



**CBPF**

**CENTRO BRASILEIRO DE PESQUISAS FÍSICAS**

---

**Notas de Física**

CBPF-NF-043/84

SPIN RELAXATION OF IRON IN MIXED STATE HEMOPROTEINS

by

E. Wajnberg, H. Kalinowski, G. Bemski and J.S. Helman

NOTAS DE FÍSICA é uma pré-publicação de trabalho original em Física

NOTAS DE FÍSICA is a preprint of original works unpublished in Physics

Pedidos de cópias desta publicação devem ser enviados aos autores ou a:

Requests for copies of these reports should be addressed to:

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas  
Área de Publicações  
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150 - 4º andar  
22.290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ  
BRASIL.

CBPF-NF-043/84

SPIN RELAXATION OF IRON IN MIXED STATE HEMOPROTEINS

by

E. Wajnberg\*, H. Kalinowski\*\*+, G. Bemski<sup>1</sup> and  
J.S. Helman<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CNPq/CBPF  
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150  
22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

\* Departamento de Física, Pontifícia Universidade Católica  
Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

+ Present address: Departamento de Engenharia de Telecomunicações,  
Universidade Federal Fluminense, 24210 Niterói, Brasil

<sup>5</sup>On leave from Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación del Instituto  
Politécnico Nacional, Ap. Postal 14-740, Mexico DF 07000, Mexico.

- 1 -

**Abstract**

In pure states hemoproteins the relaxation of iron depends on its spin state. <sup>It is</sup> ~~We~~ found that in both mixed state met-hemoglobin and met-myoglobin, the low and high spin states relax through an Orbach-like process. Also, very short ( $\sim 1$  ns) and temperature independent transverse relaxation times  $T_2$  were estimated. This peculiar behavior of the relaxation may result from the unusual electronic structure of mixed state hemoproteins that allows thermal equilibrium and interconversion of the spin states. *(Author)*

Key-words: Hemoproteins; Relaxation times; Mixed state hemoprotein.

PACS: 87.15.By, 76.30.Fc, 76.30.V-

The low temperature dependence of the spin lattice relaxation time  $T_1$  of iron in ferric hemoproteins has been extensively studied<sup>1-4</sup> and it is now well established that the relaxation mechanism depends on  $Fe^{3+}$  spin state. In the high spin form the relaxation is dominated by an Orbach process<sup>1</sup> whose characteristic energy  $\Delta$  is identified with the zero field splitting  $2D$  of the  ${}^6A_1$  state. In the low spin form the dominant relaxation mechanism involves two phonons (Raman process). The bizarre temperature dependence  $1/T_1 \propto T^{6.3}$  observed in this case has been explained in terms of the fractal form of the protein.<sup>2-4</sup>

In this letter we report on a new temperature dependence of the spin-lattice relaxation time  $T_1$  of the low spin  $Fe^{3+}$  ion in ferric methemoglobin (hb) and metmyoglobin (mb) observed in powdered samples in which both the low and high spin states co-exist.<sup>5</sup> Also an estimate of the transverse relaxation time  $T_2$  of the two spin states in hb and mb is given.

The samples were prepared from sperm whale mb (Sigma Chemical Co.) and from freshly prepared horse hb. The electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements were performed using the continuous saturation technique with an X-band standard equipment, in the temperature range from 4.2K to 25K. The  $g=6$  and  $g=2$  ESR lines of the high spin state and the  $g=2.25$  line of the low spin state were monitored. The half saturation power,  $P_{1/2}$ , and the inhomogeneity parameter,  $b$ , were obtained by fitting the experimental data with the expression<sup>6</sup>

$$I = \frac{I_{\max} \sqrt{P}}{(1+P/P_{1/2})^{b/2}} \quad (1)$$

where  $P$  is the incident microwave power,  $I$  is the intensity of the derivative of the ESR absorption line and  $I_{\max}$  is the limiting value of  $I$  at very low power.  $T_2$  was estimated from the value of  $b$  and the linewidth measurements.

Typical results for met hb are shown in Fig. 1. Similar results are obtained for met mb. They reveal a temperature independent  $T_2$ , and a  $T_1$  which depends exponentially on temperature ( $T_1 \sim \exp(\Delta/kT)$ ) for both low and high spin states, pointing to an Orbach process as the common dominant relaxation mechanism. The corresponding values of  $\Delta$  are given in Table I. Our results for the high spin state are in good agreement with previous work.<sup>1-7</sup> However, as mentioned above, for the low spin state the Raman processes are expected to dominate since the closest excited state, necessary for the operation of Orbach processes, is too far above, at about  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In this sense it is noteworthy that the Orbach like behavior of the low spin state is only observed in samples where the high spin state is also present.

The similarity of the results obtained in mixed state mb and hb for the spin relaxation of Fe in the low spin state suggests that the relaxation mechanism is associated with single heme complexes. This is expected because the magnetic interaction between Fe ions of different heme groups, which are about  $30 \text{ \AA}$  apart,<sup>8</sup> is very small; in fact, spin susceptibility measurements show that the Curie law remains valid down to temperatures of the order of  $20 \text{ mK}$ .<sup>9</sup> Thus, cross-relaxation between different paramagnetic centers, of the kind found in other biological systems,<sup>10-11</sup> is very improbable in this case. The magnetic interaction between an Fe ion and its neighboring nuclear

spins is also too small to account for the observed relaxation rates.<sup>12</sup> We speculate that in mixed state hemoproteins the dominant relaxation mechanism of low spin Fe is induced by a local, dynamic low spin-high spin interconversion rate.<sup>13</sup>

In mixed state Fe compounds the crystal field is close to a critical value such that the low and high spin states have comparable free energies and can coexist.<sup>14</sup> It is a situation of dynamical equilibrium where small variations of the crystal field (produced by vibrational modes) together with the spin-orbit interaction, induce the interconversion of low and high spin states of Fe. Dynamic spin interconversion has been studied in ferric myoglobin hydroxide<sup>13</sup> and in other six-coordinated complexes of Fe,<sup>15-16</sup> where interconversion rates of the order of  $10^7$ - $10^8$  sec<sup>-1</sup> were measured at about room temperature. Since the g-factors of the low and high spin states are quite different, the dynamic interconversion would produce a phase smearing of the precessing spins leading to a relaxation time  $T_2$  of the order of the reciprocal interconversion rate. Unfortunately, there seem not to be independent measurements of interconversion rates at low temperatures to allow a direct comparison with our surprisingly short values. However, the fact that a mixed state is present in the whole temperature range considered in this work, indicates that the activation energy for interconversion is small and therefore an almost temperature independent  $T_2$  of the order of those measured at room temperature may also be expected in this case.

Although a low spin-high spin transition may always relax the transverse magnetization through the difference in g-fac-

tors, it will not in general relax the longitudinal magnetization. An interconversion transition with conservation of the longitudinal magnetization is already spin forbidden ( $S=1/2 \rightarrow S=5/2$ ) and it must be assisted by the spin-orbit interaction. Thus, interconversion with longitudinal relaxation would involve terms of higher order in the spin-orbit interaction. More probably, the low spin state relaxes to the lattice by interconversion to a high spin state which subsequently suffers an Orbach relaxation before interconverting back to the low spin state; this is suggested by the observation of very similar energies  $\Delta$  for the two spin states. However, since the magnitude of  $T_1$  for low spin states is 100 times larger than  $T_1$  for high spin, the efficiency of this process must be substantially smaller than one. As the interconversion transitions involve small displacements of the iron in and out the plane of the heme,<sup>o</sup> it could happen that the interconversion transition leaves the high spin Fe in an excited vibrational state from which Orbach relaxation is less probable than from the ground vibrational state. In that case the longitudinal relaxation rate would not simply depend on the time the Fe spends in the high spin state.

Although at present these considerations are purely speculative, they are susceptible of being tested experimentally and they contemplate aspects of the problem which necessarily will have to be taken into account in an elaborate model.

We acknowledge the partial financial support of Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq-Brasil) and of Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP-Brasil).

**Figure Caption**

**Fig. 1 - Temperature dependence of the spin-lattice relaxation time  $T_1$  and the transverse relaxation time  $T_2$  in met-hb.  $T_1$ :  $\square$  high spin with  $g=2$ ,  $\blacksquare$  high spin with  $g=6$ ,  $\times$  low spin with  $g=2.25$ .  $T_2$ :  $\circ$  high spin with  $g=2$ ,  $\ominus$  high spin with  $g=6$ ,  $+$  low spin with  $g=2.25$ .**




Fig. 1

Table I- Characteristic energy  $\Delta$  of the Orbach relaxation process

spin state	Protein g-value	mb ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	hb ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )
high	2	$17.1 \pm 1.5$	$14.2 \pm 1.5$
high	6	$14.6 \pm 1.5$	$16.7 \pm 1.5$
low	2.25	$10.7 \pm 1.5$	$13.4 \pm 1.5$

## References

- <sup>1</sup>C.P. Scholes, R.A. Isaacson and G. Feher, B.B.A. 244, 206 (1971).
  - <sup>2</sup>J.P. Allen, J.T. Colvin, D.G. Stinson, C.P. Flynn and H.J. Stapleton, Biophys. J., 38, 299 (1982).
  - <sup>3</sup>J.T. Colvin, G.C. Wagner, J.P. Allen and H.J. Stapleton, Biophys. J. 45, 130a (1984).
  - <sup>4</sup>J.S. Helman, A. Coniglio and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. Letters (to be published).
  - <sup>5</sup>E. Wajnberg, Ph. D. Thesis, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Physics Department, 1984.
  - <sup>6</sup>H. Rupp, K.K. Rao and R. Cammack, B.B.A. 537, 255 (1978).
  - <sup>7</sup>M.B. Yim, L.C. Kuo and M.W. Makinen, J. Mag. Res. 46, 247 (1982).
  - <sup>8</sup>R.E. Dickerson and I. Geis, Hemoglobin, The Benjamin Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Menlo Park, Cal., U.S.A., 1983.
  - <sup>9</sup>G. Bemski, M. Novak and O.G. Symko, Phys. Letters 99A, 62 (1983).
  - <sup>10</sup>C.P. Scholes, R. Janakiraman, H. Taylor and T.E. King, Biophys. J. 45, 1027 (1984).
  - <sup>11</sup>R. Calvo, W.F. Butler, D.R. Fredkin, M.Y. Okamura and G. Feher, unpublished.
  - <sup>12</sup>A.S. Brill and D.A. Hampton, Biophys. J. 25, 313 (1979) and Biophys. J., 25, 301 (1979).
  - <sup>13</sup>E.V. Dose, M.F. Tweedle and L.J. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 3886 (1977).
  - <sup>14</sup>M. Kotani, Adv. Quantum Chem. 4, 277 (1968).
  - <sup>15</sup>R.A. Binstead, J.K. Beattie, E.V. Dose, M.F. Tweedle and L.J. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 5610 (1978).
  - <sup>16</sup>E.V. Dose, M.A. Hoselton, N. Sutin, M.F. Tweedle and L.J. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 1141 (1978).
-