	92	75
Cost	$/lb	6	24

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and assistance of Edward Hartwig, Ralph Hipple, August Kruser, Tom Purtell, Jurek Shkredka, Dave Vanecek and the other persons who worked on the construction and installation of the module.

References

1. A. Faltens, E. Hoyer, and D. Keefe, Proc. 4th International Topical Conference on High Power Electron and Ion Beam Research and Technology, (Palaiseau, 1981), H.J. Doucet and J.M. Buzzi (Ecole Ploytechnique, 1981) p. 751.

2. See, for example, the review articles by J.E. Leiss, "Induction Accelerators and their Applications", IEEE Trans on Nuclear Science, NS-26, p. 3870 (1979), and by A. Faltens and D. Keefe, "Review of Induction Linacs", Proc. of the 1981 Linear Accelerator Conf., p. 205, LA-9234-C, October, 1981.

3. J.E. Leiss, N.J. Norris and M.A. Wilson, Particle Accelerators, 10, 223 (1980).

4. W. Chupp, A. Faltens, E. Hartwig, E. Hoyer, D. Keefe, C. Kim, M. Lampel, E. Lofgren, R. Nemetz, S.S. Rosenblum, J. Shiloh, M. Tiefenback and D. Vanecek, IEEE Trans. Nuc. Sci, NS-28, 3389 (1981).

5. R.O. Bangerter, (Compiler) Los Alamos National Laboratory Preprint, LA-UR-81-3830, Dec. 1981.

6. Heavy Ion Fusion Staff, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report PUB-5065 (Feb., 1982).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.