

COUPLING TO THE FAST WAVE VIA A PHASED WAVEGUIDE ARRAY

L. OLSON, R. McWILLIAMS^{*}, J. GLANZ, AND R. W. MOTLEY

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

Princeton, New Jersey 08544 USA

ABSTRACT

A dielectric -loaded waveguide array has been used to launch fast waves into a plasma in which $\omega_{pi} < \omega \ll \omega_{pe} \sim \omega_{ce}$. The wave propagates when accessibility and cutoff requirements are satisfied. Reflection coefficients as low as 1% have been measured. Use of the fast wave for steady-state current drive is suggested.

DISCLAIMER

PPPL--2093

DE84 009551

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

*Permanent Address: Department of Physics, University of California,

Irvine, California 92717

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

2/2

Slow electrostatic waves in the frequency range $\omega_{pi} < \omega < \omega_{pe}$ have been successfully employed to drive large currents in tokamak plasmas [1]. This mode, however, gives rise to a density cutoff at $\sim 1/4$ the density required to create a lower hybrid resonance in the center of the plasma. It appears likely that the density cutoff would occur at higher density if the fast, rather than the slow mode were launched at the edge of the plasma. Furthermore, the fast wave might be more suitable for current drive in hot, reactor-like plasmas, where the slow wave would be absorbed near the surface [2]. In this note we report the first efforts to develop a fast wave waveguide coupler using a pair of waveguides loaded with Macor.

For both the slow and the fast wave there is an accessibility criterion which places an upper limit to the density to which the waves will propagate and a cutoff condition, which places a lower limit on the density [3]. Golant [4] has examined the cold plasma dispersion relation equations for the slow and fast wave. Theilhaber and Bers [5] have discussed the coupling to the fast wave in more detail. The cutoff density is given approximately by

$$\omega_{pe}^2(\text{cutoff}) \approx \omega_{ce} \left(n_z^2 + n_y^2 - 1 \right)^{1/2} \left(n_z^2 - 1 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

For $n_y \neq 0$ the cutoff density may rise or fall somewhat from Eq. (1) depending on whether $k_y dn/dx$ is greater or less than zero, respectively. The dispersion relation for the fast wave is approximately

$$n_x^2 \sim \omega_{pe}^2 / \omega_{ce}^2 (n_z^2 - 1) . \quad (2)$$

In contrast to the slow lower hybrid wave, we observe that the fast wave does not propagate in the familiar resonance cones associated with the slow wave. Hence, it is less likely that ponderomotive effects [6-7] and parametric decay [8] will be as significant as for the slow wave. On the other hand, electron Landau damping and transit time magnetic pumping can be important for the fast wave. The electron damping coupled with the fact that the fast wave can penetrate to densities above the lower hybrid density makes the fast wave a good candidate for current drive.

The experiments were performed on the linear H-1 device [9] at Princeton utilizing an argon plasma approximately 3.4 cm in diameter and 200 cm long. The steady-state confining magnetic field, $B_0 = B_0 \hat{z}$, was typically 3-12 kG. The plasma was generated by a coaxial gun RF discharge [10] pulsed ten times per second. Measurements were made in the afterglow plasma. Electron temperature was inferred from Langmuir probe traces and electron density from the phase shift of an 8.6 mm microwave interferometer. The fast waves were excited by application of 50 μ sec bursts at 2.45 GHz to a dielectric-loaded twin waveguide, as shown in Fig. 1.

The fast wave launcher consists of two phased waveguides placed side-by-side and loaded with Macor ($\epsilon = 5.6$). This arrangement differs from the customary slow wave grill in that the electric field of the guides is oriented perpendicular to rather than parallel to the confining magnetic field. Such an orientation is dictated by the polarization characteristics of the fast wave, in which the electric field parallel to the magnetic field is small. Each waveguide was 2.85 cm wide (along B) and 1.3 cm in height, so that the

dominant mode (for 180° excitation) should be $n_z = 2.1$ and $n_y = 0$ with a spread in n_y of ± 4 . In general, an antenna will couple to both the slow and fast modes but for this experiment we estimate that the cross coupling terms are small and little energy was available to the slow wave. The fast waves were detected using a single tip triaxial probe which could be moved in the axial or radial directions.

Figure 2 shows a graph of the region in density-magnetic field space where 2.45 GHz fast waves can propagate. The left and right solid lines represent the accessibility and cutoff limits as predicted by theory and the dots show where the fast wave was detected experimentally in the plasma. Outside of the propagating region, a surface wave could be detected at the edge of the plasma but no penetrating wave was found.

The coupling efficiency of the wave as a function of density, for three different values of B, is shown in Fig. 3. Under the best coupling conditions a reflection coefficient as low as 1% can be achieved. This compares well with the efficiencies which can be achieved with coupling to the lower hybrid wave. The coupling efficiency may be estimated by theory and compared with the experiment by calculating the impedance mismatch from the waveguide to the plasma. The plasma impedance is

$$Z = \frac{n_z^2 + n_y^2 - 1}{n_z^2 - 1} \frac{\omega \omega_c}{\omega^2 - \omega_{pe}^2} \quad (3)$$

for $\omega_{pe}^2/\omega^2 \gg 1$ and $\omega_{pe}/\omega_c \sim 1$. Optimal matching to the plasma will occur if the plasma impedance matches the waveguide impedance. For our conditions ($n_z \sim n_y \sim 2$ and $B = 8$ kG) optimal coupling should occur if $n_e \approx 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Integration over the broad wavenumber spectrum raises the optimal density close to 10^{13} cm^{-3} . From Fig. 3 the optimal density at 8 kG is $1.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

In conclusion, the fast wave near the lower hybrid frequency can be launched into plasmas from a loaded waveguide with high efficiency, provided the density and magnetic field are properly adjusted, and must be considered a candidate for RF current drive in tokamaks.

This work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Diagram of linear plasma and twin waveguide designed to launch fast wave.
- Fig. 2. Density and magnetic field limits for propagation of a 2.45 GHz fast wave in the linear H-1 plasma. The dots indicate the regions in which wave penetration beyond the mouth of the coupler was detected with an RF probe.
- Fig. 3. Reflection from the twin guide as a function of the plasma density for three different magnetic fields.

REFERENCES

- [1] BERNABEI, S., et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 (1982) 1255 .
- [2] WONG, K. L., ONO, M., Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report PPPL-2058, (1983).
- [3] STIX, T. H., The Theory of Plasma Waves, McGraw-Hill, NY (1962).
- [4] GOLANT, V. E., Sov. Phys. - Tech. Phys. 16 (1972) 1960.
- [5] THEILHABER, K., BERS, A., Nucl. Fusion 20 (1980) 547.
- [6] MOTLEY, R. W., HOOKE, W. M., GWINN, C. R., Phys. Lett. 77A (1980) 451.
- [7] McWILLIAMS, R., WOLF, N. S., Phys. Rev. A25 (1982) 1247.
- [8] PORKOLAB, M., Phys. Fluids 20 (1977) 2058.
- [9] MOTLEY, R. W., BERNABEI, S., HOOKE, W. M., JASSBY, D. L., J. Appl. Phys. 46 (1975) 3286.
- [10] MOTLEY, R. W., BERNABEI, S., HOOKE, W. M., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 50 (1979) 1586.

4489X1414




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO TIC UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austr Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA
Dr. Frank J. Pohlen, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
Prof. J.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
Prof. Frank Vorhoest, Inst Theoretische, BELGIUM
Dr. D. Palumbo, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
Dr. P.H. Sakaneke, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
Dr. C.R. Jenos, Univ of Alberta, CANADA
Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA
Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
Prof. Tudor W. Johnston, INRS-Energio, CANADA
Dr. Hannes Bernard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA
Dr. M.P. Sachynski, FPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
Zhongwu Li, SF Inst Physics, CHINA
Librarian, Tsing Hua University, CHINA
Librarian, Instituto de Physics, CHINA
Inst Plasma Phys, Academia Sinic, CHINA
Dr. Potor Lukac, Komenskoho Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE
J. Radot, CEN-CE6, FRANCE
AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE
Dr. Tom Mual, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
Preprint Library, Cont Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA
Dr. Indra, Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA
Dr. L.K. Choudh, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA
Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Var Ruchi Marg, INDIA
P. Kew, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
Dr. Phillip Kosoneu, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
Prof. S. Cuporman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ Di Padova, ITALY
Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
Miss Gioia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-CNEN, ITALY
Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
Prof. H. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res Est, JAPAN
Prof. T. Uchida, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN
Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
Tech Info Division, Korea Atomic Energy, KOREA
Dr. R. England, Ciudad Universitaria, MEXICO
Bibliothock, Fon-inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS
Prof. B.S. Liloy, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
Dr. Suresh C. Sharma, Univ of Calabar, NIGERIA
Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tech, PORTUGAL
Dr. Octavien Potrus, ALI CUZA University, ROMANIA
Prof. H.A. Hollberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
Dr. Johan de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd, SO AFRICA
Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN
Prof. Hans Wilhelmsson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
Dr. Lonnart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
Dr. Erik T. Karlsson, Uppsala Universitet, SWEDEN
Centro de Recherchosas, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
Dr. W.L. Meiso, Nat'l Bur Stand, USA
Dr. W.H. Steacy, Georg Inst Tech, USA
Dr. S.T. Wu, Univ Alabama, USA
Prof. Norman L. Olsson, Univ S Florida, USA
Dr. Benjamin Ma, Iowa State Univ, USA
Prof. Magno Kristianson, Texas Tech Univ, USA
Dr. Raymond Askew, Auburn Univ, USA
Dr. V.T. Tolok, Kharkov Phys Tech Ins, USSR
Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
Dr. G.A. Eliseov, Kurchatov Instituto, USSR
Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
Institute Con, Physics, USSR
Prof. T.J. Boyd, Univ Collogo N Wales WALES
Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universitat, W. GERMANY
Nuclear Res Estab, Juelich Ltd, W. GERMANY
Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
Dr. H.J. Kaopflor, University Stuttgart, W. GERMANY
Bibliothock, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY