

EXCITED STATE POPULATIONS AND CHARGE-EXCHANGE OF FAST IONS IN SOLIDS

P. D. MILLER

4932000

Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory,* Oak Ridge, TN 36831 USA

C. J. SOFIELD, C. J. WOODS, N.E.B. COWERN

9250194

Nuclear Physics Division, U.K.A.E.A., AERE, Harwell, Didcot, Oxon, UK

L. B. BRIDWELL

Physics Department, Southwest Missouri State University
Springfield, MO 65806 USA

9513069

C. D. MOAK, D. C. GREGORY, C. M. JONES, G. D. ALTON and P. L. PEP MILLER

Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory*
Oak Ridge, TN 37831 USA

J. HALL

Physics Department, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66502 USA

3514000

Abstract

Excited state populations and charge state fractions of 445 MeV Cl ions have been measured for a range of thicknesses of solid C targets. Cross sections for electron capture, loss, excitation and excited state quenching have been determined and these data are found to predict a quantitative difference between equilibrium charge state distributions from gases and solids for a special case of the Bohr-Lindhard density-effect model.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering the article.

*Research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

jet

1. Introduction

It is experimentally observed that when fast heavy ions pass through equilibrium thicknesses of gas or solid targets, the charge state distribution is richer in the higher charge states for solid targets than for gases. This density effect on charge state distributions is thought to arise as a consequence of the much shorter intercollision times in solids relative to gases. Two models, not mutually exclusive, have been proposed to account for this effect based on the consequences of these short intercollision times. The Bohr-Lindhard [1] model emphasized the rapid collisional ionization in a solid of excited states formed by electron capture or excitation. This process leads to an increase in the loss probability and a decrease in the capture probability for an ion traversing a solid relative to an ion traversing a gas target. Grodzins [2] proposed a model in which multiple vacancies with lifetimes in excess of the solid target transit time build up by collisions too rapid to allow projectile de-excitation; the projectile then changes charge after leaving the target by Auger emission.

If we choose a sufficiently high energy projectile where the bare nucleus and one electron ions dominate the charge state distribution, then Auger emission becomes negligible. If we then measure the capture, excitation, ionization, and quenching cross sections, we can calculate the charge state distributions for a solid and for a gas and infer the density effect in a case where the Betz-Grodzins mechanism is essentially absent.

The case chosen was 445 MeV Cl ions (12.7 MeV/amu) passing through a range of thicknesses of carbon foils. The incident ions were Cl¹⁶⁺ and Cl¹⁷⁺. The range of carbon foil thicknesses was from 6 to 400 μgms/cm² or from ~12 to 800 atoms/Å². For each foil and charge state two measurements were made (1) the charge state distribution of ions leaving the foil was determined by electrostatic analysis using a position sensitive detector. (2) Excited state fractions were determined using a Si(Li) detector to measure the total intensity of Ly_α and Ly_β photons. The field of view of the detector was such as to observe decays from the exit of the foil to a point sufficiently down stream to include decay from states as high as about 16p. The detector resolution including Doppler spread was ~150 eV so that Ly_α and Ly_β transitions at 2.9 and 3.4 keV respectively could be well resolved, and higher Lyman series lines could be detected but not resolved. The efficiency-solid angle product was measured in place with a well calibrated Fe⁵⁵ source. Beam charge was integrated and the previously measured charge state distributions were used to derive absolute excited state fractions. An example of this data for incident Cl¹⁶⁺ is shown in fig. 1.

This non-equilibrium charge state and excited state fraction data was then fitted by a set of rate equations following the method discussed by Datz [3] and by Betz and Grodzins [2].

$$\frac{d\phi_i}{dx} = \sum_{j \neq i} (\phi_j \sigma_{ji} - \phi_i \sigma_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

The measured charge state and excited state fractions ϕ_i and their errors,

including statistical errors and target thickness uncertainties, thus, were used with a non-linear least squares fitting routine to derive the state-changing cross sections, σ_{ij} and their errors. These results are given in table 1.

Before discussing the density effect we may compare these measured cross sections to some theoretical predictions. Gillespie [4] has recently given Born approximation calculations of ionization and excitation of fast H-like ions colliding with He, N, and Ar. The cross sections were scaled as $6^2/Z_t^2$, where Z_t is the appropriate He, N, or Ar nuclear charge. These estimates are included in table 1 were appropriate. The ionization cross sections for excited states was simply estimated by the Bohr [5] result

$$\sigma_{n \rightarrow \infty} = n^2 \sigma_{1s \rightarrow \infty}.$$

Electron capture cross sections have been calculated using Eichler's [6] code for the Eickonal approximation. Capture was calculated separately for capture from the C target K shell and L shell. The Z_2 parameter of Eichler's calculations was chosen following Slater's rule. The electron screening parameter θ was calculated according to Eichler's prescription. The effective screened target charge Z_t was chosen as 3.25 for capture from the target K shell and 1 for the capture from the target L shell so as to give good agreement between previous gas target total capture cross sections [7,8] and the total capture cross section of the Eickonal approximation. The capture probability to different excited states is seen to be in reasonable accord with the data, table 1.

Using these experimentally determined cross sections, we can calculate the solid target equilibrium charge state distributions ($d\phi_i/dx = 0$ in eq. (1)) These fractions are the large carbon thickness values shown by the solid curves in fig. 1. Likewise, the gas equilibrium fractions can be estimated by assuming that the excited state ionization probability is small compared to the radiation or de-excitation probability. This condition can be simulated in eq. (1) by setting the excited state ionization cross sections to zero and letting the de-excitation cross sections approach asymptotically high values. The resulting equilibrium fraction for gases are the values at large carbon thicknesses shown as dashed lines in fig. 1.

In conclusion, cross sections for electron capture, loss, and excitation; and cross section limits for excited state quenching have been determined for 12.7 MeV/amu Cl ions incident on C foils. It has been shown that for this case where Auger emission is negligible these cross sections lead to a sizeable density effect on charge state distributions which is consistent with the emphasis placed on excited state ionization by the Bohr-Lindhard model.

- [1] N. Bohr and J. Lindhard, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab, Mat.-Fys. Medd. 26, No. 12 (1954).
- [2] H. D. Betz, Rev. Mod. Phys. 44 (1972) 465.
- [3] S. Datz et al., Phys. Rev. A2 (1970) 430.
- [4] G. H. Gillespie, Phys. Rev. A16, 943 (1977); Phys. Rev. A18, 1967 (1978); Phys. Rev. A22, 454 (1980).
- [5] N. Bohr, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab., Mat.-Fys. Medd. 18, No. 8, (1948).

- [6] J.K.M. Eichler, Phys. Rev. A23, 498 (1981); J.K.M. Eichler and F. T. Chan, Phys. Rev. A20, 104 (1979).
- [7] N.E.B. Cowern, C. J. Woods, and C. J. Sofield, to be published in Nuclear Instruments Methods.
- [8] N.E.B. Cowern et al., Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Atomic Collisions in Solids, July 18-22, 1983, Bad Iburg, F.R. Germany.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Table 1. Cross Sections for 445 MeV Cl Ions
(Units $10^{-3} \text{ \AA}^2/\text{C Atom}$)

Process	Experiment	Theory
$\sigma_{16 \rightarrow 17}$	1.23 ± 0.03	1.8
$\sigma_{16(2p+3d) \rightarrow 17}$	12.0 ± 9.0	7.2 to 16.0*
$\sigma_{16(3p) \rightarrow 17}$	30.0 ± 100.0	16.0
$\sigma_{17 \rightarrow 16}^\dagger$	0.61 ± 0.1	0.84
$\sigma_{17 \rightarrow 16(2p+3d)}$	0.28 ± 0.04	$0.3, 0.05^{**}$
$\sigma_{16(1s) \rightarrow 16(2p+3d)}$	0.28 ± 0.02	
$\sigma_{17 \rightarrow 16(3p)}$	0.06 ± 0.02	0.1
$\sigma_{16(1s) \rightarrow 16(3p)}$	0.04 ± 0.01	
$\sigma_{16(2p+3d) \rightarrow 16(1s)}$	0.0 ± 8.0	
$\sigma_{16(3p) \rightarrow 16(1s)}$	0.0 ± 90.0	
$\sigma_{16(1s) \rightarrow 16(2s, 2p)}$		1.2
$\sigma_{16(1s) \rightarrow 16(3s, 3p, 3d)}$		0.22

*Bohr n^2 scaling of $\sigma_{16(1s)}$ by 2^2 and 3^2

†All states

** $\sigma_{17 \rightarrow 2p}, \sigma_{17, 3d}$

Figure Caption

Fig. 1 - Excited state and charge fractions from C foils versus foil thickness for Cl^{16+} ion incident. Also shown are the fits to the data using the cross sections of table 1. The calculated gas target equilibrium values are indicated by dashed lines.

