

**READINESS THROUGH RESEARCH**

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ULTRA-LOW-  
EMISSION GAS-FIRED COMBUSTOR  
FOR SPACE HEATERS**

by

**Tian-yu Xiong  
Mark J. Khinkis  
Institute of Gas Technology  
Chicago, Illinois  
and  
William P. Coppin  
Maxon Corporation  
Muncie, Indiana**

**for Presentation at the  
14th WORLD ENERGY ENGINEERING AND  
1991 ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONGRESS**

**Atlanta, Georgia**

**October 23-25, 1991**

**MASTER**

**INSTITUTE OF GAS TECHNOLOGY**

**3424 South State Street Chicago, Illinois 60616**

**IGT**

CP

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ULTRA-LOW EMISSION GAS-FIRED COMBUSTOR FOR SPACE HEATERS

Tian-yu Xiong, Ph.D.  
Mark J. Khinkis  
Institute of Gas Technology  
Chicago, IL 60616

William P. Coppin  
Maxon Corporation  
Muncie, IN 47302

## ABSTRACT

An ultra-low-emission gas-fired combustor has been developed for relatively low-temperature direct-air heating applications. High-lean premixed cyclonic combustion with a flame stabilizer is employed to achieve ultra-low emissions and high turndown operation. On the basis of analytical studies and cold modeling, a 350-kW test combustor was designed and successfully tested.

Experimental results obtained using natural gas and ambient air demonstrated that the test combustor can operate steadily at high excess air up to 80% to 100% over a large turndown range up to 40:1. At design operating conditions, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions as low as 0.6 vppm and CO and total hydrocarbon (THC) emissions below 3 vppm\* were achieved. Over the full operating range, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from 0.3 to 1.0 vppm and CO and THC emissions below 4 vppm were demonstrated. In all tests, concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> were less than 40% of the total NO<sub>x</sub> emissions - lower than the level of NO<sub>2</sub> emissions from combustion processes required for good indoor air quality (0.5 vppm).

This paper presents the concept of high-lean premixed ultra-low-emission cyclonic combustion, design specifications for the combustion system, and the major experimental results, including flame stability, emissions, and turndown performance.

## INTRODUCTION

Fuel-fired hot air systems are widely used in a variety of industrial, commercial, and residential applications where relatively low temperature hot air is needed for heating or drying. Basically, the two different approaches for heating air by a primary heat source provided from fuel combustion are 1) indirect and 2) direct. In indirect systems, the process air is not mixed with the products of combustion (POC's) but rather extracts the heat of combustion via a heat exchanger. In direct systems, the process air is mixed with POC's and then delivered to the heating or drying process. Therefore,

almost 100% of the heat of fuel combustion is utilized for the process in direct-fired systems. In addition, direct-fired hot air systems require the lowest capital and operating costs because expensive heat exchange equipment is not required. However, the disadvantage of direct-fired systems is that POC's, which contain varying amounts of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), unburned total hydrocarbons (THC), and possibly particulate matters (PM), can "vitalize" the environment (for example, indoor and greenhouse atmospheres) or many products (barley malt, powdered milk and baked meats, etc.). Therefore, in many applications, direct-fired air heating systems have been replaced with more costly electric heating systems or less efficient and more complex indirect-fired systems to avoid contact of the POC's with the products.

One of the major applications for direct gas-fired hot air systems is unvented space heating. Recent investigation by the U.S. EPA Clean Air Science Advisory Board has shown that concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) in the 0.1 to 0.3 vppm (at 0% O<sub>2</sub>) range in indoor air may cause adverse health effects. Therefore, indoor air with less than 0.1 vppm NO<sub>2</sub> is considered "good quality" air based on the Pollutant Standards Index (PSI) Range and Description category specified in the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) (1989).<sup>1</sup> According to the American National Standard (ANS) (1983) for gas-fired room heaters,<sup>2</sup> this requires NO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a direct-fired burner to be no more than 1.75 vppm (at 0% O<sub>2</sub>; or 0.5 vppm at 15% O<sub>2</sub>). In a recent survey conducted by the Gas Research Institute (GRI), however, 98% of unvented gas-fired space heaters cannot provide good air quality.<sup>3</sup>

On the basis of European regulations, American Gas Association (A.G.A.) recommendations, and feedback from the U.S. Industry, GRI has determined that the POC's from natural gas-fired combustors for direct air heating must not contain more than 0.5 vppm NO<sub>x</sub> and 3 vppm CO. This target also meets with the CFR for "good" air quality. To date, no commercial gas-fired burners can achieve this target of emission levels. The gas industry in the United States is interested in developing advanced, economically feasible burners that produce ultra-clean products of combustion for direct

---

Note: Unless otherwise specified, all pollutant emission data in this paper are corrected to 15% O<sub>2</sub>.

use in space heating, process heating, and drying applications.

The Institute of Gas Technology (IGT) has teamed with Maxon Corp. to develop a gas-fired direct air heater based on the cyclonic combustion concept. This paper introduces this technology and presents the major experimental results obtained from a pilot-scale test combustor.

## CONCEPT AND TECHNICAL APPROACH

### NO<sub>x</sub> Formation in Combustion Processes

Most nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) are produced in the combustion process in two forms: nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). NO is the initial oxidation stage in which the NO<sub>x</sub> are formed. Because the process of oxidation of the atmospheric nitrogen is endothermic, the formation of NO takes place in high-temperature regions of the flame. The NO is then oxidized to NO<sub>2</sub> in the zone of considerable excess air.

It is commonly recognized that NO can be produced by three different mechanisms:

1. Thermal NO is produced by oxidation of molecular nitrogen.
2. Prompt NO is produced by high-speed reactions at the flame front.
3. Fuel NO is produced by oxidation of chemically-bound nitrogen contained in the fuel.

It is fairly well established that NO formation is strongly temperature-dependent, based on the Zeldovich mechanism.

The second mechanism has been invoked to explain the anomalously high concentration of NO that has been observed in flame fronts. Because the reactions are endothermic and the activation energy and reaction heat are relatively low,<sup>4</sup> prompt NO formation can occur in relatively low-temperature flames and can still be accelerated by increasing flame front temperatures.

The third mechanism is used to describe how fuel-bound nitrogen is converted to NO. Conversion of fuel-based nitrogen to NO also increases with increasing flame temperatures.

Based on the data of Fenimore (1971),<sup>5</sup> Johnson *et al.* (1979),<sup>6</sup> and Hayhurst and Vince (1980),<sup>7</sup> reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> formation in the post-flame region is essential for large-size combustors and even more important to restrain NO<sub>x</sub> production in the flame front for small-size burners.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, NO<sub>2</sub> formation is greater for the small-size burners. Therefore, the technical direction for minimizing NO<sub>x</sub> formation in relatively small combustors is to reduce temperature in the flame zone.

### NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction - Approach and Challenges

With an understanding of NO<sub>x</sub> formation, the basic approaches toward lower NO<sub>x</sub> emissions focus on reducing the concentration of free

oxygen, residence time, and combustion temperature, including eliminating the "hot spots" in the combustion zone. The various proven practical combustion technologies for reducing NO<sub>x</sub> formation include: high excess air combustion, homogeneous combustion, staged firing, recirculation of combustion products, cooling of the combustion zone, and heat removal from the flame. However, the only approach that has reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to the levels of a few vppm is premixed gas combustion.

For most kinds of space and process heating applications for which ultra-low-combustion emissions are targeted but high combustion temperatures are not required, the favorable approach is high excess air premixed combustion because of its simple structure and operation, and, thereby, low cost. Consistent reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with an increase in excess air has been proven experimentally<sup>9-13</sup> in many gas-fired test combustors. The data show a clear trend that NO<sub>x</sub> could be reduced to a level less than 1 vppm by increasing excess air greater than 80%. However, problems with combustion stability, especially at low-load operation, can result. Moreover, any attempt to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> by lowering combustion temperatures and minimizing the residence time may lead to an increase in CO and THC. Therefore, an advanced combustor to achieve ultra-low emissions for all combustion pollutants (NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and THC) should apply the following techniques:

- Premixed fuel/air combustion
- High excess air operation
- Excellent flame stabilization
- Intensive turbulence and mixing in the combustor to minimize CO and THC formation.

For the applications of these techniques, the cyclonic combustion concept has been adopted at IGT as the ideal candidate.

### Cyclonic Combustion

A conceptual cyclonic combustor is shown in Figure 1. Through the evenly-spaced tangential nozzles, a premixed gas/air mixture is injected into the combustion chamber at a relatively high velocity, creating intensive cyclonic motion. Because the swirl intensity is usually much higher than that achieved by other types of swirlers (for example, axial or radial swirlers), intensive turbulent mixing and substantial internal combustion products recirculation (ICPR) that enhance flame stabilization as well as combustion completion are obtained. The intensive swirl and the ICPR can also improve the uniformity of temperature and gas composition in the chamber. As a result, high peak flame temperatures and localized pockets of high O<sub>2</sub> availability are minimized, thereby reducing NO<sub>x</sub> formation. The swirl flow and ICPR are further enhanced by placing an orifice of appropriate size at a specific distance from the nozzles. Moreover, by appropriately positioning the

tangential nozzles and controlling the velocities of nozzle injection, the flame established from each nozzle can be enhanced by each other. It is possible, therefore, to operate a premixed cyclonic combustor beyond the lean limit of flammability with sufficient intensity of combustion reaction to minimize the formation of all combustion pollutants.




FIGURE 1. CONCEPTUAL FLOW PATTERN IN A CYCLONIC COMBUSTOR

Earlier tests at IGT had shown that  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions as low as 1 to 2 vppm were achieved in a single-stage cyclonic combustor.<sup>10</sup> Further reduction of  $\text{NO}_x$  formation requires higher excess air operation. A flame stabilizer, as the first-stage combustor, was adopted to enhance flame stability of the higher lean premixed flame in the main combustor. Figure 2 shows a conceptual design of the two-stage cyclonic combustor. Both combustors are operated in a lean premixed combustion mode, while a less lean operation is desired in the flame stabilizer in order to provide "hotter" combustion gases for enhancing flame stability in the main combustor, which is operated at leaner conditions.




FIGURE 2. SCHEMATIC OF THE GAS-FIRED ULTRA-LOW-EMISSION TEST COMBUSTOR

## EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

### Setup

A 350-kW firing capacity test combustor was designed, fabricated, and tested. The combustor consists of a smaller cyclonic chamber as the flame stabilizer and a larger chamber as the main combustor. The combustion chambers are fully lined with refractory to provide a near-adiabatic environment for flame stabilization at very lean conditions. An orifice is installed at the exit of each combustion chamber for further enhancement of the internal combustion product recirculation. A configuration of the flame stabilizer, including the length/diameter ratio of the chamber, and the size and shape of the exit orifice, was determined from cold modeling results; the location and size of the main combustor exit orifice remain variable in order to permit changes in the main combustor configuration.

Two tangential nozzles are employed for the flame stabilizer and four for the main combustor. Because the swirl flow and ICPR are driven by tangential injection from the nozzles, the injection velocity is an essential parameter for governing fluid dynamics in the cyclonic chamber. To enable optimized control of the nozzle velocity at different operating conditions, especially at turndown operation, all tangential nozzles are specially designed to allow a smooth adjustment of the cross-sectional area of the nozzle outlet while the combustor is operating.

A flow diagram of the test system is shown in Figure 3. Combustion air and natural gas are premixed individually for each stage of the combustor. Flow rates of air and fuel are also metered and controlled individually. The flow rate of the premixed fuel/air mixture for each nozzle can also be adjusted for flow balance control.




FIGURE 3. EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM OF THE ULTRA-LOW-EMISSION COMBUSTOR

The test combustor is started up by a sequence start-up system that automatically controls system purge, ignition of a pilot by the electric spark, and fuel supply to each combustor. An interlock system is linked with the flame sensor monitoring the flame in

the flame stabilizer for safety protection. Another flame sensor is installed on the main combustor. Signals from this sensor are acquired by the computer for monitoring flame stability and guiding combustion control in the main combustor.

#### Data Collection

The combustion rate and the ratio of air/fuel were measured individually for each stage. Exhaust gas temperature and composition, inner wall temperature and pressure in the combustion chamber, and the static pressure at each nozzle were also measured for evaluation of the test combustor performance. The major data were collected by a computer data acquisition and reduction system, shown in Figure 3.

Because measurement protocol for combustion emissions is not standardized and the emissions being measured in the current studies are ultra-low, sampling and analysis of combustion gases were carried out very carefully.

A water-cooled probe was used to sample the combustion products. The probe at the cold end was equipped with a section of externally heated stainless steel tubing to maintain a sample temperature of about 190°F so that moisture would not condense. The warm sample was passed through a millipore filter to remove any solid particles and a Permapure dryer to remove moisture. The dried sample was supplied to oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxide (NO and NO<sub>x</sub>), and total hydrocarbon (THC) analyzers through Teflon tubing.

In order to ensure the accuracy of the measured emissions at ultra-low levels, all instruments were selected with appropriate operating ranges and calibrated before and after each test. The CO analyzer with a range of 0 to 50 vppm was calibrated by a 4.1 vppm span gas. The hydrocarbon analyzer with a range of 0 to 100 vppm was selected and calibrated by a 4.9 vppm span gas. The NO and NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer was ranged from 0 to 1 vppm and 0 to 10 vppm, respectively, and calibrated by a 3.3 vppm span gas. Because the NO<sub>x</sub> formation during combustion is comparable to that in ambient air, the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration in the combustion air was monitored before and after each test. The NO<sub>x</sub> content of combustion air was found to be from 0.08 to 0.25 vppm. Therefore, all NO<sub>x</sub> emission data have been corrected to a net increment through the combustion process.

A suction pyrometer was used to measure gas temperature in the main combustor for evaluation of flame stability, as well as temperature uniformity.

The sophisticated design and test system are for the purpose of extensive evaluation studies. A practical unit will be simplified significantly based on the test results.

#### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Experimental studies were conducted at different operating conditions and for five

combustor configurations. The purpose of the parametric study was to evaluate the technical approach adopted for achieving ultra-low-combustion emissions at a high turndown ratio and to explore the effects of the various parameters on burner performance for optimal design.

#### Effect of Excess Air

It is obvious that NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are reduced with an increase in excess air at a given firing rate because it lowers the combustion temperature. In contrast, CO emissions increase with excess air because of the decrease in both combustion temperature and residence time. Figure 4 shows NO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and CO emissions at different excess air at a 322-kW firing rate. It is seen that NO<sub>x</sub> was reduced from 2.2 to 0.6 vppm and CO was increased from 1.6 to 2.8 vppm as excess air was increased from 57% to 80%. Correspondingly, the combustion temperature was decreased from 2460° to 2250°F. The NO and NO<sub>x</sub> data also show that most of the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (70% to 90%) were contributed by NO. The NO and NO<sub>x</sub> values were directly measured by the chemiluminescent analyzer, NO<sub>2</sub> values were determined only by difference; results show a range of 0.1 to 0.3 vppm for the test conditions employed. Therefore, the data presented in Figure 4 demonstrate that ultra-low emissions have been achieved.




FIGURE 4. EFFECT OF EXCESS AIR ON NO, NO<sub>x</sub> AND CO EMISSIONS

The maximum excess air is limited for flame stabilization. At a lower load operation, the maximum excess air can be increased as high as 110%. The combustion temperature is correspondingly decreased to 2000°F, and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions can be reduced to as low as 0.3 vppm, as shown in Figure 6.

#### Effect of Main Nozzle Velocity

As discussed earlier, nozzle velocity is an essential parameter for combustion control and performance. The effect of the main nozzle velocity on combustion emissions was studied for different burner configurations. It was found that, within a practical range of the main nozzle velocity, from 24 to 76 m/s, the effects of the nozzle velocity on

both  $\text{NO}_x$  and CO emissions is less than 30%. Figure 5 presents the results obtained for a typical burner configuration.




FIGURE 5. EFFECT OF NOZZLE VELOCITY ON  $\text{NO}_x$  AND CO EMISSIONS

In general,  $\text{NO}_x$  and CO emissions abated with an increase in nozzle velocity because flue gas recirculation and mixing in the combustor are intensified at a higher swirl intensity. However, at a higher nozzle velocity, CO emissions, especially in the region near the wall, are increased because this portion of the reactant flow will have less residence time in the chamber due to the deterioration of uniformity of the cyclonic flow field when the swirl intensity is too high. This principle was explored using the results of residence time distribution measurements that were performed in the cold model. Therefore, the optimal main nozzle velocity can be recommended to be 50 to 60 m/s for this typical burner configuration.

When controlling the nozzle velocity within the optimized range, excellent performance of the test combustor was accomplished.

#### Flame Stabilization Enhancement by the Stabilizer

One of the major technical approaches for achieving high excess air operation is the use of the flame stabilizer. By inducing hotter combustion products from the stabilizer into the ignition zone of the main combustor, main flame stabilization can be enhanced. As a result, the main combustor can be operated at higher excess air to further reduce  $\text{NO}_x$  formation.

This concept has been proven experimentally. Figure 6 shows an increase in the maximum excess air in the main combustor with stabilizer operation and a corresponding reduction of  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions when the test burner was operated at high load. For example, the maximum excess air was increased from 65% to 73% at a 470-kW firing rate and  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions were reduced by 40% from 1.6 to 1.0 vppm. However, when the burner was operated at low load, the use of the flame stabilizer did not reduce overall  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions, but even resulted in a slight increase in  $\text{NO}_x$ , as shown in Figure 6,

because the flame stabilizer made a larger contribution to overall  $\text{NO}_x$  formation. For the best results, the flame stabilizer should be applied for main flame stabilization at a relatively high load as well as for high turndown operation at low load.




FIGURE 6. FLAME STABILIZATION ENHANCEMENT BY THE STABILIZER

Figure 7 shows  $\text{NO}_x$  produced in the stabilizer operating at different ratios of firing rates to that of the main combustor, as well as  $\text{NO}_x$  that contributed to the overall emissions, at a total firing rate of 300 kW.  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions from the stabilizer were reduced to as low as 0.9 vppm at a 44-kW firing rate. However,  $\text{NO}_x$  that contributed to the overall emissions generally increased with the firing rate in the stabilizer. On the other hand, the higher firing rate in the stabilizer is beneficial for enhanced flame stabilization in the main combustor, particularly at higher load operation. Therefore, low-firing-rate operation of the stabilizer will not make a positive contribution for overall  $\text{NO}_x$  reduction at both low and high load operation of the main combustor. An appropriate operating range for the stabilizer is between 8% and 15% of the overall firing rate, based on lower  $\text{NO}_x$  formation in the stabilizer as well as higher capability for flame stabilization, as shown in Figure 7. Within




FIGURE 7.  $\text{NO}_x$  FORMATION IN THE FLAME STABILIZER

this firing rate range, the  $\text{NO}_x$  formation for overall emissions is almost constant (0.12 vppm). As a result, a portion of  $\text{NO}_x$  formed in the stabilizer was reduced to a level of 20% in the overall  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions. A further increase in the firing rate of the stabilizer will dramatically increase the overall  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions.

#### Effect of Firing Rate (Turndown)

Turndown tests were conducted for all five configurations. At each level of the firing rate, excess air was gradually increased to reduce  $\text{NO}_x$  formation until an unstable flame appeared. The overall firing rates were varied from 12 to 500 kW with the main burner operation. The flame stabilizer was operated alone at very low overall firing rates, ranging from 12 to 60 kW. Then the main burner was started up at 60 kW to replace the flame stabilizer until it reached a firing rate of about 240 kW. The flame stabilizer was operating at 18 to 41 kW when the main burner was firing above 240 kW. Thus, an overall turndown as high as 40:1 was achieved by combined operation of the main burner (with 8:1 turndown) and the flame stabilizer (with 5:1 turndown). The maximum firing rate of the present test burner was limited by emission requirements, whereas the minimum firing rates for both the main burner and the stabilizer were restricted by the adjusting limits of the variable discharge nozzle as well as the control devices (valves and flow rate orifices).

Figure 8 presents test results of  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , and  $\text{CO}$  emissions versus firing rate for a typical configuration. When the main burner was operated within an overall firing rate of 60 to 500 kW,  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions constantly increased from 0.3 to 1.0 vppm, with most of the contribution from  $\text{NO}$ . This occurred because the combustion temperature increased with increasing firing rate as a result of the decrease in the maximum excess air for flame stabilization as the firing rate was increased. It was found that the maximum excess air was decreased from 110% to 67% with an increase in the firing rate from 60 to 500 kW because flame stabilization at




FIGURE 8. EFFECT OF FIRING RATE ON  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$  AND  $\text{CO}$  EMISSIONS

high excess air operation deteriorated when the axial velocity of the reactant flow increased. At very low load,  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions increased slightly because the flame stabilizer was operated alone.  $\text{CO}$  emissions from the combustor increased constantly from 1 to 3.6 vppm with increasing firing rate because of a corresponding decrease in residence time of the reactant flow in the combustor. Again,  $\text{NO}_2$  emissions determined by the difference between  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{NO}$  values were very low (0.1 to 0.4 vppm) over the full range of firing rates.

Test data have demonstrated that the test burner can operate stably at 40:1 turndown with  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions from 0.3 to 1 vppm and  $\text{CO}$  emissions from 1 to 3.6 vppm. In all tests,  $\text{NO}_2$  was less than 40% of overall  $\text{NO}_x$ .

#### Effect of Main Burner Configuration

The major features of the combustor configuration are diameter and location of the exit orifice. The size of the orifice has a significant effect on the ICPR and residence time distribution of the reactant flow in the combustion chamber. The location of the orifice directly determines the length of the combustion chamber and also brings flow recirculation into effect.

Three different orifice sizes were tested. The ratios of the orifice diameters relative to that of the basic configuration were 0.82, 1.0, and 1.14. Because  $\text{CO}$  emissions are not critical to the present evaluation, only  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions are presented for comparison between different configurations. Figure 9 presents  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions obtained for different orifice sizes at different firing rates. It is obvious that the middle orifice size had the best performance in  $\text{NO}_x$  reduction. An orifice that is too large cannot produce strong flow recirculation and mixing, but an orifice that is too small cannot produce strong flow recirculation either because the diameter of the recirculation zone is reduced. Furthermore, it would result in an increase in  $\text{CO}$  emissions because a portion of the reactant flow would have less residence time in the combustor.




FIGURE 9. EFFECT OF ORIFICE DIAMETER ON  $\text{NO}_x$  EMISSIONS

The effect of orifice location on NO<sub>x</sub> formation at different excess air operation is shown in Figure 10. The ratios of distance from the orifices to the nozzles were 0.9, 1.09, and 1.15. Test results have demonstrated that the location of the exit orifice has no significant effect on NO<sub>x</sub> formation at relatively low excess air but a considerable effect at high excess air operation.




FIGURE 10. EFFECT OF ORIFICE LOCATION ON NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS

Through the extensive parametric studies conducted at different combustor configurations and operating conditions, the optimized design of the ultra-low emissions combustor can be specified for a commercial prototype.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The technical approach to achieve ultra-low-emission combustion has been proved. This includes premixed high excess air cyclonic combustion with flame stabilization enhancement by a flame stabilizer, in conjunction with optimized nozzle velocity control.

Parametric studies were conducted on the test burner to explore the effects of major combustor configuration parameters and operating conditions on combustor performance. Test results have demonstrated that ultra-low-emission combustion has been achieved over a large range of operating parameters, as summarized below:

Firing Rate: 12-500 kW (60-450 kW for main burner alone)

NO<sub>x</sub>: 0.3-1.0 vppm  
 NO<sub>2</sub>: 0.1-0.4 vppm  
 CO: 1-3.6 vppm  
 THC: 0.4-3.0 vppm

The levels of all emissions produced by this combustor is lower than the requirement for direct-fired air heater to provide "good" indoor air quality according to EPA, CFR, ANS, and GRI. A comparison of combustion emissions from various direct gas-fired space heaters, including the existing commercial units,<sup>14</sup> and the latest research prototype,<sup>3</sup> is presented in Table 1. The data show that, among the commercial units, the lowest NO<sub>2</sub>

TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF EMISSIONS FROM VARIOUS GAS-FIRED COMBUSTORS FOR DIRECT SPACE HEATERS

Source	Emissions, μg/kJ (vppm, at 15% O <sub>2</sub> )		
	NO	NO <sub>2</sub>	CO
Convective (Blue Flame)	9.9-44.3 (6.1-27.1)	7.7-10.7 (4.7-6.5)	3.4-16.7 (2.1-10.2)
Infrared	1.7-0 (1.0)	1.7-5.2 (1.0-3.2)	4.7-87.3 (2.9-53.3)
Fan Heater (Japan)	N/A	2.6 (1.6)	4.3 (2.6)
Oven	N/A	4.7 (2.9)	129.1 (78.9)
Alzeta Prototype	0.7-9.6 (0.4-5.9)	1.2-8.6 (0.7-5.3)	2.2->27.5 (1.3->16.8)
Cyclonic Combustor	0.3-1.3 (0.2-0.8)	0.2-0.7 (0.1-0.4)	1.6-5.9 (1-3.6)

and CO levels are achieved in the fan heater currently made and used in Japan. The Alzeta prototype space heater equipped with a Pyrocore, radiant burner outperforms other infrared and blue-flame burners with respect to most emission products and compares favorably with the Japanese fan heater. However, the IGT/Maxon test cyclonic combustor has demonstrated much lower amounts of combustion emissions than all burners listed in the table. Moreover, these low combustion emissions were obtained over 40:1 turndown operation (8:1 for a single-stage operation alone), which is up to ten times greater than that for the other burners.

It must be noted that, because a chemiluminescent analyzer is used currently to measure NO and NO<sub>x</sub> directly, NO<sub>2</sub> values are determined by difference. Consequently, some workers in this field question the absolute values of the NO<sub>2</sub> data reported in the vast majority of studies.<sup>15</sup> This suggests that there is a need to develop and validate a scientifically based standardized NO<sub>2</sub> measurement protocol. However, this suggested uncertainty does not cast doubt on the ultra-low-emission performance achieved in the test combustor because the maximum NO<sub>2</sub> emissions in all tests were below 0.5 vppm, which is lower than the target for good indoor air quality.

The gas-fired cyclonic combustor has demonstrated excellent performance for ultra-low combustion emissions and a large turndown ratio. This technology, therefore, is an ideal candidate for direct-fired space heating applications.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Mr. David Titus (Maxon Corp.) for his contribution to the combustor design and fabrication, Mr. Walter Kunc (IGT) for test system preparation and technical assistance in this program, and IGT's Sustaining Membership Program (SMP) for financial support.



## REFERENCES

1. Code of Federal Regulations, Vol. 40, July 1, 1989, 183-189.
2. American National Standard for Gas-Fired Room Heaters, Vol. II, Unvented Room Heaters, ANSI Z 21.11.2, 1983.
3. Duret, M. J. and Tidball, R. K., "Concept Development for Low Emissions Space Heater," Final Report No. GRI-90/0164 by Alzeta Corp., GRI, July 1990.
4. Blauwens, J., Smets, B. and Peeters, J., "Mechanism of 'Prompt' NO Formation in Hydrocarbon Flames," Sixteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, 1055-1064, 1976.
5. Fenimore, C. P., "Formation of Nitric Oxide in Premixed Hydrocarbon Flames," Thirteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, 373, 1971.
6. Johnson, G. M. et al., "The Presence of NO<sub>2</sub> in Premixed Flames," Seventeenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, 647, 1979.
7. Hayhurst, A. N. and Vince, I. M., Prog. Energy Comb. Sci. 6, 35, 1980.
8. Zabielski, M. F. and Woody, B. A., "NO<sub>x</sub> Chemistry in Natural Gas Flames," Annual Report GRI No. 87/0200, United Technologies Research Center, Gas Research Institute, 1987.
9. Leaver, G. H., "Gas Fired Low NO<sub>x</sub> Combustion Systems and Air Heaters for Direct Food Processing." Paper Presented at the Conference on Natural Gas in Industry, Denmark, February 20-22, 1984.
10. "Study of Premixed Cyclonic Combustion at Low Temperatures," Applied Combustion Research Department, Institute of Gas Technology, Project Final Report for Southern California Gas Co. and Southern California Edison Co., February 1987.
11. Mirzaie, M. and Syred, N., "The Use of Multi-Inlet Cyclonic Combustors to Minimize NO<sub>x</sub> Formation." Paper received from Prof. Syred, University College, Cardiff, Wales, U.K., 1988.
12. Correa, S. M., "Lean Premixed Combustion for Gas-Turbines: Review and Required Research." Paper presented at the 14th Annual Energy Sources Technology Conference and Exhibition, Houston, January 20-23, 1991.
13. Marshall, B. N., Pam, R. L., Tidball, R. K. and Ruiz, R., "Reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Emissions in Gas Fired Hot Air Systems Through the Use of Adiabatic Radiant Burner Technology." Paper presented at the 14th Annual Energy Source Technology Conference and Exhibition, Houston, January 20-23, 1991.
14. Billick, I. M., "The Impact of Unvented Natural Gas Combustion on Indoor Air Quality." Proceedings, 1989 International Gas Research Conference, 1728-1737, 1990.
15. Reuther, J. J., Billick, I. H. and Gaynor, A. J., "The Measurement of NO<sub>2</sub> from Gas Flames", Combustion and Flames, 71, 331-335, 1988.