



REFERENCE

IC/91/33

**INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR
THEORETICAL PHYSICS**

RIGIDITY OF INVARIANT COMPLEX STRUCTURES

Isabel Dotti Miatello



**INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY**



**UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

1991 MIRAMARE - TRIESTE



International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

RIGIDITY OF INVARIANT COMPLEX STRUCTURES *

Isabel Dotti Miatello **
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE
March 1991

* Submitted for publication.

** Permanent address: FAMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Valparaiso y Rogelio Martínez,
5000 Córdoba, Argentina.

1 Introduction

A Kähler solvmanifold is a connected Kähler manifold (M, j, \langle, \rangle) which admits a transitive solvable group R of automorphisms (= holomorphic isometries). Two Kähler solvmanifolds (M, j, \langle, \rangle) and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ will be considered isomorphic if there exists a biholomorphic map $\phi : (M, j, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$. Since the pullback, by a diffeomorphism, of a Kähler structure is again a Kähler structure we will often say that two pairs (j, \langle, \rangle) , $(\tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ (on a fixed M) are isomorphic if the corresponding solvmanifolds (M, j, \langle, \rangle) and $(M, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ are isomorphic.

The problem we will consider in this paper is related to the number of isomorphism classes of Kähler structures (j, \langle, \rangle) on M turning it into a Kähler solvmanifold.

There are two basic types of Kähler solvmanifolds: (i) locally flat homogeneous Kähler manifolds ($C^n \times T^k$ as C^∞ manifolds), (ii) homogeneous bounded domains. Moreover, it was proved by Dorfmeister in [4] that every Kähler solvmanifold fibers over a homogeneous bounded domain and each fiber is a locally flat Kähler manifold. Concerning the question we posed above it is known: (i) in C^n all invariant complex structures are equivalent and in T^k there exist non trivial deformations of invariant complex structures; (ii) irreducible homogeneous bounded domain have, up to conjugation, a unique isomorphism class of Kähler structure with a fixed group of automorphisms ([5]).

It is well known (see [7]) that every Kähler solvmanifold (M, j, \langle, \rangle) admits an almost simply transitive solvable group of automorphisms. Thus we may identify (M, j, \langle, \rangle) with $(R/\Gamma, j, \langle, \rangle)$ where R is a simply connected solvable group, j is an invariant complex structure, \langle, \rangle is a left invariant Kähler metric and Γ is a discrete subgroup of

$$\{x \in R : Ad(x) \text{ is orthogonal and complex linear } \}.$$

In particular, simply connected Kähler solvmanifolds can be identified with solvable Kähler algebras (see 2) and irreducible and simply connected Kähler solvmanifolds can be identified with solvable Kähler algebras that can not be decomposed into a sum of j invariant ideals. We call such algebras *irreducible*.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove:

Theorem 6.1 *Let (M, j, \langle, \rangle) be an irreducible Kähler solvmanifold with M simply connected and G the group of automorphisms of M . Then any other Kähler structure $(\tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ on M with group of automorphism G is isomorphic to (j, \langle, \rangle) .*

The proof of the above theorem reduces to the case when $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$, the solvable Kähler algebra associated to (M, j, \langle, \rangle) , satisfies that the eigenvalues of ad_x are real numbers, that is \mathfrak{r} is a split solvable Lie algebra. This is so, since solvable Kähler algebras are normal modifications of split solvable ones by a result of Dorfmeister (see [4]). For irreducible and split solvable Kähler algebras, with no restriction on the group of automorphisms of the corresponding solvmanifold, we prove that every Lie algebra isomorphism gives rise to a complex (up to conjugation) isomorphism (Corollary 5.1)

2 Basic notation and results

A solvable Kähler algebra $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ is a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{r} together with an inner product \langle, \rangle and an orthogonal map $j : \mathfrak{r} \rightarrow \mathfrak{r}$ satisfying,

- (1) $j^2 = -id$
- (2) $j[x, y] = [jx, y] + [x, jy] + j[jx, jy]$
- (3) $\langle [x, y], jz \rangle + \langle [y, z], jx \rangle + \langle [z, x], jy \rangle = 0.$

A solvable Kähler algebra is said to be *irreducible* if it can not be decomposed into a sum of j -invariant ideals. A *split solvable Kähler algebra* is a solvable Kähler algebra such that the eigenvalues of $ad_x, x \in \mathfrak{r}$, are real numbers. A *normal j -algebra* is a split solvable Kähler algebra such that $\langle x, y \rangle = \omega[jx, y], x, y \in \mathfrak{r}$, where ω is a linear form on \mathfrak{r} . Normal j -algebras will be denoted $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \omega)$. We note that normal j -algebras do not possess j -invariant abelian ideals. Also, if $\phi(x, y) = -\langle x, jy \rangle$ is the Kähler form then (3) says that ϕ is closed. On the other hand normal j -algebras are the split solvable Kähler algebras whose Kähler form ϕ is exact.

Given a real vector space V with an endomorphism j satisfying $j^2 = -id$ and a skew-symmetric bilinear form $\rho, (V, j, \rho)$ is a *symplectic space* if for any $u, v \in V$

$$(4) \quad \rho(ju, jv) = \rho(u, v), \quad \rho(ju, u) > 0, u \neq 0.$$

Let \mathfrak{r} be a solvable Kähler algebra and let \mathfrak{u} be an abelian j -invariant ideal. Then \mathfrak{s} , the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{u} is a j -invariant subalgebra (by using (3)) hence a solvable Kähler algebra and for every $x \in \mathfrak{s}$

$$(5) \quad jad_x - ad_{jx} = ad_x j + jad_{jx}$$

when restricted to \mathfrak{u} . Furthermore (\mathfrak{u}, j, ρ) is a symplectic space and for every $x \in \mathfrak{s}, ad_x$ is a symplectic transformation of \mathfrak{u} . In fact, it follows from (3) that if $u, v \in \mathfrak{u}, x \in \mathfrak{r}$

$$\rho(ad_x u, v) + \rho(u, ad_x v) = \langle [x, u], jv \rangle + \langle [x, v], ju \rangle = 0$$

Conversely, let (\mathfrak{u}, j, ρ) be a symplectic space, let $(\mathfrak{s}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ be a solvable Kähler algebra and let $\mu : \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{u})$ be a representation by symplectic transformations such that $ad_x u = \mu(x)(u)$ satisfies (5). Then $\mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ inherits a structure of solvable Kähler algebra with \mathfrak{u} as a j -invariant abelian ideal.

Moreover, it was proved by Gindikin, Vinberg and Piatetskii-Shapiro that this construction is general, that is, every split solvable Lie algebra can be obtained as above. We state their theorem and some consequences. All proofs can be found in [6].

Theorem 2.1 (Gindikin, Vinberg, Piatetskii - Shapiro) *Every split solvable Kähler algebra $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ can be decomposed into a semidirect sum*

$$\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$$

where \mathfrak{u} is a commutative j -invariant ideal and \mathfrak{s} is a normal j -algebra. Every normal j -algebra has an element s with the following properties:

1. $[js, s] = s$,
2. the operator $ad_{js}|_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is semisimple and has eigenvalues $0, 1, \frac{1}{2}$ with eigenspaces $\mathfrak{u}_0, \mathfrak{u}_1, \mathfrak{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively,
3. $js_0 = s_1, js_1 = s_0, js_{\frac{1}{2}} = s_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2.1 Some consequences

See [6] for the proofs of the statements below.

1. The operator $ad_{js}|_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is semisimple and has eigenvalues $0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ with eigenspaces $\mathfrak{u}_0, \mathfrak{u}_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ satisfying $ju_\lambda = u_{-\lambda}, \lambda = 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$
2. $[u_0, e_1] = 0, [u_0, s_0] = 0$

3. The decomposition of

$$\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is orthogonal with respect to \langle, \rangle .

4. \mathfrak{s} , the center of \mathfrak{r} , is a j -invariant ideal.

2.2 Decomposition of normal j -algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ be a split solvable Kähler algebra with decomposition $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ (given by Theorem 2.1) with \mathfrak{u} abelian and \mathfrak{s} a normal j -algebra. According to the structure theorem of Piatetskii-Shapiro [8], a normal j -algebra $(\mathfrak{s}, j, \omega)$ can be decomposed $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ (an orthogonal direct sum of vector spaces) where \mathfrak{a} is abelian and $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$. Moreover (i) the subalgebra \mathfrak{n} can be represented as an orthogonal direct sum of the root spaces $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{n} : [h, x] = \alpha(h)x, h \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ with respect to the adjoint action of \mathfrak{a} on \mathfrak{n} ; (ii) there are roots $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r, r = \dim \mathfrak{a}$, such that $j\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ is the direct sum of the one dimensional root spaces $\mathfrak{n}_{\epsilon_i}, i = 1, \dots, r$ and with proper labelling all other roots are of the form $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_k, \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_k \pm \epsilon_m), 1 \leq k < m \leq r$ (although not all these need be roots). Also $j\mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_k} = \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_k}$ and $j\mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_k \pm \epsilon_m)} = \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_k \mp \epsilon_m)}$.

The following notation will be used frequently in this paper.

If $(\mathfrak{s}, j, \omega)$ is a normal j -algebra we will let \mathfrak{a} denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ with respect to the inner product induced from ω . Since $\epsilon_k(j\mathfrak{n}_{\epsilon_m}) = 0, m \neq k$ and $\epsilon_k(j\mathfrak{n}_{\epsilon_k}) \neq 0$, (see [2]) we will fix $x_k \in \mathfrak{n}_{\epsilon_k}$ satisfying $\epsilon_k(jx_k) = \delta_{kk}$.

3 Complementary results

Keeping the notation of the previous section we prove now a series of lemmas.

First we note that given a split solvable Kähler algebra $\tau = u \oplus \mathfrak{s}$,

$$(6) \quad u \subset \mathfrak{u} \text{ for any abelian } j\text{-invariant ideal } \mathfrak{u}.$$

In fact, given $v \in \mathfrak{u}$, write $v = v_u + v_s$ with respect to the decomposition given in Theorem 2.1. Now

$$0 = [jv, v] = [jv_u, v_s] + [jv_s, v_u] + [jv_s, v_s]$$

implies $v_s = 0$ (since $\langle v_s, v_s \rangle = \omega[jv_s, v_s]$). In particular the decomposition of Theorem 2.1 is *unique*.

Lemma 3.1 *If $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ is a split solvable Kähler algebra then $j\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $u_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian subalgebra which coincides with its own centralizer.*

Proof. We note first that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{u}_0$ since by Theorem 2.1, $[j\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_\frac{1}{2}] = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_\frac{1}{2}$ and the decomposition $\mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_\frac{1}{2}$ is orthogonal. Because $[u_0, \mathfrak{s}_0] = 0$ it follows that $u_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is abelian.

We verify next that \mathfrak{a} given in Theorem 2.1 satisfies $j\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{a}$. It is clear that $j\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{s}_0$ thus $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{a}$. Now

$$\langle [x_\alpha, y_\beta], j\mathfrak{s} \rangle = -\langle [x, x_\alpha], jy_\beta \rangle - \langle [y_\beta, \mathfrak{s}], jx_\alpha \rangle = 0$$

since $\alpha + 1$ and $\beta + 1$ are not roots. To prove the last assertion let $u + x \in u \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ be an element centralizing $u_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}$. In particular x centralizes \mathfrak{a} and by the structure theory of normal j -algebras it follows that $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. Using that $j\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{a}$ one obtains that $u \in u_0$ as claimed.

Lemma 3.2 *If $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ is a split solvable Kähler algebra then $u \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is the orthogonal complement of $[\tau, \tau]$.*

Proof. Clearly $\langle \mathfrak{s}, [\tau, \tau] \rangle = \langle j\mathfrak{s}, [\tau, \tau] \rangle = 0$ (see 2.1), thus $u \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is orthogonal to $[\tau, \tau]$. Conversely if $\langle ju + x, [\tau, \tau] \rangle = 0$, $u \in u$, $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ then $u \in u_0$ and $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. Furthermore $\langle ju, [u, \tau] \rangle = 0$ if and only if for every $v \in u$ and $x \in \tau$,

$$0 = \langle ju, [v, x] \rangle = \langle [u, x], jv \rangle$$

or equivalently u (hence ju) is in the center \mathfrak{z} .

We observed that, as a consequence of (6), the decomposition $\tau = u \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ of a split solvable Kähler algebra was unique. The following lemma shows in particular that, up to an element in the center, there exists a unique \mathfrak{s} with the properties listed in Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ be a split solvable Kähler algebra and let $\bar{\tau} \in \tau$, $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \tau$ satisfying*

(j) $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ is a subalgebra of τ such that $\tau = u \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ (not necessarily orthogonal).

(jj) $\text{ad}_{j\tau}|_{\bar{\mathfrak{s}}}$ is semisimple with eigenvalues $0, 1, \frac{1}{2}$. If $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_\lambda$, $\lambda = 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}$ denotes the corresponding eigenspaces then $j\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_0 = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1, j\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_\frac{1}{2} = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_\frac{1}{2}$.

Then $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} - \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{z}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{u}$.

Proof. We assume first $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} \in \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1$. Since u is j -invariant, τ/u inherits a structure of a normal j -algebra. Moreover, if $\pi : \tau \rightarrow \tau/u$ is the quotient map, then $\pi(\mathfrak{s})$ and $\pi(\bar{\mathfrak{s}})$ satisfy i), ii) and iii) of Theorem 2.1. It follows from

[6], page 41, that $\pi(s) = \pi(\bar{s})$ hence $\bar{s} = u + s, u \in u$. In particular $ad_{j\bar{s}}|u = ad_{j\bar{s}}|u$. Since $[j\bar{s}, s] = \bar{s}$ and $[js, s] = s$ it follows that $[ju, s] + [js, u] = u$. If $u = u_0 + u_{\frac{1}{2}} + u_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ then it follows from 2.1 that $[ju, s] = [ju_{\frac{1}{2}}, s]$ and from (2) that $[ju_{\frac{1}{2}}, s] = u_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus $u = [ju, s] + [js, u] = u_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}u_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ implies $u \in u_0$. On the other hand if $x \in \bar{s}$, and $u \in u_0$ then,

$$0 = \langle [j\bar{s}, x], ju \rangle + \langle [u, j\bar{s}], jx \rangle - \langle [x, u], \bar{s} \rangle = \langle x, ju \rangle - \langle [x, u], \bar{s} \rangle.$$

Since $[j\bar{s}[x, u]] = [x, u]$ and 1 is not an eigenvalue of $ad_{j\bar{s}}$ in u it follows that $\langle \bar{s}, u_0 \rangle = 0$ thus $u = 0$ and $\bar{s} = s$.

If $\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{g}$ then $\bar{s} = v + \bar{v}$ with $v \in u, \bar{v} \in \bar{s}$. Since $ad_{\bar{s}}: \bar{s} \rightarrow \bar{s}$, \bar{s} is subalgebra and u is abelian it follows that $v \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, if $j\bar{s} = a_0 + a_1 + a_{\frac{1}{2}}$ with respect to the decomposition $\bar{s}_0 \oplus \bar{s}_1 \oplus \bar{s}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ then $0 = a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus $\bar{v} \in \bar{s}_1$ and \bar{v} satisfies j) and jj) of the lemma.

The proof of $\bar{s}_1 = s_1$ is immediate from the fact $\bar{s} - s \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Remark 1 It follows from (6) and Lemma 3.3 that if $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and (τ, j, \ll, \gg) are split solvable Kähler algebras with decompositions

$$\tau = u \oplus \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}$$

given by Theorem 2.1 then $u = \mathfrak{u}$ and $s_i = \bar{s}_i$.

Remark 2 It was proved in [3] that the orthogonal complement of (τ, τ) in a normal j -algebra does not depend on the metric. This fact together with the previous remark and

$$\langle j\bar{s}, (\tau, \tau) \rangle = \ll j\bar{s}, (\tau, \tau) \gg$$

imply that the same result hold for split solvable Kähler algebras.

3.1 Decomposition of split solvable Kähler algebras

The next proposition is a consequence of Theorem 2.1, the structure theorem of Pyatetskii-Shapiro and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Proposition 3.1 If $\tau = u \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ is a split solvable Kähler algebra and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a normal j -algebra with fundamental set of roots $\Lambda = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$ then

$$u = u_0 \oplus \sum_{i \in J} u_{\pm \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}$$

where $J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, $[a, u_0] = 0$, $[jx_i, u] = \pm \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}u$, $u \in u_{\pm \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_k}$ and $[x_i, u] = ju$, $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}$. Furthermore, the previous decomposition satisfies $[u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_k}] = 0$, $i \neq k$ hence, u_0 decomposes orthogonally

$$u_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{i \in J} [u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}].$$

Proof. Set $\mathfrak{h}_i = \text{span}\{jx_i, x_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Assume $ad_{jx_i}: u \rightarrow u$ is not trivial and let $u = u^i_0 \oplus u^i_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u^i_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ be the decomposition of u given by Theorem 2.1 when considering the split solvable Lie algebra $u \oplus \mathfrak{h}_i$. If $u \in u^i_{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $[jx_i, u] = -\frac{1}{2}u$ and the Jacobi identity imply $[x_i, u] \in u^i_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $[x_i, ju] = 0$. Thus, using (2) one obtains

$$(7) \quad [x_i, u] = ju.$$

Also, from Section 2.1, $[x_i, v] = 0$ if $v \in u^i_0$.

Let now $k \neq i$. Since $[jx_k, jx_i] = [x_k, jx_i] = 0$, we may consider the split solvable Lie algebra $u^i_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u^i_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_k$ and apply again Theorem 2.1. If $x_+ + x_- \in u^i_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u^i_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is an eigenvector of ad_{jx_k} with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}$, since ad_{jx_k} preserves

$u_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $u_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ we have $[jx_k, x_+] = \frac{1}{2}x_+$ and $[jx_k, x_-] = \frac{1}{2}x_-$. If $x_+ \neq 0$, then 1 will be an eigenvalue of $ad_{j(x_+ + x_-)}$. We thus get a contradiction by considering the split solvable Kähler algebra $u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{i,k}$ where $\mathfrak{h}_{i,k} = \text{span}\{j(x_i + x_k), x_i + x_k\}$. Analogously, the eigenvectors of ad_{jx_k} with eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{2}$ are in $u_{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus

$$u_{\frac{1}{2}} = u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k} \oplus v_{\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k} \quad u_{-\frac{1}{2}} = u_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k} \oplus w_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k} \quad (u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k} = j u_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k}).$$

Let $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i,k}$. By (7), $[x_k, u] = ju$ and $[x_i, ju] = -u$. Then

$$0 = [x_i, [x_k, u]] + [x_k, [u, x_i]] + [u, [x_i, x_k]] = -u$$

since $[x_i, x_k] = 0$ and $[u, x_i] = 0$. In particular we have proved that $ad_{jx_k}|_{u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u_{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ is trivial hence u_0 contains the $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ eigenspace of ad_{jx_k} . By repeating this process we obtain the claimed decomposition where the set $J = \{i = 1, \dots, r : ad_{jx_i} \neq 0\}$.

To prove the last assertion we first note that

$$[u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}] \subset [u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, s_{\frac{1}{2}^k}] \subset u_0.$$

Thus, if $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}$, $x \in n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}$, $[jx_k, u, x] = 0$ since, by Lemma 3.1, $[u, u_0] = 0$. On the other hand, by the Jacobi identity, if $i \neq k$, $[jx_k, u, x] = \frac{1}{2}[u, x]$. Hence $[u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}] = 0$. In particular, if $x \in n_{\frac{1}{2}^i}$, $v \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}$, $y \in n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}$, it follows that $[[v, y], x] = [y[x, v]] + [v[y, x]] = 0$ thus $\langle [u, x], j[v, y] \rangle = \langle [[v, y], x], ju \rangle = 0$. But, using (2) one shows that $[u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}]$ is j -invariant. Thus, the previous relation imply $\langle [u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}], [u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}^k}] \rangle = 0$, $i \neq k$. Finally, because of Lemma 3.2 it follows that the decomposition $u_0 = s \oplus [u_{\frac{1}{2}}, s_{\frac{1}{2}}] = \sum [u_{-\frac{1}{2}^i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}^i}]$ is orthogonal as claimed.

4 Varying the complex structures

Assume now that there exist two pairs (j, \langle, \rangle) and $(\bar{j}, \langle\langle, \rangle\rangle)$ turning $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathfrak{r}, \bar{j}, \langle\langle, \rangle\rangle)$ into split solvable Kähler algebras. Then, according to Theorem 2.1,

$$\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{s} = \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}$$

where \mathfrak{u} (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}$) is an abelian j -invariant (resp. \bar{j} -invariant) ideal and \mathfrak{s} (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$) is a normal j -algebra. Let \mathfrak{a} (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$) be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{s} , \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{r} (resp. $[\bar{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\mathfrak{a}}] = \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}$). The main purpose of this section is to understand the relation between \mathfrak{u} and $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}$, \mathfrak{s} and $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$. The next proposition shows that u_0 determines \mathfrak{u} .

Proposition 4.1 *Let $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathfrak{r}, \bar{j}, \langle\langle, \rangle\rangle)$ be two split solvable Kähler algebras with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0$. Then $\mathfrak{u} = \bar{\mathfrak{u}}$.*

Proof. Decompose \mathfrak{r} , according to Theorem 2.1, into the eigenspaces of ad_{j^i} ,

$$\mathfrak{r} = (u_0 \oplus s_0) \oplus s_1 \oplus (u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus s_{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus u_{-\frac{1}{2}} = r_0 \oplus r_1 \oplus r_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus r_{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and let $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}$ be a \bar{j} -invariant abelian ideal. We will show that $\bar{\mathfrak{u}} \subset \mathfrak{u}$. By reversing the roles of j and \bar{j} the lemma will follow.

If $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{u}}$, then with respect to the above decomposition

$$x = x_0 + x_1 + x_{\frac{1}{2}} + x_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad [j^i, x] = x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$[j^s[j^s, x]] = x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}x_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad [j^s[j^s[j^s, x]]] = x_1 + \frac{1}{8}x_{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{8}x_{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence $\frac{1}{4}x_{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{8}x_{-\frac{1}{2}} = [j^s, x] - [j^s[j^s, x]] \in \bar{u}$ and $\frac{1}{4}x_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8}x_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 2([j^s[j^s, x]] - [j^s[j^s[j^s, x]]]) \in \bar{u}$. It then follows that all of the components of x are in \bar{u} or equivalently

$$\bar{u} = \bar{u} \cap \tau_0 \oplus \bar{u} \cap \tau_1 \oplus \bar{u} \cap \tau_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bar{u} \cap \tau_{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $x \in \bar{u} \cap \tau_1$ and $y \in \bar{u} \cap \tau_1$, $\lambda \in \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\}$. Then

$$0 = \langle [j^s, x], \bar{j} \rangle + \langle [y, j^s], \bar{j}x \rangle = \langle x, \bar{j}y \rangle - \lambda \langle y, \bar{j}x \rangle.$$

Since $1 + \lambda \neq 0$ it then follows that $\bar{u} \cap \tau_1 = 0$.

Let us now consider $\bar{u} \cap \tau_{\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{u} \cap (u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus s_{\frac{1}{2}})$. If $x \in \bar{u} \cap \tau_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $x = u + y$ with $u \in u_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $y \in s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ then $jx = ju + jy \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $[x, jx] = [u, jy] + [y, ju] + [y, jy]$ is in \bar{u} . Now, since $[u, jy] = 0$, $[y, ju] \in u_0 = \bar{u}_0$ it then follows $[y, jy] \in \bar{u} \cap \tau_1 = 0$. From (3)

$$([y, jy], s) = ([j^s, y], jjy) + ([jy, j^s], jy) = -(y, y)$$

one obtains $y = 0$ hence $\bar{u} \cap \tau_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset u_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Finally we show that $\bar{u} \cap \tau_0 \subset u_0$. If $x \in \bar{u} \cap \tau_0$ then $x = u + y$, $u \in u_0$, $y \in s_0$.

As above, by considering $[x, jx] = [u, jy] + [y, ju] + [y, jy] \in \bar{u}$ and using that $[u, jy] = [y, ju] = 0$ (see 2.1) one thus obtains $[y, jy] \in \bar{u} \cap \tau_1 = 0$. Arguing as above one shows that $y = 0$ and the proposition follows.

If $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\tau, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ are two split solvable Kähler algebras we let

$$u = u_0 \oplus u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u_{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad s = s_0 \oplus s_1 \oplus s_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

respectively

$$\bar{u} = \bar{u}_0 \oplus \bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \bar{s} = \bar{s}_0 \oplus \bar{s}_1 \oplus \bar{s}_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

be the decompositions of u, s (respectively, \bar{u}, \bar{s}) into eigenspaces of ad_j , (respectively $ad_{\bar{j}}$)

Proposition 4.2 Let $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\tau, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ be two split solvable Kähler algebras with $u_0 \oplus s = \bar{u}_0 \oplus \bar{s}$. Then

(i) $u_0 = \bar{u}_0$ (hence $u = \bar{u}$),

(ii) $u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the orthogonal complement of u_0 in u with respect to both metrics coincide,

(iii) $\bar{j} \bar{s} \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus s$, $j s \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus \bar{s}$,

(iv) $(\bar{s}_1, u) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Decompose orthogonally with respect to \langle, \rangle , $u_0 \oplus s = \mathfrak{s} \oplus v \oplus s$ and with respect to \langle, \rangle , $u_0 \oplus \bar{s} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \bar{v} \oplus \bar{s}$. If $x \in v$ then $x = z + \bar{v} + s$ with respect to the last decomposition, hence, since x and \bar{v} are in $[\tau, \tau]$ one has $z + s = 0$ thus $v \subset \bar{v}$. Similarly $\bar{v} \subset v$ hence $u_0 = \mathfrak{s} \oplus v = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \bar{v} = \bar{u}_0$.

(ii) Decompose u with respect to both metrics

$$u = u_0 \oplus u_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus u_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{u} = \bar{u}_0 \oplus \bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $x \in u_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $y \in u_0$. From (3) and using the fact that u is abelian one has

$$0 = \langle [j^s, x], \bar{j}y \rangle + \langle [y, j^s], \bar{j}x \rangle = \pm \langle x, \bar{j}y \rangle.$$

Now, since $u_0 = \bar{u}_0$ is \bar{j} -invariant (ii) follows.

(iii) We note that \mathfrak{v} , the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{s} in \mathfrak{u}_0 , coincides with $[\mathfrak{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$. By (i) above $\mathfrak{v} = [\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$ thus if $u \in \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $y \in \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ then by (3)

$$\langle [j\bar{s}, u], jy \rangle + \langle [y, j\bar{s}], ju \rangle + \langle [u, y], jj\bar{s} \rangle = \langle [u, y], jj\bar{s} \rangle,$$

thus $\langle j\bar{s}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle = 0$. Since $j\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ it follows that $j\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ as claimed.

(iv) If $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1$, $y \in \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}\}$ then

$$0 = \langle [j\bar{s}, x], jy \rangle + \langle [y, j\bar{s}], jx \rangle + \langle [x, y], jj\bar{s} \rangle = (1 + \lambda)\langle x, jy \rangle + \langle [x, y], jj\bar{s} \rangle.$$

Since $j\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ (by (iii)) the last term above vanishes hence $\langle \mathfrak{s}_1, \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \rangle = 0$.

By (i) above, $u = \bar{u}$, thus the proposition follows.

We showed in 3 that $\mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is abelian and coincides with its own centralizer, hence it is a Cartan subalgebra. It follows from [1] that two Cartan subalgebras of a solvable Lie algebra are conjugated. Hence this fact together with Proposition 4.2 imply:

Corollary 4.1 *If $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathfrak{r}, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ are two split solvable Kähler then there exists an automorphism α of \mathfrak{r} such that $\alpha(u) = \bar{u}$. In particular, in any split solvable Kähler algebra the dimension of the abelian j -invariant ideal does not depend on the complex structure.*

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathfrak{r}, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ be two split solvable Kähler algebras with $\mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a} = \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_0 \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then $j\mathfrak{s} - \bar{j}\bar{\mathfrak{s}} \in \mathfrak{s}$. In particular*

$$u_\lambda = \bar{u}_\lambda, \lambda \in \{0, \pm \frac{1}{2}\} \text{ and } s_\lambda = \bar{s}_\lambda, \lambda \in \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, $j\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ hence $ad_{j\bar{s}}$ preserves \mathfrak{s} . We consider next the decomposition of \mathfrak{s} into eigenspaces of $ad_{j\bar{s}}$, $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Now $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathfrak{s} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and by 4.2, (ii), $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ thus $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Also $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1 = \mathfrak{s} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1$ and since $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1 \subset \mathfrak{s}$ (see 4.2) one has $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1 = \mathfrak{s}_1$. Thus $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Moreover, by the structure theorem of normal j -algebras (see 2.2), $ad_h, h \in \mathfrak{a}$ is a selfadjoint transformation of \mathfrak{s} , hence the previous decomposition is orthogonal with respect to \langle, \rangle .

We show next that $j\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1 = \mathfrak{s}_0$ (hence $j\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_0 = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1$ and $j\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\frac{1}{2}}$).

Let $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $y \in \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1$. Then

$$0 = \langle [j\bar{s}, x], jy \rangle + \langle [y, j\bar{s}], jx \rangle = \frac{3}{2}\langle x, jy \rangle$$

since $[\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\frac{1}{2}}] = 0$. If $x, y \in \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1$ then

$$0 = \langle [j\bar{s}, x], jy \rangle + \langle [y, j\bar{s}], jx \rangle = 2\langle x, jy \rangle$$

since $[\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1] = 0$. Thus $j\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_1 = \mathfrak{s}_0$ as asserted. Applying now Lemma 3.3 we obtain $-j\bar{j}\bar{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{z}$, $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{s}$ or $j\bar{\mathfrak{s}} - j\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{s}$ as claimed.

5 Rigidity of complex structures

Given a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{r} admitting a structure of a solvable Kähler algebra, it will be the purpose of this section to describe all pairs (j, \langle, \rangle) up to isomorphism (equivalence) turning $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ into a solvable Kähler algebra.

We will say that $(\mathfrak{r}, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism σ such that $j\sigma = \sigma\bar{j}$ (that is σ is complex linear

When the Lie algebra isomorphism is complex linear and orthogonal, the triples $(\tau, j, (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $(\bar{\tau}, \bar{j}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ will be said to be *equivalent*.

We will consider the cases τ abelian then τ a normal j -algebra, and finally τ a split solvable Kähler algebra.

5.1 τ abelian, $\tau = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$

If we fix an almost complex structure j in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , (that is, $j^2 = -id$) then any other almost complex structure \bar{j} satisfies $\bar{j} = \tau^{-1}j\tau$ for some $\tau \in GL(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

If

$$GL(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{T \in GL(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) : Tj = jT\}$$

then $\tau^{-1}GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the set of complex linear isomorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, j) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \bar{j})$.

5.2 $\tau = (s, j, \omega)$ a normal j -algebra

Given (s, j, ω) a normal j -algebra, it follows from Corollary 4.1 that if $(s, \bar{j}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a solvable Kähler algebra then it must be a normal j -algebra. If the normal j -algebra is irreducible, it was proved in [5] that, up to conjugation, there is only one isomorphism class of j on s . In particular, irreducible homogeneous bounded domains admit only one (up to conjugation) complex structure with a fixed group of automorphisms.

5.3 $(\tau, j, (\cdot, \cdot))$ a split solvable Kähler algebra

Let τ be a split solvable Lie algebra and let $(j, (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $(\bar{j}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be two pairs such that $(\tau, j, (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $(\tau, \bar{j}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ become split solvable Kähler algebras. If

$u_0 \oplus s = \bar{u}_0 \oplus \bar{s}$ it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $u_\lambda = \bar{u}_\lambda, \lambda \in \{0, 1/2, -1/2\}$ and $s_\lambda = \bar{s}_\lambda, \lambda \in \{1, 0, 1/2\}$, in particular $s = \bar{s}$.

If $\Lambda = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = \{\bar{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \bar{\epsilon}_r\}$ are the sets of fundamental roots of $(s, j, (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $(s, \bar{j}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ we may fix a permutation $\mu \in S_r$ (see [5], Remark 1) satisfying $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(i)} = \epsilon_i$. Let x_k, \bar{x}_k be the elements in $n_{\epsilon_k}, n_{\bar{\epsilon}_k}$ such that $\epsilon_i(jx_k) = \bar{\epsilon}_i(\bar{j}\bar{x}_k) = \delta_{i,k}, k = 1, \dots, r$. Since jx_k (resp. $\bar{j}\bar{x}_k$), $k = 1, \dots, r$ is a basis of a (resp. \bar{a}) it follows that $jx_k = \sum \alpha_i^k \bar{j}\bar{x}_i$. Then $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(i)}jx_k = \alpha_{\mu(i)}^k$ and on the other hand $\epsilon_i jx_k = \delta_{i,k}$. Thus $\alpha_{\mu(i)}^k = \delta_{i,k}$ or equivalently

$$(8) \quad jx_k = \bar{j}\bar{x}_{\mu(k)}.$$

Using the root space decomposition of a split solvable Kähler algebra one easily verifies that

$$n_{\epsilon_i} = n_{\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(i)}}, n_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)} = n_{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(i)} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(j)})}, n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i} = n_{\frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(i)}}, u_{\pm \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i} = u_{\pm \frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu(i)}}.$$

In particular

$$(9) \quad \bar{x}_{\mu(i)} = \mu_i x_i, \mu_i \neq 0$$

Furthermore, it is proved in [5]

$$(10) \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j) \text{ is a root then } \mu_i \mu_j > 0.$$

For any subset $I \subset J = \{1, \dots, r\}$ we set

$$(11) \quad \tau_I = \sum_{i \in I} [u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}] \oplus \sum_{i \in I} u_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i} \oplus \sum_{i \in I} u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i} \oplus \sum_{i \in I} j n_{\epsilon_i} \oplus \sum_{i \in I} (n_{\epsilon_i} \oplus n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}) \oplus \sum_{i, j \in I, i < j} n_{\frac{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j}{2}}$$

If I^+ corresponds to indices i such that $\mu_i > 0$ and $I^- = J - I$ we denote $\tau_{I^+} = \tau_+$, $\tau_{I^-} = \tau_-$. It follows from (10) that if $\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)$ is a root then the indices i, j are in either I^+ or I^- . Thus $\tau_+ \cap \tau_- = \{0\}$.

Lemma 5.1 $-\bar{j}j$ is a self adjoint transformation on $[u, u] \oplus s$, positive definite on τ_+ and negative definite on τ_- .

Proof. Let $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i} = u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu(i)}}$ and $v \in u_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i} = u_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu(i)}}$. From $ju = [x, u] = \frac{1}{\mu_i}[\bar{x}_{\mu(i)}, u] = \frac{1}{\mu_i}\bar{j}u$ and $jv = \mu_j v$ we obtain

$$(12) \quad \bar{j}j = -\frac{1}{\mu_i}I \text{ on } u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, \quad \bar{j}j = -\mu_j I \text{ on } u_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}.$$

If $y \in n_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}$, the integrability condition implies that $[x, y] = jy$, $[\bar{x}_{\mu(i)}, y] = \bar{j}y$. Hence on $\sum n_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j)}$ we have

$$(13) \quad \bar{j}j = -\mu_j I \text{ on } n_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)}, \quad \bar{j}j = -\frac{1}{\mu_j}I \text{ on } n_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}.$$

Moreover, it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$(14) \quad \bar{j}j x_j = -\mu_j x_j, \quad \bar{j}j = -\frac{1}{\mu_j}j x_j.$$

We show next that $\bar{j}j$ is self adjoint on $n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}$.

If $x, y \in n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}$, then $(j\bar{j}x, y) = -(\bar{j}x, jy)$. From (3) $\langle [y, \bar{j}x], x_i \rangle = -\langle \bar{j}x, jy \rangle$ and from (2) $[y, \bar{j}x] = -[\bar{j}y, x]$. Hence

$$(j\bar{j}x, y) = \langle [y, \bar{j}x], x_i \rangle = -\langle [\bar{j}y, x], x_i \rangle = \langle x, j\bar{j}y \rangle$$

and $\bar{j}j$ (hence $\bar{j}j$) is self adjoint. Furthermore, from

$$[x, \bar{j}x] = \langle [x, \bar{j}x], x_i \rangle \frac{x_i}{\omega(x_i)} = \langle [x, \bar{j}x], \bar{x}_{\mu(i)} \rangle \frac{\bar{x}_{\mu(i)}}{\bar{\omega}(\bar{x}_{\mu(i)})}$$

we obtain

$$\langle [x, \bar{j}x], x_i \rangle = \frac{\omega(x_i)}{\bar{\omega}(\bar{x}_{\mu(i)})} \mu_i \langle [x, \bar{j}x], \bar{x}_{\mu(i)} \rangle.$$

Thus

$$(j\bar{j}x, x) = -(\bar{j}x, jx) = \langle [x, \bar{j}x], x_i \rangle = -\frac{\omega(x_i)}{\bar{\omega}(\bar{x}_{\mu(i)})} \mu_i \langle \bar{j}x, \bar{j}x \rangle$$

and the sign of $\bar{j}j$ on $n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}$ depends on μ_i as claimed.

According to Proposition 3.1, $u_0 = s \oplus \sum [u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}]$. Thus if $u_0 = [u, x] \in [u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}]$ and $w \in u_0$ one has $\bar{j}j[u, x] = [u, \bar{j}jx]$, hence

$$\langle \bar{j}j[u, x], jw \rangle = \langle [u, \bar{j}jx], jw \rangle = \langle [w, \bar{j}jx], ju \rangle.$$

Again, from $\bar{j}[w, jx] = [\bar{j}w, jx] + [w, \bar{j}jx] + \bar{j}[jw, \bar{j}jx]$ one obtains

$$[w, jx] = [\bar{j}w, \bar{j}jx] \quad (\text{both are in } u_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i})$$

$$[\bar{j}w, jx] = -[w, \bar{j}jx] \quad (\text{both are in } u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}).$$

If we substitute the last equality in $\langle [w, \bar{j}jx], ju \rangle$ above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{j}j[u, x], jw \rangle &= -\langle [\bar{j}w, jx], ju \rangle = -\langle [u, jx], j\bar{j}w \rangle = \\ &= -\langle j[u, x], j\bar{j}w \rangle = \langle [u, x], \bar{j}jw \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{j}j$ is self adjoint on $\sum [u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}]$. Note that the eigenvalues of $\bar{j}j$ on $[u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}]$ coincide with the eigenvalues on $n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}$, since $\bar{j}j[u, x] = [u, \bar{j}jx]$. Hence the lemma follows.

Remark 3 It is not true in general that given (C^*, j) and (C^*, \bar{j}) , the transformation $\bar{j}j$ is self adjoint. For example, if $n = 1$, $j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ $b \neq 0$, then considering $\bar{j} = TjT^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\frac{a^2+1}{b} \\ b & -a+1 \end{pmatrix}$ one obtains $\bar{j}\bar{j} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{a^2+1}{b} & -a \\ -(a+1) & -b \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 4. Let j and \bar{j} be two endomorphisms of a vector space V such that $j^2 = \bar{j}^2 = -I$. Assume $-\bar{j}j$ is selfadjoint and decompose,

$$V = V_+ \oplus V_-, \quad V_+ = \sum_{\lambda > 0} V_\lambda, \quad V_- = \sum_{\lambda < 0} V_\lambda$$

where V_λ stands for an eigenspace of $-\bar{j}j$. Then V_+ and V_- are j (resp. \bar{j}) invariant. (This is clearly so since from $\bar{j}jx = \lambda x$ one obtains $\bar{j}x = -\frac{1}{\lambda}jx$ hence $\bar{j}j(jx) = \frac{1}{\lambda}jx$ and $\bar{j}j(\bar{j}x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\bar{j}x$.) Furthermore on V_+ one has \bar{j} equivalent to $-j$. In fact, if $x \in V_\lambda, \lambda > 0$

$$\bar{j}(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}x = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{j}x = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}jx,$$

$$(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}(jx) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}jx$$

and similarly \bar{j} is equivalent to $-j$ on V_- .

Theorem 5.1 Let $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\tau, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ be two split solvable Kähler algebras. Then i) τ splits into a sum of j and \bar{j} invariant ideals, $\tau = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tau_+ \oplus \tau_-$.
ii) There exist a complex linear automorphism $\alpha_+ : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tau_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tau_+$ and a conjugate linear automorphism $\alpha_- : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tau_- \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tau_-$.

Proof. If σ is an automorphism of τ such that $\sigma(u_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}) = \bar{u}_0 \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ then $(\tau, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ is equivalent to its pullback via σ . Thus we may assume $u_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a} = \bar{u}_0 \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$

Setting τ_+ and τ_- as in 11, it follows from Remark 4 that both are j and \bar{j} invariant. Also, non zero brackets may appear only when considering elements of τ_+ or τ_- hence τ_+ and τ_- are ideals. Since \mathfrak{g} is also j and \bar{j} invariant (see 2.1), i) follows.

To prove ii) there is no loss of generality in assuming $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tau_+ = \tau$ (since we may change j by $-j$ in τ_-). We will also assume that $\mathfrak{g} = 0$ and show that there exists an automorphism $\alpha_+ : \tau \rightarrow \tau$ such that $\bar{j}\alpha_+ = \alpha_+j$. For that purpose define α_+ as follows

$$\alpha_+u = (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}u, \quad u \in \mathfrak{u};$$

$$\alpha_+h = h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{a};$$

$$\alpha_+x_i = \mu_i x_i, \quad x_i \in \mathfrak{n}_{\epsilon_i};$$

$$\alpha_+x = \left(\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}x, \quad x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)};$$

$$\alpha_+x = (\mu_i \mu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}x, \quad x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)};$$

$$\alpha_+x = \mu_i^{\frac{1}{2}}(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}x, \quad x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}.$$

It is not hard to check using Remark 4 and equations (8), (9), (13) that $\bar{j}\alpha_+ = \alpha_+j$. We show next that α_+ is an automorphism.

• If $u \in \mathfrak{u}, h \in \mathfrak{a}$ $\alpha_+[u, h] = \alpha(h)\alpha_+(u) = [h, \alpha_+u]$;

• if $u \in \mathfrak{u}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_i}, x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}$ then using (12) $\alpha_+[u, x] = (\mu_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}[u, x]$ and $[\alpha_+u, \alpha_+x] = [u, \frac{1}{2}u, \left(\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}x]$;

- if $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$, $x \in n_{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon+\epsilon)}$ then (3) implies $[u, x] = [j u, j x]$ hence $\alpha_+[u, x] = \alpha_+[j u, j x] = [\alpha_+ j u, \alpha_+ j x] = [j \alpha_+ u, j \alpha_+ x] = [\alpha_+ u, \alpha_+ x]$;
- if $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$, $x \in n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$, then $\alpha_+[u, x] = [u, (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}x] = \frac{1}{\mu_+^{\frac{1}{2}}}[u, \alpha_+ x] = [\alpha_+ u, \alpha_+ x]$ (since $\bar{j}j u = -\frac{1}{\mu_+}u$);
- if $u_0 = [u, x]$, $u \in u_{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$, $x \in n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$, and $y \in n_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$, then $\alpha_+[[u, x], y] = (\mu_+)^{\frac{1}{2}}[[u, x]y]$ and $[\alpha_+[u, x], \alpha_+ y] = \mu_+^{\frac{1}{2}}[(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}[u, x], (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}y] = \alpha_+[[u, x], y]$ where the last equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0, (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}x, jv] &= \langle [v, (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}x], j(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}[v, x], j(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0 \rangle = \langle [v, x], (-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}j(-\bar{j}j)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle [v, x], \bar{j}(-\bar{j}j)u_0 \rangle = \langle [v, x], ju_0 \rangle = \langle [u_0, x], jv \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It was proved in [5] that α_+ is an automorphism when restricted to \mathfrak{s} thus our assertion follows. If $\mathfrak{z} \neq 0$ we define α_+ when restricted to the center, as any complex linear transformation (compare Section 5.1).

From the previous theorem the next corollary follows immediately

Corollary 5.1 *Let $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\bar{\tau}, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ be split solvable Kähler algebras with $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ irreducible and τ isomorphic (as Lie algebras) to $\bar{\tau}$. Then either $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ is isomorphic to $(\bar{\tau}, \bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ or it is isomorphic to $(\bar{\tau}, -\bar{j}, \langle, \rangle)$.*

6 Proof of Theorem 6.1

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the proof of Theorem 6.1 rests on modifications of solvable Lie algebras. Many authors have used previously this notion (see for example [1], [4], [7]). We essentially follow [7].

Let $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ be a solvable Kähler algebra, let (R, j, \langle, \rangle) be the corresponding simply connected Kähler solvmanifold and let G be the group of automorphisms of (R, j, \langle, \rangle) with isotropy subgroup K at the identity in R . We denote by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} the Lie algebras of G and K respectively.

Let $\phi: \tau \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ be a linear map satisfying

1. $[\phi(\tau), \tau] \subset \tau$,
2. $(I + \phi)\tau$ is a solvable subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} ,
3. $[\phi(\tau), (I + \phi)\tau] \subset (I + \phi)\tau$.

The subalgebra $(I + \phi)\tau$ is a *normal modification* of τ and the map ϕ is a normal modification map. If R^ϕ denote the connected subgroup of G with Lie algebra $(I + \phi)\tau$ then R^ϕ is said to be a normal modification of R .

According to [(2.10), [7]] and because R is simply connected, R^ϕ acts simply transitively on R . Thus $(I + \phi)\tau$ inherits a structure of a solvable Kähler algebra; furthermore, if τ is irreducible, its normal modification $(I + \phi)\tau$ is also irreducible. If $(j^\phi, \langle, \rangle_\phi)$ denote the pullback to R^ϕ of the Kähler structure (j, \langle, \rangle) on R , it is clear that G can be identified with the group of automorphisms of $(R^\phi, (j^\phi, \langle, \rangle_\phi))$.

According to Dorfmeister [4], every solvable Kähler algebra can be modified to obtain a split solvable Kähler algebra. This result together with Theorem 5.1 imply

Theorem 6.1 *Let (M, j, \langle, \rangle) be an irreducible Kähler solvmanifold with M simply connected and G the group of automorphisms of M . Then any other Kähler structure $(\tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ on M with group of automorphisms G is isomorphic to (j, \langle, \rangle) .*

Proof. Since M is simply connected, it can be identified with a solvable Lie group R . Thus we are reduced to consider the solvable Kähler algebras $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$, and $(\tau, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ with $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ irreducible. Furthermore, after a series of modifications, we end with $(\tau_1, j, \langle, \rangle)$, and $(\tau_1, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$, τ_1 split solvable ([5]) and $(\tau, j, \langle, \rangle)$ irreducible. By Theorem 5.1 there exists a complex linear or conjugate linear isomorphism from $(\tau_1, j, \langle, \rangle)$ onto $(\tau_1, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$ which can be lifted to a biholomorphic map from (M, j, \langle, \rangle) onto $(M, \tilde{j}, \langle, \rangle)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, where part of this work was done. Research was partially supported by Conicet-Conicor, Argentina.

References

- [1] Alekseevskii, D.V. Homogeneous riemannian spaces of negative curvature. *Math. USSR-Sb*, 25:87-109, 1975.
- [2] D'Atri, J.E. The curvature of homogeneous Siegel domains. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 15:61-70, 1980.
- [3] D'Atri, J.E. Holomorphic sectional curvatures of bounded homogeneous domains and related questions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 256:405-413, 1979.
- [4] Dorfmeister, J. Homogeneous Kähler manifolds admitting a transitive solvable group of automorphisms. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 18:143-188, 1985.
- [5] Dotti Miatello, I. Complex structures on normal j -algebras. *Jour. of Pure and Applied Algebra*, to appear.
- [6] Gindikin, S.G., Pyatetskii-Shapiro, I.I and Vinberg, E.B. Homogeneous Kähler manifolds. In *Geometry of Homogeneous Bounded Domains*, C.I.M.E, pages 1-88, 1967.
- [7] Gordon, C.S. and Wilson, E.N. Isometry groups of riemannian solvmanifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 307:245-269, 1988.
- [8] Pyatetskii-Shapiro, I.I. *Automorphic Functions and the Geometry of Classical Domains*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.

