

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

TITLE: SMALL -ANGLE NEUTRON SCATTERING AT PULSED SOURCES COMPARED TO REACTOR SOURCES

RECEIVED
DEC 13 1989

AUTHOR(S): Rex P. Hjelm, Jr., Philip A. Seeger, and P. Thiyagarajan

SUBMITTED TO: Proceedings of the 11th Meeting of the International Collaboration on Advanced Neutron Sources , October 22-26, 1990, Tskuba, Japan

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Los Alamos

Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

SMALL-ANGLE NEUTRON SCATTERING AT PULSED SOURCES COMPARED TO REACTOR SOURCES

Rex P. Hjelm, Jr.*, Philip A. Seeger*, and P. Thiyagarajan†

* Los Alamos Neutron Scattering Center, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 USA. † Intense Pulsed Neutron Source and Chemistry Divisions, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, 60436 USA

Abstract: Detailed comparisons of measurements made on small-angle neutron scattering instruments at pulsed spallation and reactor sources show that the results from the two types of instruments are comparable. It is further demonstrated that spallation instruments are preferable for measurements in the mid-momentum transfer domain or when a large domain is needed.

Introduction

Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) instruments at pulsed sources use time-of-flight (TOF) to measure the magnitude of the momentum of each counted neutron, rather than monochromate the incident beam. This is necessary, as the time-averaged flux at pulsed spallation sources is low, and every available neutron must be used. The methods of data acquisition and reduction for TOF-SANS are new and some aspects are still under development, and we need to demonstrate that data obtained using these new techniques are reliable, and that measurements taken on the two classes of instruments are comparable. We present here some results of some measurements designed to meet this objective, in which detailed comparisons are done between measurements on standard samples at TOF instruments with SANS instruments at reactors. In this paper we will show that the answers obtained from the two types of instruments are the same, with the caveat that there are some minor differences that may be due to incoherent scattering, multiple scattering, and instrument resolution. We hope that as a result of this work experimenters will be aided in planning measurements and in making good choices as to which type of instrument might be more suitable for a particular measurement.

Results

A blend of d-polystyrene (48%) with h-polystyrene

Small-angle scattering from a blend of 48% deuterated polystyrene is expected to exhibit $I(Q) \sim Q^{-2}$, characteristic of a Gaussian coil. In Figure 1 we show the results of data taken on two TOF-SANS instruments: the Low-Q Neutron Diffractometer (LQD) at the Los Alamos Neutron Scattering Center, Los Alamos National Laboratory and the Small-angle Neutron Diffractometer (SAD) at Argonne National Laboratory; and two reactor-based instruments: the 30M SANS at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and D-11 at the Institut Laue-Langevin.

Measurements on LQD and SAD were taken with instrument fixed geometry. The SAD measurement was taken with the detector off-axis. In each case data acquisition and reduction was done by standard methods and are described elsewhere [1-4]. Two geometries were used on the reactor instruments: 19 and 7 M sample to detector distances on the 30-M SANS, and 10 and 2.5 M on D-11. Measurements on the 30-M instrument were done by F. Bates and G. Wignal.

All data are placed in a scale of absolute differential scattering probability per unit solid angle, $dP/d\Omega$ (division by sample thickness will give $d\Sigma/d\Omega$ (cm^{-1}), the macroscopic differential cross section per unit volume per unit solid angle). This was done by determining a calibration constant for each instrument. For the reactor instruments, a primary standard, water, was used [5,6]. Secondary standards with cross sections derived from the reactor instruments were used to calibrate the TOF instruments. In the case of SAD this was an irradiated aluminum standard Al-4, the absolute intensity of which was determined on the Oak Ridge 30 M instrument [6]. For LQD calibration was done using the polystyrene blend discussed here and also a silica gel sample; the absolute intensity values for which were determined on D-11.

The absolute SANS intensities (shifted by factors of two for comparison in figure 1) from each measurement are identical, on the average. Since calibration of the TOF instruments was done using results from the reactor based instruments, there is no surprise that the intensity agrees. That the two TOF instruments agree very well, does show that the determination of a




Figure 1. *Polystyrene Blend*:
 ■, LQD; □, SAD; ◆, D-11;
 ◇, 30-M SANS




Figure 2. *Vycor Glass*:
 ■, D-11, 10M; ●, D-11, 5M; □ LQD

calibration constant for these instruments can be done in a straightforward manner, even though the two TOF instruments are very different in design and neutron source characteristics [1,7]

These data (figure. 1) show the large Q -domain accessible using TOF instruments on a single measurement—larger than that available on the reactor instruments, even with two camera settings. In principle, data can be obtained on LQD over the domain $0.002 < Q < 0.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, and $0.005 < Q < 0.3$ on SAD. Realization of this range depends on a number of factors, including scattering intensity, counting times, incoherent scattering and the range of incident neutron wavelength used. These limit the domain of useable data in this instance to $0.003 \leq Q \leq 0.2 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ on LQD and $0.005 \leq Q \leq 0.12 \text{ \AA}$ on SAD.

The slopes of the double log plots for the TOF data are -2 to within experimental error. The slopes of the lines for the reactor-SANS data are slightly smaller. We are not sure of the reasons for the difference but suspect that effects from incoherent scattering may play a role here. George Wignall (private communication) reports that a value of 4 must be subtracted from the ORNL data, his estimate of the incoherent signal in the blend, to obtain a line on the double log plot with slope -2.

In the data taken on LQD there is a deviation from the $I(Q) \sim Q^{-2}$ power law at the lowest Q values. It is likely that in this domain $Q^{-1} \approx R_g$, the radius of gyration of the polymer; thus we are observing a cross-over into a Guinier region. There also may be some effects from multiple scattering [8] due to the large incident neutron wavelengths used to obtain very low Q data. This points out a potential disadvantage of TOF-SANS at very low Q , as limits in instrument length (if

a frame overlap chopper is not used) requires the use of long wavelength neutrons in order to obtain data in this region.

A Sample of Vycor glass:

The porosity of this vycor glass leads to a correlation peak at $Q \approx 0.02 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. In Figure 2 we compare data taken at D-11 and LQD. The D-11 data are taken at sample to detector distances of 10M and 5M using 10 \AA neutrons. Data on LQD were taken with a proton beam current on target of $60 \mu\text{A}$. The results give some further interesting comparisons between LQD and D-11.

Scattering measurements (expressed in figure 2 as $d\Sigma/d\Omega \text{ (cm}^{-1}\text{)}$) taken on the two instruments are essentially the same, given that for a peaked function the instrument resolution becomes a factor in the result. In the example in Fig. 2 data, from LQD were reduced using all time channels. This gives the maximum Q-range for the measurement. When this procedure is used the precision in Q for LQD (sample to detector distance $\approx 4.3\text{M}$) is similar to D11 at 5M, as evidenced by the similarity in curve shape and height. However, resolution of a TOF instrument is dependent on the TOF-channels used in data reduction [2]; thus, had only longer TOF-channels been included, a narrower peak in the region about $Q \approx 0.02^{-1}$ would have resulted.

The data acquisition time for this experiment and its transmission on LQD was 30 minutes. To achieve the same statistics (0.45%) in an equal width Q-bin (0.001 \AA^{-1}) at a single value of Q (0.030 \AA^{-1}) at D-11 would take 9 minutes at the 5-m position. Thus it took more than 3 times as long to get a data set at LQD, but the Q-range obtained is nearly 4 times as wide. In this example, the extended range allows determination of the power-law slope above $Q = 0.045 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. When a constant (incoherent contribution) of 0.14 cm^{-1} is subtracted, the slope of -3.360 ± 0.006 extends to the highest values of Q measured.

Conclusions:

From these examples, we conclude that the results of scattering measurements taken at TOF-SANS and reactor-based SANS instruments are comparable. Small differences can perhaps be better understood, and thus corrected. The current generation of small-angle instruments on pulsed spallation sources is competitive at moderate Q and may be faster when a wide range of Q is required. It appears, however, that present high flux reactor-based instruments are superior for measurements at very low-Q or over a narrow range of Q.

Acknowledgements

This work was performed under the auspices of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U. S. Department of Energy, under contract no. W-7405-ENG-32 to the University of California.

References:

1. Crawford, R.K., Epperson, J.E., Thiyagarajan, P., and Trow, F. (1987), eds, *Instrumental Techniques and Data Analysis at Pulsed Source SANS Instruments*, IPNS Note 46, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne Illinois, USA
2. Hjelm, R. P. Jr (1988). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **21**, 618 - 628.
3. Hjelm, R. P. Jr & Seeger, P. A. (1989). *Advanced Neutron Sources 1988, Institute of Physics Conference series 97*, edited by D. K. Hyer, pp. 367 - 387. Bristol, IOP Publishing.
4. Seeger, P.A. and Hjelm, R.P. Jr. (1991), *J. Appl. Cryst.* (in press)
5. Jacrot, B., and Zaccari, G. (1981), *Biopolymers*, **20**, 2413-2426.
6. Wignall, G.D., and Bates, F.S. (1987), *J. Appl. Cryst.*, **20**, 28-40.
7. Seeger, P. A., Hjelm, R. P. Jr, & Nutter, M. J. (1990), *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, **180A**, 101 - 117.
8. Copley, J.R.D. (1988), *J. Appl. Cryst.*, **21**, 639-654

END

DATE FILMED

12 / 27 / 90

