

CEA-DAS-650  
FR 900072

COMMISSARIAT A L'ENERGIE ATOMIQUE

INSTITUT DE PROTECTION ET DE SURETE NUCLEAIRE

DEPARTEMENT D'ANALYSE DE SURETE



RAPPORT DAS/650

A COOPERATIVE NRC/CEA RESEARCH PROJECT ON  
EARTHQUAKE GROUND MOTION ON SOIL SITES : OVERVIEW

MURPHY A.J.\* , MOHAMMADIOUN B.\*\*

10TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STRUCTURAL  
MECHANICS IN REACTOR TECHNOLOGY, SMIRT 10  
Anaheim, CA, 14-18 aout, 1989

RAPPORT DAS/650

A COOPERATIVE NRC/CEA RESEARCH PROJECT ON  
EARTHQUAKE GROUND MOTION ON SOIL SITES : OVERVIEW

MURPHY A.J.\* , MOHAMMADIOUN B. \*\*

10TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STRUCTURAL  
MECHANICS IN REACTOR TECHNOLOGY, SMIRT 10  
Anaheim, CA, 14-18 aout, 1989

octobre 1989

\* NRC

\*\* DAS/BERSSIN

A COOPERATIVE NRC/CEA RESEARCH PROJECT  
ON EARTHQUAKE GROUND MOTION  
ON SOIL SITES :

OVERVIEW

Andrew J. Murphy  
Bagher Mohammadioun

ABSTRACT

This paper provides an overview of a multi-phase experiment being conducted jointly by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the French Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique. The objective of the experiment is to collect a comprehensive set of data on the propagation of earthquake ground motions vertically through a shallow soil column (on the order of several tens of meters). The data will be used to validate several of the available engineering computer codes for modeling earthquake ground motion. The data set will also be used to develop an improved understanding of the earthquake source function and the potential for non-linear effects controlling the propagation through the shallow soil column.

The desired data are being recorded or will be recorded by vertical arrays of accelerometers. The first phase of the experiment consisted of installation of instruments at three levels (two subsurfaces and one surface) in a seismically active area of northeastern California. Several years of recording at this glacial till site proved the validity of the experimental plan and produced a valuable data set. Thus, the second phase was undertaken at a site more representative of nuclear power plant sites in the eastern U.S. and France. A sedimentary site in southern California will be fully instrumented in the Spring of 1989. Both experimental sites are very close (15-20 km) to active seismic areas.

This paper is also an introduction to three other papers being presented at this conference. These papers will present the details of the research analyses that have been conducted to date. Briefly, these analyses include :

1) a linear wave model used to predict the ground motion at the surface based upon ground motion actually recorded in the borehole,

2) computation of response spectra at 5 % damping, transfer functions, and wave propagation analyses through the surface layer using standard engineering computer codes, and

3) a study of source and path effects using propagating crack or dislocation models.

## INTRODUCTION

The anti-seismic design of critical structures, such as nuclear power plants, presupposes a precise and/or conservative determination of the vibratory ground motion which these facilities may be subjected to during a strong earthquake. Seismic monitoring in highly active zones has produced a number of strong-motion records from epicentral areas and has aided in defining certain source and propagation parameters, but records from the immediate vicinity of faults ( $R > 10$  km) continue to be rare. The prediction of ground motion parameters (most frequently peak ground acceleration) at these distances for anti-seismic design purposes relies on extrapolations of attenuation laws derived from the far fields. The weaknesses of the method are highlighted by recordings of recent significant earthquakes such as Mexico and Chile in 1985 and Whittier Narrows in 1987, which, at short distances, show a high degree of scattering where these parameters are concerned.

Recourse may be had to theoretical developments. Recent headway in the area of the theoretical simulation of earthquake sources and wave propagation has, in many instances, been made possible on the strength of the aforementioned strong ground motion records. Usually these data, however, likewise bear the imprint of the surficial soil layers at the recording site. Thus, when attempting to solve the inverse problem of reconstituting the source function on the basis of the end-product record, not only large-scale (tens of kilometers) corrections are required, but also quite small-scale corrections (tens of meters) should be made whenever soil dynamics problems are addressed. These two scale ranges also correspond to contrasting ranges in frequency : while basic seismology is mainly preoccupied with frequencies under 1 Hz. (large-scale features), engineering seismology must operate in the often delicate realm of high frequencies, from 1 up to 30 Hz. (small-scale features).

In the field of engineering seismology, the behavior of superficial soil and rock layers of poor mechanical resistance is of particular concern because it is responsible for a preponderant proportion of the damage incurred during major earthquakes (we may cite, in particular, the Alaska and Niigata, Japan, events of 1964, Irpinia in 1980, and Mexico in 1985). A great many nuclear facilities are located near rivers, and accordingly on alluvial deposits. The ability to predict soil behavior accurately is therefore extremely important to safety authorities. Computer codes developed on this topic invariably call upon simplifying hypotheses such as a one-dimensional propagation model and linear or equivalent linear behavior, and most have yet to be validated by a detailed analysis of appropriate earthquake records.

The contribution of the experiment to the topic of soil dynamics is basically to test computer code validity. Although linear behavior in propagating media may reasonably be expected where consolidated rock is concerned, other types of media are likely to display strain-dependent behavior (Mohammadioun and Pecker, 1984 ; Mohammadioun, 1986). By giving access to seismic sources capable of generating ground motion both strong and weak, over an extensive range of frequencies and due to a wide variety in focal distances, both the threshold of non-linear behavior and the nature of its influence on the seismic signal should be able to be determined. It is also hoped that information on the role of the incident angle may be gained because of the presence of different focal depths.

With the second phase of the experiments, where earthquake motion is to be recorded simultaneously within each geological formation present at the site, including the bedrock, by means of a vertically array composed of accelerometers installed in downholes, several objectives may in fact be sought. In basic seismology, the records can be used to test methods that simulate source and propagation, throwing light upon specific areas such as the self-similarity of earthquake source spectra and establishing whether  $f_{max}$  (an empirically observed high frequency cutoff, the origin of which is the subject of debate) is a consequence of the source itself or rather of the media through which the wave is propagated. As an added benefit, these records should help to complete the set of available near-field data.

#### Mc GEE CREEK: FIRST PHASE OF THE EXPERIMENT

The Mc Gee Creek phase of the experiment was conducted near the seismically active Long Valley Caldera of northeastern California. The site was instrumented with both three-component acceleration and velocity transducers at subsurface depths of 166 m and 35 m as well as at the surface. Recording was started in November, 1984 and continues to the present although there have been component failures. The recording site consists of approximately 30 m of glacial till over bedrock of hornfels. Thus, one instrument package was placed just below the soil-bedrock interface and one significantly below it.

The analysis of data from the first phase indicates that the glacial till generally increases the amplitude and duration of the signal recorded at depth. However, the corner frequency of the S-waves (a measure of the energy distribution with frequency) measured at depth and at the surface are nearly identical. Based on this data, Archuleta (1986) was able to infer that the local site effect due to the glacial-till is not the cause of the nearly constant source radius observed for earthquakes in the region. Seale and Archuleta (1988) analyzed the accelograms from two earthquakes :  $M_L = 6.4$  Chalfont Valley, distance 32 km and  $M_L = 5.8$  Round Valley, distance 22 km. Both Earthquakes produced peak accelerations of about  $120 \text{ cm/s}^2$  at the surface and about  $15 \text{ cm/s}^2$  at 166 m depth in bedrock. Using the transverse component of acceleration recorded at 166 m and the elastic and linear damping properties of the bedrock and glacial till, Seale and Archuleta computed a synthetic surface accelogram for each earthquake. Thus for these soil conditions and acceleration levels, it is not necessary to invoke non-linear theory or models to explain the observations.

Pecker and Mohammadioun (this volume) discuss the results of computing 5 % damped response spectra and transfer functions for the 30.5 m surface layer which is a glacial till. They cited as a typical result that for a

magnitude 6.2 earthquake the average vertical amplification between about 7 and 10 Hz is a factor of 5. They also carried out one-dimensional propagation analyses that predicted surface ground response spectra from subsurface spectra. The calculated spectra compared favorably with those from the recorded data

provided site specific parameters were taken into account. They conclude that "due to the nature of the sediments at Mc Gee Creek (glacial tills) nonlinearities are not very pronounced and can be reflected by an equivalent linear model with sufficient accuracy for engineering purposes".

Bouchon and Gariel (this volume) studied the source and path effects for several earthquakes that have been recorded at Mc Gee Creek. Their objective, as well as that of Archuleta and Seale, is to investigate the relative importance of source effects and path effects. Bouchon and Gariel modeled the source as a propagating crack embedded in the crustal structure and compute the expected velocities and accelerations which they compare with the recorded data.

While the Mc Gee Creek experiment did not fully resolve the technical issues presented in the introduction, as the first phase of the project it did establish that the experimental setup was viable and that with a number of experimental changes it could provide the type of data that was necessary to resolve the issues.

#### GARNER VALLEY: SECOND PHASE OF THE EXPERIMENT

The second phase of the project was initiated to collect data from a more comprehensive experiment at a site more representative of nuclear power plant sites in France and the eastern United States. The site sought was to have a shallow soil column for bedrock in a seismically active area already monitored by a seismographic network. After some initial difficulties finding such a site with the needed amount of soil cover, a site was found in Garner Valley, California, which is adjacent to the Anza seismic gap. A through geophysical and geotechnical survey of the site has been carried in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Geological Survey to fully characterize the site.

The site will be instrumented with four subsurface instrument packages and one surface package. Each package will consist of a three component (three orthogonal sensors) feedback accelerometer with electronic integration to provide a velocity output in addition to the acceleration signal. The packages are being deployed for specific purposes. Beginning with the deep package, it is providing the initial input unperturbed by the soil column. Two packages are being placed adjacent to the soil-rock interface, one above it and one below, to record the effect of the interface. A package is being placed in the soil column at approximately the typical depth of embedment for a nuclear power plant. The signals from the five instrument packages will be recorded on site by a 16-bit digital recorder. The full sensor and recording system will be fully operational in the Spring of 1989.

REFERENCES

- Archuleta, R.J. (1986). "Downhole Recording of Seismic Radiation". Earthquake Source Mechanics, Geophysical Monograph 37 (Maurice Ewing 6), S. Das, J. Boatwright, and C. Sholz, Eds. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., p. 319-329.
- Bouchon, M., Gariel, J-C (1989). "Numerical Simulation of Source and Path Effects". This volume.
- Mohammadioun, B., Pecker, A. (1984). "Low-Frequency Transfer of Seismic Energy By Superficial Soil Deposits and Soft Rocks". Eartquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, Vol. 12, p. 537-564.
- Mohammadioun, B. (1986). "A comparative study of Imperial Valley (1979) Main Shocks and First aftershock recordings as evidence of ground motion level dependant non-linearities". Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, Vol. 14, p. 317-320.
- Pecker, A., Mohammadioun, G. (1989). "Mc Gee Creek Downhole Records : interpretation from an Engineering Standpoint". This volume.
- Seale, S.H., Archuleta, R.J. (1988). "Site effects at Mc Gee Creek, California". Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics II - Recent Advances in Ground-Motion Evaluation, Proceedings of the A.S.C.E. Speciality Conference, p. 137-187.

**DESTINATAIRES****DIFFUSION CEA**

M. le Haut Commissaire  
 DCS  
 DDSN  
 IPSN  
 OSSN : M. GUILLEMARD  
 DRSN : M. LIVOLANT  
 DRSN : M. PELCE  
 DAS/DIR  
 DAS/SASICC  
 DERS Cadarache  
 SES Cadarache  
 SERE Cadarache  
 SESRU Cadarache  
 SRSC Valduc  
 SEMAR  
 DPS/FAR + DPS/DOC : Mme BEAU  
 DPT/FAR  
 DSMN/FAR  
 CDSN/FAR : Mme PENNANEAC'H  
 UDIN/VALRHO  
 DEDR Saclay  
 DERPE/DIR Saclay  
 DRP Cadarache  
 DTE Cadarache  
 DENT Saclay  
 DMECN/DIR Cadarache  
 Service Documentation Saclay : Mme COTTON (3 ex.)  
 DERS/DOC/Cadarache : Mme REY

**DIFFUSION HORS CEA**

Secrétariat Général du Comité Interministériel de la Sécurité Nucléaire  
 Conseil Général des Mines : M. DE TORQUAT  
 Service Central de Sûreté des Installations Nucléaires : M. LAVERIE (+ 3 ex.)  
 Service Central de Sûreté des Installations Nucléaires - FAR  
 Monsieur le Président du G.P.d. : M. GUILLAUMONT  
 Monsieur le Président du G.P.u. : M. MUXART  
 Direction Générale de l'Énergie et des Matières Premières : M. LEVY  
 FRAMATOME : M. le Directeur Général  
 NOVATOME : M. le Directeur Technique  
 TECHNICATOME : M. le Directeur Général  
 TECHNICATOME : Service Documentation  
 COGEMA : M. le Directeur de la Branche Enrichissement  
                   M. le Directeur de la Branche Retraitement  
 EDF / L'inspecteur général de sûreté et de sécurité nucléaires : M. TANGUY  
 EDF / SEPTEN (2 ex.)  
 EDF / SPT  
 VIENNA INTERNATIONAL CENTRE LIBRARY : Mrs ROSLYN M. STIRLING  
 M. HOHLEFELDER ) Bundes Ministerium für UMWELT, NATURSCHUTZ  
 M. BREEST           ) und REAKTORSICHERHEIT - BONN (RFA)  
 M. KREWER - Bundes Ministerium für Forschung und Technologie - BONN (RFA)  
 M. BIRKHOFER - Gesellschaft für Reaktorsicherheit - KOLN (RFA)  
 M. JAHNS - Gesellschaft für Reaktorsicherheit - KOLN (RFA)  
 M. HAUBER - U.S.N.R.C. - WASHINGTON (E.U.)  
 M. BECKJORD - U.S.N.R.C. - WASHINGTON (E.U.)

.../...

Avril 1989

M. J.S. MACLEOD - Nuclear Installations Inspectorate - LONDON (G.B.)  
M. J. BRAMMAN - International Collaboration Branch UKAEA (G.B.)  
M. J.G. TYROR - SRD - UKAEA (G.B.)  
M. GONZALES - Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear - MADRID (ESPAGNE)  
M. José DE CARLOS - Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear - MADRID (ESPAGNE)  
M. C. BORREGO - Département de l'Environnement - Université d'AVEIRO (PORTUGAL)  
M. E. HELLSTRAND - STUDSVIK ENERGITEKNIK AB -  
Nuclear Division, Safety and System Analysis - NYKOPING (SUEDE)  
M. NASCHI - Direttore Centrale della Sicurezza Nucleare e della Protezione Sanitaria -  
ENEA - ROMA (ITALIE)  
M. P. VANNI - Direttore relazioni esterne e informazione -  
ENEA - ROMA (ITALIE)  
M. LIN CHENGGE - National Nuclear Safety Administration (CHINE)  
M. MA FUBANG, Director of the Nuclear Electricity Office - MIN (CHINE)  
M. Itsuro MISUMI - MITI (JAPON)  
M. KENICHI MURAKAMI - Science & Technology Agency -  
Director of the Nuclear Safety Bureau (JAPON)  
M. HIROSHI HIROI - Science & Technology Agency - Nuclear Safety Bureau (JAPON)  
M. FUKETA - JAERI - Center of Safety Research (JAPON)  
M. JAE CHOON LIM - Director of the Nuclear Reactor Division (COREE)  
M. Mohamed KARBID - Secrétaire général du Ministère de l'Energie et des Mines (MAROC)

M. DE GALASSUS (Attaché près de l'Ambassade de France aux Etats-Unis)  
M. DURAND (Attaché Energie près de l'Ambassade de France en Corée)  
M. MORIETTE (Attaché près de l'Ambassade de France au Japon)  
M. LALERE (Conseiller nucléaire auprès de l'Ambassade de France en Chine)

**COPIE (SANS P.J.)**

SRDE  
LEFH  
BAIN  
GCSR  
SASR  
SACP  
SAEP  
SGNR  
SAREP  
SAPN  
SASLU  
SASLU/VALRHO  
SEC  
SAET  
SAED  
STAS  
SASC  
SAEG  
SAM  
SPI

M. GOURIEVIDIS (Conseiller Nucléaire près de l'Ambassade de France en RFA)