

International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF METALLIC MULTI-LAYER FILMS

R. Dimmich *

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

Optical properties of multi-layer films consisting of alternating layers of two different metals are studied on the basis of the Maxwell equations and the Boltzmann transport theory. The influence of free-electron scattering at the film external surface and at the interfaces is taken into account and considered as a function of the electromagnetic field frequency and the structure modulation wavelength. Derived formulas for optical coefficients are valid at low frequencies, where the skin effect is nearly classical, as well as in the near-infrared, visible and ultraviolet spectral ranges, where the skin effect has the anomalous nature. It is shown that the obtained results are apparently dependent on the values of scattering parameters. What is more, the oscillatory nature of analyzed spectra is observed, where the two oscillation periods may appear on certain conditions. The oscillations result from the electron surface and interface scattering and their amplitudes and periods depend on the boundary conditions for free-electron scattering. Finally, the application of the interference phenomenon in dielectric layers is proposed to obtain the enhancement of the non distinct details which can appear in optical spectra of metallic films.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

September 1991

* Permanent address: Institute of Physics, Technical University of Wrocław, Wybrzeże Stanisława Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently, the advance in epitaxial growth techniques have made the production of high quality compositionally modulated structures or superlattices possible. These structures are studied intensively because of their novel physical properties. The interest is concentrated in the structural analysis, mechanical, magnetic and transport properties¹, and in investigations of the multi-layer films for extended ultraviolet and X-ray optics^{2,3}. Only few works⁴⁻⁶ have considered the optical properties of metallic compositionally modulated structures. The work by Dimmich⁶ gave tentative information about the oscillatory nature of optical spectra of these samples.

An approach presented in this paper is based on the results derived for skin-effect theories given by Reuter and Sondheimer⁷, Dingle⁸ and Manz *et al.*^{9,10} for the case of semi-infinite sample, and their later developments obtained for single and double-layer films by Hutchison and Hansen¹¹, Dimmich and Warkusz¹², Szczyrkowski *et al.*^{13,14}, Dryzek and Dimmich^{15,16} and Dudek^{17,18}.

It is the aim of this paper to formulate a theoretical description of the optical properties of films which consist of alternating layers of two different metals and have a constant modulation wavelength. We present a detailed set of expressions for optical coefficients of multi-layer films, which were derived for the case of normal electromagnetic wave incidence on a film.

2 WAVE EQUATION

We consider the metallic multi-layer film which is presented in Fig.1. A plane electromagnetic wave is incident from the z direction on a film, where the electric field $E(z)e^{i\omega t}$ is taken in the x direction and magnetic field $H(z)e^{i\omega t}$ in the y direction. The film consists of n repeating double-layer systems of the thicknesses $d = b + a$, so the film thickness is $d_f = nd$, where we are interested in the case of $n \gg 1$. For such geometry, Maxwell's equations reduce to the following wave equation

$$\frac{d^2 E(z)}{dz^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \mu(1 + S) E(z) = \frac{i\omega\mu}{c^2\epsilon_0} J(\omega, z), \quad (1)$$

where $J(\omega, z)$ is the density of free-electron current generated by the electric field $E(z)$ of the incident radiation, $1 + S$ takes care of the displacement current, atomic polarization etc. The current density may be obtained from the linearized Boltzmann transport equation for the electron distribution function $f(\mathbf{v}, z)$ of the conduction electrons

$$\frac{\partial f_{1[2]}^n}{\partial z} + \frac{(1 + i\omega\tau_{1[2]})f_{1[2]}^n}{\tau_{1[2]}v_z} = \frac{eE(z)}{m_{1[2]}v_z} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_z}, \quad (2)$$

where the notation with optional lower indices in the square brackets is used, i.e., an equation or a quantity can be rewritten with the index 2 to describe the layer of metal 2. It is assumed that the distribution function is in the form $f_{1[2]}(\mathbf{v}, z) = f_0 + f_{1[2]}^n(\mathbf{v}, z)$, where f_0 is the Fermi-Dirac equilibrium distribution function, $f_1^n(\mathbf{v}, z)$ and $f_2^n(\mathbf{v}, z)$ are the deviations from this function induced by the electric field in layers of metal 1 and metal 2 in the n -th layer system, respectively (see Fig.1), $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$ is the electron velocity, and $\tau_{1[2]}$ and $m_{1[2]}$ are the electron relaxation times and the effective masses. The general solution of Eq.(2) for the considered geometry has the form

$$f_1^{n+}(\mathbf{v}, z) = e^{-A_1[z+b-(n-1)d]} \left\{ F_1^{n+} + B_1 \int_{-b+(n-1)d}^z E(t) e^{A_1[t+b-(n-1)d]} dt \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$f_1^{n-}(\mathbf{v}, z) = e^{-A_1[z-(n-1)d]} \left\{ G_1^{n-} - B_1 \int_z^{(n-1)d} E(t) e^{A_1[t-(n-1)d]} dt \right\}, \quad (4)$$

for $(n-1)d - b < z < (n-1)d$ and

$$f_2^{n+}(\mathbf{v}, z) = e^{-A_2[z-(n-1)d]} \left\{ F_2^{n+} + B_2 \int_{(n-1)d}^z E(t) e^{A_2[t-(n-1)d]} dt \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$f_2^{n-}(\mathbf{v}, z) = e^{-A_2[z+b-(n-1)d]} \left\{ G_2^{n-} - B_2 \int_z^{nd-b} E(t) e^{A_2[t+b-(n-1)d]} dt \right\}, \quad (6)$$

for $(n-1)d < z < nd - b$ and where

$$A_{1[2]} = \frac{1 + i\omega\tau_{1[2]}}{v_z\tau_{1[2]}}, \quad B_{1[2]} = \frac{e}{m_{1[2]}v_z} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_z},$$

the functions $f_{1[2]}^{n+}$ and $f_{1[2]}^{n-}$ are $f_{1[2]}^n$ for $v_z > 0$ and $v_z < 0$, respectively. $F_{1[2]}^n$ and $G_{1[2]}^n$ are the arbitrary velocity functions determined by the appropriate boundary conditions at the film surface and interfaces. These conditions should serve as a description of the electron scattering processes. Therefore, we introduce the Fuchs-Sondheimer scattering parameters and we assume for the simplification of boundary condition system that $n = \infty$. According to the previous works^{6,19} we consider the following equations as the boundary condition system

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{l+}(v_z, z = -b) &= P f_1^{l-}(-v_z, z = -b), \\ f_1^{l-}(v_z, z = 0) &= T_2 f_2^{l-}(v_z, z = 0), \\ f_2^{l+}(v_z, z = 0) &= T_1 f_1^{l+}(v_z, z = 0), \\ f_2^{l-}(v_z, z = a) &= T_1 f_1^{l-}(v_z, z = a), \\ f_1^{ll+}(v_z, z = a) &= T_2 f_2^{ll+}(v_z, z = a), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where P is the specularity parameter describing the electron scattering at the film surface $z = -b$, and T_1 and T_2 are the probabilities of coherent passage of an electron across an interface from a layer of metal 1 (or from a layer of metal 2) to a layer of metal 2 (or to a layer of metal 1), and $0 \leq P, T_1, T_2 \leq 1$. The above description of the surface and interface scattering is quantitative only. There is no information about the nature of scattering mechanisms. Nevertheless, our approach can be developed in certain cases and the actual scattering mechanisms can be taken into account using boundary conditions, for example, derived by Soffer²⁰ for scattering from surface and interface roughnesses or derived by Greene and O'Donnell²¹ for scattering from charge centers randomly located on surfaces or interfaces. It means, in fact, that the expressions appropriate for a considered scattering mechanism should be applied in the final formulas instead of the parameters used in Eqs.(7)^{22,23}.

Using the boundary conditions, Eqs.(7), for the electron distribution functions, Eqs.(3)-(6), and introducing the polar coordinates one may obtain the equation for the current density $J(\omega, z)$ dependence on the electric field $E(z)$ in the following form

$$J_1^n(z) = \frac{2\pi e^2 m_1^2 v_{F1}^2}{h^3} \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \left\{ e^{-s w_1(z_n+b)} \times \right. \\ \times \left[\frac{F_1^{n+}}{B_1} + \int_{(n-1)d-b}^z dt E(t) e^{s w_1[t+b-(n-1)d]} \right] - e^{s w_1 z_n} \times \\ \times \left[\frac{G_1^{n+}}{B_1} - \int_z^{(n-1)d} dt E(t) e^{-s w_1[t-(n-1)d]} \right] \left. \right\} \text{ for } -b \leq z_n \leq 0, \quad (8)$$

$$J_2^n(z) = \frac{2\pi e^2 m_2^2 v_{F2}^2}{h^3} \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \left\{ e^{-s w_2 z_n} \times \right. \\ \times \left[\frac{F_2^{n+}}{B_2} + \int_{(n-1)d}^z dt E(t) e^{s w_2[t-(n-1)d]} \right] - e^{s w_2(z_n-a)} \times \\ \times \left[\frac{G_2^{n+}}{B_2} - \int_z^{(n-1)d+a} dt E(t) e^{-s w_2[t-a-(n-1)d]} \right] \left. \right\} \text{ for } 0 \leq z_n \leq a, \quad (9)$$

where $w_{1[2]} = \frac{1+i\omega\tau_{1[2]}}{v_{F1[F2]}\tau_{1[2]}}$, $z_n = z - (n-1)d$, and v_F is the Fermi velocity of electrons. Consequently, having $J(\omega, z)$ as a function $E(z)$ it is apparent that the wave equation, Eq.(1), becomes the equation for the electric field $E_1^n(z)$ and $E_2^n(z)$ within particular layers. This equation cannot be solved in a compact form. However, according to the Dingle method⁸ the approximate solution may be found. In order to obtain this solution (it means the electric field) we consider in the first step the possible field contribution in the form

$$E_1^{n(1)}(z) = e^{-u_1 w_1(z_n+b)} \text{ for } -b \leq z_n \leq 0, \quad (10)$$

$$E_2^{n(1)}(z) = e^{-u_2 w_2 z_n} \text{ for } 0 \leq z_n \leq a. \quad (11)$$

After the substitution of Eqs.(10) and (11) into Eqs.(8),(9) and, in turn, (1) and then, after the integration over t one may derive the following system of equations

$$(u_1^2 - \eta_1) E_1^{n(1)}(z) = \xi_1 \left\{ K(u_1) E_1^{n(1)}(z) + \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \Lambda_1^n \right\}, \quad (12)$$

$$(u_2^2 - \eta_2) E_2^{n(1)}(z) = \xi_2 \left\{ K(u_2) E_2^{n(1)}(z) + \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \Lambda_2^n \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where the dimensionless coordinates are introduced, i.e., $z_n = z_n/l_1$, $b = b/l_1$ for $-b < z_n < 0$ and $z_n = z_n/l_2$, $a = a/l_2$ for $0 < z_n < a$, l_1 and l_2 are the mean free paths of the electrons in metal 1 and metal 2, and where

$$\xi_{1[2]} = \frac{i\omega\mu_{1[2]} 2\pi(em_{1[2]}v_{F1[F2]})^2 l_{1[2]}^3}{c^2 \epsilon_0 h^3 w_{1[2]}^3},$$

$$\eta_{1[2]} = - \left[\frac{\omega l_{1[2]}}{c w_{1[2]}} \right]^2 (1 + S_{1[2]}) \mu_{1[2]},$$

$$K(u_{1[2]}) = \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s - u_{1[2]}} + \frac{1}{s + u_{1[2]}} \right).$$

Λ_1^n and Λ_2^n are the functions of $P, T_1, T_2, w_1, w_2, u_1, u_2, a, b$ and z . It is apparent that taking u_1 and u_2 as follows

$$u_{1[2]} = \xi_{1[2]} K(u_{1[2]}) + \eta_{1[2]} \quad (14)$$

we leave with uncompensated terms $\xi_{1[2]} \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \Lambda_{1[2]}^n$ in the wave equation. Thus, the next possible contribution to the electric field may be chosen in the form

$$E_1^{n(2)}(z) = \xi_1 \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \frac{\Lambda_1^n}{s^2 - \eta_1} \text{ for } -b \leq z_n \leq 0, \quad (15)$$

$$E_2^{n(2)}(z) = \xi_2 \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} \right) \frac{\Lambda_2^n}{s^2 - \eta_2} \text{ for } 0 \leq z_n \leq a. \quad (16)$$

The two contributions $E_{1[2]}^{n(1)}$ and $E_{1[2]}^{n(2)}$ applied to the wave equation leave, in turn, terms of order ξ_1^2 and ξ_2^2 only. Therefore, the process can be repeated to form a series in ξ_1 for layers of metal 1 and a series in ξ_2 for layers of metal 2. The series converge for $|\xi_1| < 1$ and $|\xi_2| < 1$. The solution is the following

$$E_1^{n(+)}(z) = E_1^{n(1)}(z) + E_1^{n(2)}(z) + O(\xi_1^2) \text{ in the layers of metal 1, } (17)$$

$$E_2^{n(+)}(z) = E_2^{n(1)}(z) + E_2^{n(2)}(z) + O(\xi_2^2) \text{ in the layers of metal 2. } (18)$$

Taking into account the fact that $K(u_1)$ and $K(u_2)$ are even functions of u_1 and u_2 one may notice that $-u_1$ and $-u_2$ are also roots of the transcendental Eqs.(14), and consequently, the next solution of wave equation $E_1^{n(-)}(z)$ and $E_2^{n(-)}(z)$ may be obtained from Eqs.(17) and (18) simply by replacing u_1 by $-u_1$ and u_2 by $-u_2$. Thus, the electric field is a linear combination of these two solutions which in both kind of layers takes the form

$$E_1^n(z) = A_1^n E_1^{n(+)}(z) + B_1^n E_1^{n(-)}(z), \quad (19)$$

$$E_2^n(z) = A_2^n E_2^{n(+)}(z) + B_2^n E_2^{n(-)}(z), \quad (20)$$

where A_1, A_2, B_1 and B_2 are constants.

3 OPTICAL PROPERTIES

The validity of the presented considerations is determined by the assumption $|\xi_{1[2]}| < 1$. Analyzing the dependence of $\xi_{1[2]}$ on ω for metal films it is evident that generally, this condition is fulfilled. Moreover, in two spectral ranges: for low frequencies, where the skin effect is nearly classical, and for high frequencies, from the near infrared to the ultraviolet, the extended assumption $|\xi_{1[2]}| \ll 1$ may be used. Thus, in the above frequency ranges our approach, i.e., the approach where the two first contributions in the series for the electric field are taken into account, is substantiated. Consequently, we can find that inequalities $|\eta_{1[2]}| \ll 1$ and $|u_{1[2]}| \ll 1$ are also fulfilled. Therefore, with sufficient accuracy we may take that

$$E_1^n(z) = A_1^n e^{-u_1 w_1(z_n+b)} + B_1^n e^{u_1 w_1(z_n+b)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ic}{\omega l_1 \mu_1} \frac{dE_1^n(z)}{dz} &= \frac{ic}{\omega l_1 \mu_1} \frac{d[A_1^n E_1^{n(+)}(z) + B_1^n E_1^{n(-)}(z)]}{dz} = \\ &= A_1^n n_1^n(z) e^{-u_1 w_1(z_n+b)} - B_1^n n_1^{n'}(z) e^{u_1 w_1(z_n+b)}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$E_2^n(z) = A_2^n e^{-u_2 w_2 z_n} + B_2^n e^{u_2 w_2 z_n},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ic}{\omega l_2 \mu_2} \frac{dE_2^n(z)}{dz} &= \frac{ic}{\omega l_2 \mu_2} \frac{d[A_2^n E_2^{n(+)}(z) + B_2^n E_2^{n(-)}(z)]}{dz} = \\ &= A_2^n n_2^n(z) e^{-u_2 w_2 z_n} - B_2^n n_2^{n'}(z) e^{u_2 w_2 z_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the refractive indices have the forms

$$n_1^n(z) = \frac{n_{1b}}{\mu_1} - F_1^n(u_1, u_2, z_n),$$

$$n_1^{n'}(z) = \frac{n_{1b}}{\mu_1} + F_1^n(-u_1, -u_2, z_n),$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^n(u_1, u_2, z_n) &= \frac{3v_{F1}}{4c} \left(\frac{l_1 \omega_{p1}}{w_1 v_{F1}} \right)^2 \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{s^5} \right) \frac{1}{1 - T_1 T_2 H_1 H_2} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \left[1 - T_1 T_2 G_1 H_2 + C(T_1 H_1 - T_2 G_2) - \left[P(1 - H_1 G_1) + \right. \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. \left. + T_1 T_2 (H_1 H_2 - G_1 H_2) + C T_2 (P H_1 (1 - H_2 G_2) + H_2 - G_2) \right] \right] \times \right. \\ &\left. \times (T_1 T_2 H_1 H_2)^{n-1} \right\} e^{-s w_1(z_n+b)} + \\ &+ \left\{ T_1 T_2 H_2 - G_1 + C T_2 (1 - H_2 G_2) \right\} e^{s w_1(z_n+b)}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$n_2^n(z) = \frac{n_{2b}}{\mu_2} - F_2^n(u_2, u_1, z_n),$$

$$n_2^{n'}(z) = \frac{n_{2b}}{\mu_2} + F_2^n(-u_2, -u_1, z_n),$$

$$F_2^n(u_2, u_1, z_n) = \frac{3v_{F2}}{4c} \left(\frac{t_2 \omega_{p2}}{w_2 v_{F2}} \right)^2 \int_1^\infty ds \left(\frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{s^5} \right) \frac{1}{1 - T_1 T_2 H_1 H_2} \times \\ \times \left\{ \left[1 - T_1 T_2 H_1 G_2 + C^{-1} T_1 (H_1 - G_1) - \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \left[T_1 T_2 H_1 \{ P H_1 (1 - H_2 G_2) + H_2 - G_2 \} + C^{-1} T_1 \times \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \times \{ P H_1 (1 - H_1 G_1) + H_1 - G_1 \} \right] (T_1 T_2 H_1 H_2)^{n-1} \right\} e^{-s u_2 z_n} + (24) \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ T_1 T_2 H_1 - G_2 + C^{-1} T_1 (1 - H_1 G_1) \right\} e^{s u_2 (z_n - a)} \right\} e^{u_2 w_2 z_n},$$

where

$$H_1 = e^{-s u_1 b}, H_2 = e^{-s u_2 a}, G_1 = e^{-u_1 w_1 b}, G_2 = e^{-u_2 w_2 a}, C = \frac{m_1 v_{F1} w_1 t_2}{m_2 v_{F2} w_2 t_1},$$

ω_{p1} and ω_{p2} are the plasma frequencies for metal 1 and metal 2. The quantities n_{1b} and n_{2b} , i.e., the complex refractive indices of the bulk metal 1 and the bulk metal 2, respectively, are introduced by means of the following relations

$$u_{1[2]} w_{1[2]} = \\ = \frac{i \omega t_{1[2]}}{c} \sqrt{\left[(1 + S_{1[2]}) - \frac{i \omega_{p1[2]}^2 T_{1[2]}}{\omega w_{1[2]}} \right]} \mu_{1[2]} = \frac{i \omega t_{1[2]}}{c} n_{1b[2b]}, \quad (25)$$

which are obtained from Eqs.(12) and (13) with neglected terms of order $\xi_{1[2]}^2$ and higher, and where it is used that for bulk metals $K(u_{1[2]}) \simeq \frac{4}{3}$.

It seems to be reasonable to take into account the case that the considered metallic multi-layer film, as a sample of finite thickness, may be partly transparent. Thus, the expressions for the reflected (r) and transmitted (t) amplitudes of the electric field may be obtained using the interference matrix method^{24,25}. For n repeated double-layer systems in the film the interference matrix \mathbf{M} is defined as

$$\begin{vmatrix} E(-b) \\ H(-b) \end{vmatrix} = \mathbf{M} \begin{vmatrix} E(nd - b) \\ H(nd - b) \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{M} = \begin{vmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where $\mathbf{M} = M_1^I M_2^I M_1^{II} M_2^{II} \dots M_1^n M_2^n$ is the product of the matrices for the particular layers. In this formalism the coefficients r and t are given by the following expressions

$$r = \frac{(m_{11} + m_{12} n_s) n_0 - (m_{21} + m_{22} n_s)}{m_{11} + m_{12} n_s n_0 + (m_{21} + m_{22} n_s)}, \quad (27)$$

$$t = \frac{2n_0}{(m_{11} + m_{12} n_s) n_0 + (m_{21} + m_{22} n_s)}, \quad (28)$$

where n_s is the substrate refractive index and the elements of matrices $M_{1[2]}^n$ may be found from boundary conditions^{24,25} describing the fact that the tangential components of the electromagnetic field must be equal across the surface and across the interfaces. The elements of the matrix M_1^I for the external layer of metal 1 are given in the form

$$m_{11(1)}^I = \frac{n_1^I(0) e^{-u_1 w_1 b} + n_1^{II}(0) e^{u_1 w_1 b}}{n_1^I(0) + n_1^{II}(0)}, \\ m_{12(1)}^I = \frac{e^{u_1 w_1 b} - e^{-u_1 w_1 b}}{n_1^I(0) + n_1^{II}(0)}, \\ m_{21(1)}^I = \frac{n_1^I(-b) n_1^{II}(0) e^{u_1 w_1 b} - n_1^I(0) n_1^{II}(-b) e^{-u_1 w_1 b}}{n_1^I(0) + n_1^{II}(0)}, \\ m_{22(1)}^I = \frac{n_1^I(-b) e^{u_1 w_1 b} + n_1^{II}(-b) e^{-u_1 w_1 b}}{n_1^I(0) + n_1^{II}(0)},$$

and the others can be calculated by analogy.

The reflection (R) and transmission (T) intensity coefficients of multi-layer film on a transparent substrate are as follows

$$R = |r|^2 + |t|^2 \frac{n_s R_{s0}}{n_0 (1 - R_{s0} R')}, \quad (29)$$

$$T = |t|^2 \frac{n_s (1 - R_{s0})}{n_0 (1 - R_{s0} R')}, \quad (30)$$

where r and t are given by Eqs.(27) and (28), and the reflection coefficient of the medium-substrate interface $R_{s0} = \left(\frac{n_s - n_0}{n_s + n_0} \right)^2$ and R' is the reflection coefficient of the multi-layer film for the electromagnetic wave incident through the substrate. In calculations of R' we may neglect surface contribution to the skin effect because in Eqs.(29) and (30) the term $R_{s0} R'$ is much less than unity.

4 DISCUSSION

The metallic multi-layer structure considered in this paper needs the approach taking into account the complexity of the problems which would reflect in the properties of optical reflectance and absorptance spectra. Such approach was developed in the previous sections and the obtained formulas (Eqs.(23) and (24)) reveal that the optical coefficients depend on the field frequency, the modulation wavelength of the structure $d = b + a$ and scattering parameters P, T_1, T_2 . Thus, the influence of the skin effect on the optical film coefficients is modified by the size effects. Moreover, the feasibility of coherent passages of conduction electrons across interfaces (for $T_1, T_2 > 0$) and the nonlocal character of the relationship between the electric field and the surface current in the wave equation lead to a mutual influence of transport parameters characterizing one of the metallic layers on the optical coefficients of the others.

In order to see the above-mentioned effects the reflection R and the absorption A are numerically evaluated for a hypothetical $Nb - Cu$ system. Artificial $Nb - Cu$ superstructures were the object of studies of several researchers²⁶⁻³¹. It is assumed that $l_{Nb} = 5.8nm, l_{Cu} = 42nm, m_{Nb} = m_{Cu} = m_e, S_{Nb} = S_{Cu} = 0$, the electron concentrations are $N_{Nb} = 5.6 \times 10^{22}cm^{-3}, N_{Cu} = 8.5 \times 10^{22}cm^{-3}$. Values $n_0 = 1$ and $n_s = 1.5$ are taken as the optical constants of the medium and substrate, respectively. The theoretical results are presented in the standard form versus the electromagnetic field frequency.

The basis for all our calculations are the expressions for the refractive indices of the whole stack of layers given by Eqs.(23)-(25). However, the refractive index of the external surface of the multi-layer structure is prime of importance for the optical spectra of semi-infinite samples. One can expect that the influence of the electron interaction with the external surface would change in a significant way the real part of $n_1^*(-b)$. It is shown in Fig.2. As we may see comparing the curves for $P = 1$ and for $P = 0$, the contribution of the electron surface scattering leads to the increase the $Re[n_1^*(-b)]$ value. Moreover, the effect increases with decreasing value of the reduced thickness of the external layer ($b_{Cu}/l_{Cu} \ll b_{Nb}/l_{Nb}$). It means, in turn, that the surface scattering is

more effective in the case of metal 1 being well conductor, i.e., for the metals with longer electron mean free path. Generally, it is apparent that the surface contribution to the refractive index value may be of the same order as the refractive index value for an ideal surface. This multi-layer film behaviour is analogous to that found for the single and double-layer structures^{8,11-16}.

The optical reflection of the multi-layer films is illustrated in Fig.3. The plots demonstrate the reflection coefficient dependence on the modulation wavelength d for $Nb - Cu$ systems with constant ratio a/b . It is shown for effectively operating mechanisms of electron scattering at the surface and at the interfaces that the reflection decreases with decreasing modulation wavelength and the reflection oscillations appear for $b_{Nb} < l_{Nb}$ and $a_{Cu} < l_{Cu}$. These oscillations were predicted by Dingle⁸ for single films. Their amplitudes depend on the efficiency of the surface and interface scattering, i.e., they are the most distinct for low values of the parameters P, T_1, T_2 and they increase with decreasing modulation wavelength. The obtained oscillations result from the nature of the electron movement in the film layers in the field of electromagnetic waves. Generally, they are conditioned by the surface and interface electron scattering and they disappear when those scattering mechanisms are not operating ($P = T_1 = T_2 = 1$). Our understanding of this phenomenon was given in a detailed form in the previous work¹⁵.

According to the above ideas one may expect for a bimetallic structure that the oscillations would have two different periods corresponding to two different kinds of metallic layers. In the case of Fig.3 the values of parameters (a/b) are chosen in this way that oscillation periods for both structure components are the same. Nevertheless, the problem of influence of the transport parameters characterizing particular metallic layers on the optical absorption of multi-layer structure is presented in Figs.4 and 5. In Fig.4 the two cases in which the surface does not scatter the electrons diffusely ($P = 1$) and the surface scattering is exclusively diffuse ($P = 0$) are demonstrated for curves labeled as 1. The Cu layer thicknesses are taken much greater than the Nb ones, and, simultaneously thin enough to obtain the influence of the bimetallic systems farther from the external surface evident. The oscillation periods appearing due to the existence of two type of layers should differ several

times. However, it is obvious that *Cu*, as a very good conductor, can dominate the oscillatory behaviour of the whole film, especially, when *Cu* is the external layer metal. It should be emphasized that for the considered structure the elimination of the external surface scattering ($P = 1$) does not change the oscillation period of the multi-layer film though the amplitudes may change. It is in contrary to the case of single layer where for the one of the surfaces assumed to reflect electrons specularly ($P = 1$) the oscillation period increases twice. Therefore, from our curves one may easily draw a conclusion that the influence of the whole stack of systems appears in the spectra.

The interpretation is not so obvious for the second choice of the parameters made for the curves labeled as 2. The thickness ratio a/b is the same as in the first case, but the modulation wavelength is much greater. The plots demonstrate the difference between the absorption spectrum with the interface scattering included ($T_1 = T_2 = 0$) and the spectrum for the case without the interface scattering contribution ($T_1 = T_2 = 1$). For the parameters $P = T_1 = T_2 = 0$ the oscillations are still distinct with the period determined by the *Cu* layers. It is two times shorter than for the curves labeled 1 because the modulation wavelength is two times longer. For $P = 0$ and $T_1 = T_2 = 1$, when the electrons can pass across the interfaces coherently, the mutual influence of the parameters characterizing particular layers on the transport parameters characterizing the others leads to the spectrum where the oscillation period created originally in the *Cu* layers is modified by the *Nb* layer transport parameters.

The curve behaviour quite opposite to that described above is presented in Fig.5. The optical absorption spectra of *Nb* - *Cu* multi-layer structures show that for a certain choice of layer thicknesses one can observe the domination of the oscillations created in the *Nb* layers or the oscillations created in the *Cu* layers depending on the scattering parameter values only. Thus, in two limiting cases, for $P = T_1 = T_2 = 0$ (i.e., for the most efficient surface and interface electron scattering) and for $P = T_1 = 1$ and $T_2 = 0$ (i.e., when the interface scattering of electrons in the *Cu* layers is efficient) the two different oscillation periods are apparent. In the intermediate cases the oscillations are not distinct enough, i.e., the oscillations created in both kind of layers damp mutually. Moreover, it is illustrated in Fig.5 that the presence of surface and

interface scattering of the electrons may enhance the absorption of the multi-layer films significantly.

Concluding one can say that the optical properties of the metallic multi-layer films are determined by the transport parameters of metals being components of the alternating systems. It should be emphasized that the optical coefficient behaviour results from the properties of the whole stack of repeated systems. The surface and interface scattering of electrons may lead in the case of multi-layer structures to the oscillation effects which can appear in the optical spectra. The oscillation periods and amplitudes can be a source of valuable information about the transport parameters of the studied structures as well as about phenomena undergoing at the interfaces and at the surface. The amplitude of these oscillations may be greater than that one expected for single films. However, from the experimental point of view it seems that the oscillations are still too weak to be observable. Therefore, we would like to propose the application of the interference phenomena to enhance the above-mentioned oscillations. The idea and the results are presented in Fig.6 for *Cu* - *Nb* systems. In the upper part of this picture the metallic multi-layer film with a dielectric coating is shown. According to the approach developed in this paper we can obtain the modification resulting from the presence of the dielectric coverage by means of the interference matrix method. The interference matrix M_{d-m} for the metallic multi-layer film with the dielectric coating having thickness d_c and refractive index n_c should be defined as

$$M_{d-m} = M^c M, \quad (31)$$

where the matrix M^c elements are given in the form

$$\begin{aligned} m_{11}^c &= \cos\left(\frac{\omega}{c}n_c d_c\right), & m_{12}^c &= \frac{i}{n_c} \sin\left(\frac{\omega}{c}n_c d_c\right), \\ m_{21}^c &= in_c \sin\left(\frac{\omega}{c}n_c d_c\right), & m_{22}^c &= \cos\left(\frac{\omega}{c}n_c d_c\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the method of calculations of the optical coefficients follows essentially the same lines as those described in the previous section (Eqs.(26)-(30)). The obtained results are presented in Fig.6 for the *Cu* - *Nb* absorption spectra. The absorption of the metallic film with the dielectric

coverage vary periodically and very quickly with changing frequency. It exhibits a whole series of maxima and minima (marked in the left part of the Fig.6 as full circles and crosses, respectively). Thus, in Fig.6 the two curves are presented only which are the envelopes for the interference oscillations of absorption of the structure under consideration. It should be emphasized that the bottom curve is also the absorption spectrum of uncovered multi-layer film whereas the top one (the second envelope) is the projection of that absorption spectrum of uncovered film with a significant enhancement. The enhancement depend on both coverage thickness and refractive index value. The changes of these parameters can change also the period of interference oscillations, so the choice needs some care about the measurement possibilities.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The optical properties of metallic multi-layer films can be predicted theoretically, and the appropriate model is formulated in this paper. The influence of size effects and film structure (the modulation wavelength and the microscopic transport parameters of the layers) on the optical coefficients cannot be neglected. The presence of the surface and interface scattering of the electrons leads to the oscillation effects in the optical spectra of multi-layer films. These effects are weak but, generally, it seems that the application of dielectric coatings with the aim to enhance the interesting details of spectra would be useful in studies of optical effects in metallic films.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Polish Ministry of National Education under Grant GRT2. The author would also like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, where part of this work was done.

References

- [1] B. Y. Jin and J. B. Ketterson, *Adv. Phys.* **38**, 189 (1989).
- [2] C. M. Falco, F. E. Fernandez, P. Dhez and A. Khandar-Shahabad, *Superlatt. and Microstruct.* **4**, 51 (1988).
- [3] F. E. Fernandez and C. M. Falco, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **24**, 1758 (1988).
- [4] N. K. Flevaris and S. Logothetidis, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **50**, 1544 (1987).
- [5] E. L. Olazagasti, G. H. Cocoletzi and W. L. Mochan, *Solid State Commun.* **78**, 9 (1991).
- [6] R. Dimmich, *Thin Solid Films* **163**, 485 (1988).
- [7] G. E. Reuter and E. H. Sondheimer, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **195**, 336 (1948).
- [8] R. B. Dingle, *Physica* **19**, 1187 (1953).
- [9] A. Manz, J. Black, Kh. Pashaev and D. L. Mills, *Phys. Rev.* **B17**, 1721 (1978).
- [10] A. Manz, J. Black and D. L. Mills, *Phys. Rev.* **B20**, 4018 (1979).
- [11] F. E. Hutchison and W. N. Hansen, *Phys. Rev.* **B20**, 4069 (1979).
- [12] R. Dimmich and F. Warkusz, *Phys. Status Solidi A* **72**, 117 (1982).
- [13] J. Szczyrbowski, K. Schmalzbauer and H. Hoffmann, *Phys. Rev.* **B32**, 763 (1985).
- [14] J. Szczyrbowski, K. Schmalzbauer and H. Hoffmann, *Phys. Status Solidi A* **93**, 329 (1986).
- [15] J. Dryzek and R. Dimmich, *Phys. Rev.* **B34**, 843 (1986).
- [16] R. Dimmich and J. Dryzek, *Phys. Rev.* **B37**, 7083 (1988).

- [17] J. C. Dudek, *Thin Solid Films* **152**, 411 (1987).
- [18] J. C. Dudek, *Thin Solid Films* **156**, 21 (1988).
- [19] R. Dimmich, *J. Phys. F* **15**, 2477 (1985).
- [20] S. B. Soffer, *J. Appl. Phys.* **38**, 1710 (1967).
- [21] R. F. Greene and R. W. O'Donnell, *Phys. Rev.* **147**, 599 (1966).
- [22] M. Watanabe and A. Hiratuka, *Surface Sci.* **86**, 398 (1979).
- [23] L. A. Falkovsky, *Adv. Phys.* **32**, 753 (1983).
- [24] Z. Knittl, *Optics of Thin Films* (Wiley, London, 1976), Secs. 2.1-2.5 and 10.3.
- [25] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, 5th ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975), Sec. 1.6.
- [26] I. K. Schuller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 1597 (1980).
- [27] W. P. Lowe, T. W. Barbee Jr., T. H. Geballe and D. B. McWhan, *Phys. Rev.* **B24**, 6193 (1981).
- [28] C. M. Falco and I. K. Schuller, in W. Buckel and W. Weber(eds.), *Superconductivity in d- and f-Band Metals* (Kernforschungsanlage, Karlsruhe, 1982), p. 283.
- [29] C. M. Falco, *J. Physique Coll.* **45** (C5), 499 (1984).
- [30] R. Vaglio, A. Cucolo and C. M. Falco, *Phys. Rev.* **B35**, 1721 (1987).
- [31] P. R. Auvil and J. B. Ketterson, *Solid State Commun.* **67**, 1003 (1988).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG.1. Metallic multi-layer film in the field of the electromagnetic wave.

FIG.2. Frequency dependence of the real part of the refractive index at the external film surface for hypothetical $Nb - Cu$ (1 : $b_{Nb} = 2nm, a_{Cu} = 2.3nm$) and $Cu - Nb$ (2 : $b_{Cu} = 2.3nm, a_{Nb} = 2nm$) bimetallic systems.

FIG.3. Reflection spectra of a hypothetical multi-layer film consisting of $Nb - Cu$ bimetallic systems for the case of diffuse electron scattering at the surface and at the interfaces ($P = T_1 = T_2 = 0$). The Nb layer thicknesses are: $9nm, 6nm, 5nm, 4nm$ for the curves from the top one to the bottom one, respectively.

FIG.4. Absorption spectra of a hypothetical multi-layer film consisting of $Cu - Nb$ bimetallic systems for 1 : $b_{Cu} = 7.4nm, a_{Nb} = 1nm$; and 2 : $b_{Cu} = 14.8nm, a_{Nb} = 2nm$.

FIG.5. Influence of the surface and interface scattering parameters on the oscillations in the absorption spectra of $Nb - Cu$ multi-layer films, where $b_{Nb} = 3nm$ and $a_{Cu} = 11nm$.

FIG.6. Absorption spectrum of the uncovered $Cu - Nb$ multi-layer film (the bottom curve) compared with the envelopes for quickly varying with frequency absorption spectrum of the film with dielectric coverage (both, the top and the bottom curves). Crosses and full circles show the minima and the maxima of the periodically varying absorption of the covered metallic structure.




Fig.1




Fig.2




Fig.3




Fig.4




Fig.5





Fig.6