

CRN/PN 8839.

FR8902260

*Reprinted from*

Journal of  
**MATHEMATICAL  
PHYSICS**

Volume 29

December 1988

Number 12

**Projector bases and algebraic spinors**

G. Bergdolt

*Centre de Recherches Nucleaires, BP20, 67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France*

pp. 2519-2522

a publication of the American Institute of Physics

# Projector bases and algebraic spinors

G. Bergdolt

Centre de Recherches Nucleaires, BP20, 67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France

(Received 31 March 1988; accepted for publication 27 July 1988)

In the case of complex Clifford algebras a basis is constructed whose elements satisfy projector relations. The relations are sufficient conditions for the elements to span minimal ideals and hence to define algebraic spinors.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Clifford algebras have been used in theoretical physics in a number of applications<sup>1-3</sup> (Ref. 1 contains a list of references on the subject). In the geometric algebra type of application, Clifford algebra is used to express geometric transformations on a linear space. Letting  $a$  be an invertible element of the algebra, the group of invertible elements acts on the algebra by means of the transformation  $x \rightarrow axa^{-1}$ , where  $x$  is an arbitrary element. Clifford algebra is a graded algebra, and the underlying vector space is the grade 1 part. Invertible elements that leave the grade 1 part invariant form the Clifford group, which contains physically important groups, such as the orthogonal group, as subgroups. Elements belonging to even-grade parts of the algebra form a subalgebra, and in Ref. 4 spinors are defined as members of this subalgebra. The geometric interpretation of those spinors is that they act on the vector space as dilations rotations. Algebraic spinors are defined as elements of minimal left ideals; as shown in Sec. III this is equivalent to the original definition of the concept.<sup>5</sup> Minimal ideals of an algebra may be viewed as representation spaces for irreducible representations, and therefore algebraic spinors belong to an irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra.

Let  $C_n$  denote the Clifford algebra based on a complex  $n$ -dimensional vector space. Complexifying vector spaces is not in the spirit of geometrical algebra, but the basis used as the starting point in Sec. II is obtained from a basis with arbitrary signature by means of Witt's decomposition. The decomposition of  $C_n$  algebras into minimal ideals described below is constructive. Projectors are not postulated but expressed as Clifford products of isotropic basis vectors, a construction due to Schönberg.<sup>6</sup> The results concerning irreducible representations obtained below are not new. Deriving them in the framework of projector bases shows that the latter span minimal ideals. As all minimal left ideals yield equivalent representations, a fact which becomes apparent in the present approach is usually overlooked: any element of the algebra may be decomposed into a sum of algebraic spinors belonging to a set of minimal ideals. Left multiplication leaves left ideals invariant. The situation is reminiscent of irreducible subspaces under the action of a group. In the case of groups this leads to conservation laws; whether the same is true for algebras is a question left for further investigations. As an example testing the validity of the expansions obtained, it is shown that Cartan's matrix representation and defining relation for spinors are recovered in this way. Section II contains the treatment of  $C_{2m}$  Clifford algebras based on even-dimensional complex vector spaces, and Sec.

III extends the results to  $C_{2m+1}$  Clifford algebras based on odd-dimensional complex vector spaces. The relation to Cartan's theory of spinors is described in Sec. IV.

## II. $C_{2m}$ ALGEBRAS

A set of basis vectors may be found such that

$$e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij},$$

$$e_i' \cdot e_j' = -\delta_{ij},$$

$$e_i \cdot e_j' = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The set of  $2m$  vectors  $I_i, I'$  defined by

$$I_i = \frac{1}{2}(e_i + e_i'),$$

$$I' = \frac{1}{2}(e_i - e_i')$$

are new basis vectors satisfying the scalar product relations

$$I_i \cdot I_j = 0,$$

$$I' \cdot I' = 0,$$

$$I_i \cdot I' = \delta_{ij}.$$

Expressed as Clifford products the relations above are

$$I_i I_j + I_j I_i = 0,$$

$$I' I' + I' I' = 0,$$

$$I_i I' + I' I_i = \delta_{ij}. \quad (1)$$

The vectors  $I_i$  and  $I'$  span isotropic subspaces, and the duality of the two sets is indicated by subscripts and superscripts. From the relations above it follows that, restricted to the isotropic subspaces, the Clifford algebra reduces to a Grassman algebra. The element

$$I' \dots I' = I' I' \dots I' m$$

has the property

$$I' I' \dots I' = 0, \quad (2)$$

for all vectors  $I'$ . The same is valid in the dual space. As the basis  $I_i, I'$  is not orthogonal, we have to demonstrate a preliminary proposition.

**Proposition A:** Letting  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the basis vectors of an  $n$ -dimensional vector space, the  $2^n$  ordered Clifford products  $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_m}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$ , and  $m = 0, \dots, n$  are a basis of the algebra.

It is well known that exterior products of basis vectors are a basis of the algebra. In the case of orthogonal vectors Clifford products of vectors coincide with exterior products, and the proposition is trivial. In the general case the proposition results from the following relations, given without proof.

**Proposition B:** The Clifford product of  $r$  vectors can be expressed as the sum of all possible contractions of the exterior product:

$$X_1 X_2 \cdots X_r = \left( 1 + \sum_{(ij)} C_{ij} + \sum_{(ij)(kl)} C_{kl} C_{ij} + \cdots \right) X_1 \wedge X_2 \cdots \wedge X_r.$$

The contraction  $C_{ij}$  is defined as

$$C_{ij}(X_1 \wedge X_2 \cdots \wedge X_r) = (-)^{\nu(X_i, X_j)} X_i \wedge X_j \cdots \widehat{X}_i \cdots \widehat{X}_j \cdots \wedge X_r,$$

where  $\nu$  is the number of vectors between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ , and  $\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{X}_j$  indicates omission of the vectors. A converse of Proposition B is valid.

**Proposition C:** The exterior product of  $r$  vectors can be expressed as the sum of all possible anticontractions of the Clifford product:

$$X_1 X_2 \cdots X_r = \left( 1 + \sum_{(ij)} \overline{C}_{ij} + \sum_{(ij)(kl)} \overline{C}_{kl} \overline{C}_{ij} + \cdots \right) X_1 X_2 \cdots X_r.$$

The anticontraction is defined as a contraction with opposite sign factor.

According to Proposition A, a basis of the algebra is given by the ordered Clifford products

$$I^{i_1 \cdots i_r} I_{j_1 \cdots j_s} = I^{i_1 \cdots i_r} I_{j_1} I_{j_2} \cdots I_{j_s}, \quad (3)$$

where subscripts are increasing, superscripts decreasing. Since the vectors in the subset  $I_i$  are orthogonal,  $I_{j_1 \cdots j_s}$  is an exterior product, and the same holds for  $I^{i_1 \cdots i_r}$ . Following Schönberg<sup>6</sup> introduce elements of the algebra defined by

$$P_{i_1 \cdots i_r}^{j_1 \cdots j_s} = I^{i_1 \cdots i_r} I_{j_1 \cdots j_s} I_{i_1 \cdots i_r} I_{j_1 \cdots j_s}. \quad (4)$$

We call these elements projectors to describe their properties outlined below. To simplify the notation let  $\alpha, \beta$  be multi-indices, i.e., an ordered subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . By convention the ordering in superscripts is decreasing. The following propositions are crucial or the development below.

**Proposition D:** The projectors  $P_\alpha^\alpha$  satisfy the relations

$$P_\beta^\alpha P_\gamma^\alpha = \delta_\beta^\gamma P_\alpha^\alpha, \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_\alpha P_\alpha^\alpha = 1. \quad (6)$$

Relation (5), given by Schönberg,<sup>6</sup> may be obtained as follows. Let  $P$  be the projector

$$P = I_1 \cdots I_m I^{m \cdots 1} = I_1 I^1 I_2 I^2 \cdots I_m I^m.$$

Since  $P$  is the product of commuting idempotent elements  $I_i I^i$ ,  $P$  is idempotent:  $P^2 = P$ .

Letting  $\mu = 1, \dots, m$  denote the full set of indices, from relations (1), (2), and the dual of (2) the intermediate result

$$I^\mu I_\mu I^\nu I_\nu = \delta_\beta^\alpha I^\mu I_\mu$$

is obtained. Relation (5) follows then from the fact that  $P$  is idempotent.

Relation (6) may be derived as follows: from (1) it follows that

$$P = \sum_\alpha (-)^{\nu(\alpha)} I^\alpha I_\alpha,$$

where  $\nu(\alpha)$  is the number of indices in the subset  $\alpha$ . The sum includes the null set for which  $\nu(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $I^\alpha = I_\alpha = 1$ . We have

$$\sum_\beta P_\beta^\alpha = \sum_\beta I^\beta P I_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \cap \beta} (-)^{\nu(\alpha)} I^\beta I^\alpha I_\beta I_\alpha;$$

only terms with  $\alpha \cap \beta = \alpha$  are nonzero. Consider the sum of terms such that  $\alpha \cup \beta = \gamma$ :

$$\sum_\beta P_\beta^\alpha = \sum_{\gamma: \alpha \subset \gamma} I^\gamma I_\gamma \sum_{\alpha \subset \gamma} (-)^{\nu(\alpha)}.$$

The rearrangement of indices to obtain an ordered set does not produce a sign change as it is done symmetrically in subscripts and superscripts. The proof is completed by realizing that for a finite set, the number of subsets with an even number of elements is equal to the number of subsets with an odd number, so that  $\sum_{\alpha \subset \gamma} (-)^{\nu(\alpha)} = 0$  except for  $\gamma = \emptyset$ , where the result is 1. The proposition about finite sets used above may be derived by induction. The proposition is valid for one-element sets that have two improper subsets: the null set and the full set.

To demonstrate the basis property of the projectors, we express them as linear combinations of ordered products and conversely. We have

$$P_\beta^\alpha = I^\alpha P I_\beta = \sum_\gamma (-)^{\nu(\gamma)} I^\alpha I^\gamma I_\gamma I_\beta = \sum_\gamma (-)^{\nu(\gamma)} I^{\alpha \cup \gamma} I_{\gamma \cup \beta}.$$

Nonzero terms are those with  $\gamma \cap \alpha = \emptyset$  and  $\gamma \cap \beta = \emptyset$ . Reordering of indices in the antisymmetric exterior products  $I^{\alpha \cup \gamma}$  and  $I_{\gamma \cup \beta}$  may be required to obtain ordered products. The converse relations are obtained using relation (6):

$$I^\beta I_\gamma = \sum_\alpha I^\alpha P_\alpha^\beta I_\gamma = \sum_\alpha I^\alpha P I_\alpha I_\gamma = \sum_\alpha P_{\alpha \cup \gamma}^{\alpha \cup \beta}. \quad (7)$$

Conditions for nonzero terms and rearrangements are as before. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be elements of the algebra expanded in a projector basis:

$$X = X_\beta^\alpha P_\alpha^\beta, \quad Y = Y_\gamma^\delta P_\delta^\gamma,$$

where  $X_\beta^\alpha, Y_\gamma^\delta$  are complex numbers and the summation convention is used. From relation (5)

$$XY = (X_\beta^\alpha Y_\gamma^\delta) P_\alpha^\beta P_\delta^\gamma.$$

Let  $C(2^m)$  designate a  $2^m \times 2^m$  complex matrix. We have the following proposition.

**Proposition E:**  $C_{2^m}$  algebras admit a  $C(2^m)$  matrix representation.

The second consequence of relation (5) is stated as follows.

**Proposition F:** The idempotent projector  $P_\alpha^\alpha$  generates a minimal left ideal spanned by the projectors  $P_\alpha^\alpha$  with  $\alpha$  fixed.

Let  $\alpha_0$  denote a fixed value of the multi-index  $\alpha$  (the summation convention does not apply to  $\alpha_0$ ). We have

$$X P_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0} = X_\beta^\gamma P_\beta^\gamma P_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0} = X_\beta^{\alpha_0} P_\beta^{\alpha_0}.$$

Letting  $A$  denote the algebra, algebraic spinor members of the minimal left ideal  $A P_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0}$  may then be expanded as

$$\eta = \eta_{\beta} P_{\alpha}^{\beta}.$$

To  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  the mapping  $\rho(X): AP_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rightarrow AP_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$  defined by  $\eta \rightarrow X\eta$  can be associated;  $\rho(X)$  is a representation of the algebra  $\rho(XY) = \rho(X)\rho(Y)$ . The representation is irreducible since  $AP_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$  is minimal. A minimal ideal is generated by each idempotent projector  $P_{\beta}^{\beta}$ . The basis property of the projectors shows that the ideals do not overlap, and relation (6) that the algebra is completely reduced. All representations defined by the minimal ideals are equivalent, since a one-to-one mapping  $AP_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rightarrow AP_{\beta}^{\beta}$  is defined by  $\eta \rightarrow \eta P_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ . We have thus recovered a theorem already given by Weyl,<sup>1</sup> which states that central simple algebras have, up to equivalence, one irreducible representation contained in the regular representation with a multiplicity equal to the dimensions of the former. [The regular representation is the mapping  $\rho(a): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $X \rightarrow aX$ , and the representation space is obviously identical to the algebra.]

### III. $C_{2m+1}$ ALGEBRAS

There is now an unpaired basis vector  $I_+$ , with the following scalar products:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\pm}^2 &= 1, \\ I_{\pm} \cdot I_{\pm} &= 0, \\ I_{\pm} \cdot I^{\pm} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the elements

$$I_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm I_0).$$

It is easily seen that the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\pm}^2 &= I_{\pm}, \\ I_{+} I_{-} &= 0 = I_{-} I_{+}. \end{aligned}$$

The products above are Clifford products. Let  $\omega$  be an index with values  $+$ ,  $-$ . As linear combinations of the elements of an ordered product basis, the  $2^n$  elements  $I^{\alpha} I_{\omega} I_{\beta}$  are a basis of the algebra. We define a set of projectors

$$P_{\omega\beta}^{\alpha} = I^{\alpha} I_{\omega} I_{\beta} I^{\omega} I_{\beta}. \quad (8)$$

By straightforward computation it can be checked that the projectors satisfy the relations

$$P_{\omega\beta}^{\alpha} P_{\omega'\beta'}^{\alpha'} = \delta_{\omega\omega'} \delta_{\beta\beta'} P_{\omega\beta}^{\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sum_{\omega, \alpha} P_{\omega\alpha}^{\alpha} = 1.$$

The basis property of the projectors derives from the relations to the elements of an ordered product basis,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\omega\gamma}^{\beta} &= \sum_{\alpha} (-)^{\nu(\alpha)} I^{\alpha} I_{\omega} I_{\beta} I_{\omega'(\alpha)} I_{\alpha} I_{\gamma}, \\ I^{\beta} I_{\omega} I_{\gamma} &= \sum_{\alpha} P_{\omega(\alpha)\alpha}^{\alpha} I_{\omega} I_{\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = (-)^{m+\nu(\alpha)}$  is a sign factor. From relation (9) it follows that the projectors  $P_{\omega\beta}^{\alpha}$  with  $\omega$  fixed span a subalgebra, and that  $C_{2m+1}$  is the direct sum of the two subalgebras. The relation among components is now

$$(X_{\beta}^{\alpha} X_{\beta}^{\alpha}) (Y_{\delta}^{\gamma} Y_{\delta}^{\gamma}) = (X_{\beta}^{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}^{\gamma} X_{\beta}^{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}^{\gamma}),$$

and we have the following proposition.

**Proposition G:**  $C_{2m+1}$  algebras admit  $C(2^m) \otimes C(2^m)$  matrix representations.

In this case, the proposition about minimal ideals becomes the following.

**Proposition H:** The idempotent projector  $P_{\omega\alpha}^{\alpha}$  generates a minimal left ideal spanned by the projectors  $P_{\omega\alpha}^{\beta}$  with  $\omega$  and  $\alpha$  fixed.

The theorem about irreducible representations used for  $C_{2m}$  algebras cannot be applied here, since  $C_{2m+1}$  algebras are not central and simple. According to the proposition above we have that  $C_{2m+1}$  algebras have two irreducible representations of dimension  $2^m$  contained in the regular representation with multiplicity  $2^m$ .

### IV. CONNECTION WITH CARTAN'S THEORY OF SPINORS

We show that Cartan's matrix representation is contained in relation (10). Going back to indices, the expansions of the basis vectors are

$$\begin{aligned} I^i &= P^i + \sum_j P_j^j + \sum_{jk} P_{jk}^{ki} + \dots, \\ I_0 &= (-)^{\nu(\alpha)} \left( P^i - \sum_j P_j^j + \sum_{\beta\gamma} P_{\beta\gamma}^{\beta\gamma} - \dots \right), \\ I_i &= P_i + \sum_j P_j^j + \sum_{jk} P_{jk}^{ki} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here  $P = P_{+} + P_{-}$  and  $P^i = P_{+} - P_{-}$ . Numerical results for  $m=2$ ,  $n=5$  are

$$\begin{aligned} I^1 &= P^1 - P_2^{12}, \\ I^2 &= P^2 + P_1^{12}, \\ I_0 &= P' - P_1^{12} - P_2^{12} + P_{12}^{12}, \\ I_1 &= P_1 - P_2^{12}, \\ I_2 &= P_2 + P_{12}^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

If multi-indices are ordered none, 1, 2, 12, the matrices of components relative to the projectors  $P_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} H^1 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ H^2 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ H_0 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \\ H_1 &= \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{Bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$H_2 = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

The matrices are obtained by inspection of the preceding relations and are identical with Cartan's expression<sup>8</sup> (subscripts are identified with Cartan's primed indices). Cartan's system of equations defining spinors<sup>9</sup> is obtained by working out the components of the relation

$$\eta = X\xi,$$

where  $X$  is a vector,

$$X = X_i I^i + X^0 I_0 + X^j I_j,$$

and  $\xi, \eta$  are algebraic spinors,

$$\eta = \sum_{\rho=0}^m \eta_{i_1 \dots i_\rho} P_+^{i_1 \dots i_\rho},$$

$$\xi = \sum_{\rho=0}^m \xi_{i_1 \dots i_\rho} P_+^{i_1 \dots i_\rho}.$$

The result is obtained using (11) and (8):

$$\eta_{i_1 \dots i_\rho} = \sum_{k=1}^{\rho} (-)^{\rho-k} X_k \xi_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \dots i_\rho} \\ + (-)^{\rho} X^0 \xi_{i_1 \dots i_\rho} + \sum_j X^j \xi_{i_1 \dots i_\rho j}.$$

This is Cartan's relation. However, Cartan's theory goes be-

yond the relation given above. The concept of pure spinor is defined by nonlinear constraints on the components of algebraic spinors, and lies outside of the theory of irreducible representations.

## V. CONCLUSION

The construction of projector bases leads to the results of irreducible representation theory by a simple algebraic approach. All quantities involved in the approach, projectors and spinors, are members of the algebra. A projector basis is obviously the basis appropriate to calculations involving algebraic spinors.

<sup>1</sup>N. Salingaros and Y. Ilamed, *Found. Phys.* **14**, 777 (1984).

<sup>2</sup>K. R. Greider, *Found. Phys.* **14**, 467 (1984).

<sup>3</sup>Z. Hasiewicz, A. K. Kwansniewski, and P. Morawiec, *J. Math. Phys.* **25**, 2031 (1984).

<sup>4</sup>D. Hestenes, *Am. J. Phys.* **39**, 1013 (1971).

<sup>5</sup>E. Cartan, *Theory of Spinors* (Hermann, Paris, 1966).

<sup>6</sup>M. Schönberg, *Nuovo Cimento Suppl.* **6**, 356 (1957).

<sup>7</sup>H. Weyl, *Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1950), p. 316.

<sup>8</sup>See Ref. 5, p. 82.

<sup>9</sup>See Ref. 5, p. 81.