

**СООБЩЕНИЯ
ОБЪЕДИНЕННОГО
ИНСТИТУТА
ЯДЕРНЫХ
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ
ДУБНА**

E11-90-599

P.G.Akishin, I.A.Gaganov

**THE DEMAGNETIZING FACTORS
FOR THE RECTANGULAR SAMPLES**

1990

1. Introduction

A number of physical experiments, e.g. Muon Spin Rotation (μ SR), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) can directly measure the field inhomogeneity in materials by observing the distribution of the precession frequencies (ω) of host spin. The μ SR investigations have been applied extensively to high- T_c superconductors and other magnetic systems^{/1-7/}. We will concenter here some estimations which may be used in the analysis of μ SR evidence. Usually the samples to be tested are placed in magnetic field. The time histogram of muon decay positron emitted from positive muons stopped in the specimen presents a sinusoidal oscillation due to the muon precession around \vec{H}_{ext} as:

$$N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau_\mu} \left[1 + A G(t) \cos(\omega_i t + \phi) \right] + C. \quad (1)$$

where $\tau_\mu = 2.2$ msec is the muon life time, A is the initial asymmetry, $G(t)$ is the muon spin depolarisation function, $\omega_i = \gamma_\mu B_\mu$ is the muon precession frequency, $\gamma_\mu = 2\pi \cdot 0.1355$ MHz/G is the gyromagnetic ratio of the muon. The depolarization results from the dephasing effect of the local magnetic field inhomogeneities. To estimate the full width of the magnetic field distribution in the sample ΔB , the μ SR data are usually analyzed for a combination of the Gaussian and Lorentzian distributions of the depolarization function:

$G(t) = e^{-\sigma^2 t^2 / 2}$, and $G(t) = e^{-\sigma t}$, with the relaxation rate $\sigma_{tot}^2 = \gamma_\mu^2 \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle$, $\langle \dots \rangle$ indicates the appropriate average.

The μ SR signal also allows one to determine the average local field B_μ seen by implanted μ^+ in the sample. Most generally the total magnetic field at the μ^+ can be expressed as follows (Schenck 1985.):

$$B_\mu = B_{ext} - B_{Dm} + B_L + B_C + B_{Dip} \quad (2)$$

where B_{ext} is the external magnetic field, $B_{Dm} = \hat{N} M$ is the demagnetization field stemming from the magnetization of the finite sample, \hat{N} is the demagnetization tensor, $B_L = 4\pi/3 M$ is

the so-called Lorentz field which is produced by the empty Lorentz sphere inside a magnetized environment, B_C is a contact hyperfine field at the muon, B_{Dip} is the net field from magnetic dipoles inside the Lorentz sphere. We shall consider only the contribution of the macroscopic demagnetization field to the total magnetic field B_μ . In addition to various inhomogeneities in the sample there are also demagnetization effects. These effects will result in a macroscopic field broadening which can be distinguished from the microscopic effects. In this context it is worth-while considering for example high T_C superconductors. Using the distribution of local fields B_μ in the vortex state of a type-II superconductor one can determine the magnetic field penetration depth λ by means of relation:

$$\lambda^4 = 0.00371 \Phi_0^2 / \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{vort} \quad (3)$$

where Φ_0 is the elementary flux quantum, $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{vort}$ originates from the vortex distribution. The total second moment of B inside the sample may be schematically written as:

$$\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{tot} = \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_\Sigma + \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem} \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem}$ is the contribution from the demagnetization fields, $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_\Sigma$ is the sum of the rest contributions (including the broadening from the vortex structure $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{vort}$). Let us consider the second term of eq.(4) and point out the path how this limb may be evaluated. In real μ SR experimental set up with longitudinal or transverse field geometry the component of the magnetic induction \vec{B} in direction of the external magnetic field is observed. The corresponding component of magnetic field strength \vec{H} in every point ξ_i of the sample Ω (see Fig.1) is expressed as:

$$\vec{H}(\xi_i) = \vec{H}_{ext} - 4\pi N \vec{M}(\xi_i) \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{H}_{ext} = |\vec{H}_{ext}| \vec{z}$. Taking the average over all samples points, (5) will be :

$$\langle H^Z(\xi_j) \rangle = H_{ext} - 4\pi \langle N^{ZZ} \rangle \langle M(\xi_j) \rangle, \quad \langle H^X \rangle = \langle H^Y \rangle = 0 \quad (6)$$

where symmetry of the sample has been taken into account. It is known that in the magnitostatics the magnitude of the magnetic induction is defined in the following way:

$$B^{\alpha}(\xi_j) = H(\xi_j) + 4\pi M^{\alpha}(\xi_j) \quad (7)$$

Combining eqs. (5), (6), (7) we will obtain :

$$4\pi \langle N^{ZZ} \rangle \langle M^Z(\xi_j) \rangle = H_{ext} - B^Z(\xi_j) + 4\pi \langle M^Z(\xi_j) \rangle \quad (8)$$

To calculate $\langle N^{ZZ} \rangle$ we used the ideal diamagnetic approximation ($B \equiv 0$ in the sample). In this case let us define the mathematical expectation of N^{ZZ} as:

$$\langle N^{ZZ} \rangle = \left\langle \left(1 - \frac{H_{ext}}{H^Z(\xi_j)} \right) \right\rangle \quad (9)$$

By neglecting nondiagonal terms of tensor \hat{N} , the dispersion of N^{ZZ} may be evaluate for N^{ZZ} distribution in the specimen. Such approach is justified in our case as long as components of magnetization $M^X(\xi_j)$ and $M^Y(\xi_j)$ are more less than $M^Z(\xi_j)$. The smallness of X and Y magnetization components will be seen from farther computer simulation. In type-II superconductors the magnetic field H , magnetization M , demagnetization tensor \hat{N} and the averaged magnetic induction (i.e. internal field seen by μ^+) B_{μ} in macroscopic approach are related as $H = B_{\mu} - 4\pi M = H_{ext} - 4\pi \hat{N}M$. If the μ^+ Knight shift in the probe is negligibly small (as, for example, in high- T_c compounds), then the observed frequency shift of μ^+ precession is related directly to the demagnetization field:

$$F = B_{\mu} - H_{ext} = 4\pi(1 - \langle N^{ZZ} \rangle)M \quad (10)$$

The subsequent broadening of F due to distribution of \hat{N} inside the specimen is $4\pi \Delta N^{ZZ} M$. Thus $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem}$ may be expressed as:

$$\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem} = (4\pi M)^2 \langle (\Delta N^{ZZ})^2 \rangle. \quad (11)$$

The bulk magnetization of the sample M may be estimated from relation (10) using the value of $\langle N^{ZZ} \rangle$. Taking into account the contribution of $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem}$ to $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{tot}$ one can refine the penetration depth value. The purpose of this paper is to estimate the mathematical expectation and the dispersion of N^{ZZ} for rectangular samples in real field geometry for μ SR set up. However, in order to find $\langle N^{ZZ} \rangle$ from (9), it is necessary to compute $H^Z(\xi_i)$. For calculating the magnetic fields in the specimen the boundary integral equations method was used. It is noteworthy that the mathematical expectation and the dispersion of N components obtained for the ideal diamagnetic approximation are valid for various substances, which have the same form.

2. The method of boundary integral equations.

In order to calculate the strength of the magnetic field, it is necessary to solve the three-dimensional Maxwell equations for a sample placed in a homogeneous magnetic field:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \vec{B} = 0 \\ \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} = 0 \\ \vec{B} = \mu \vec{H} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Besides, at the phase boundary the vectors \vec{B} and \vec{H} should satisfy the following conditions: $B_{1n} = B_{2n}, H_{1t} = H_{2t}$. The integral analog of (12) is

$$\vec{H}(\vec{a}) = \vec{H}_{ext} + \frac{\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{a}}}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega} (\vec{B}(\vec{x}) - \vec{H}(\vec{x})) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{a}} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{a}|} \right) d\Omega, \quad (13)$$

where \vec{H}_{ext} is the external field, \vec{a} is the observation point. Assuming that the magnetic permeability μ in Ω is constant, eq.(13) is reduced to the boundary integral equation:

$$\vec{H}(\vec{a}) = \vec{H}_{ext} - \frac{\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{a}}}{4\pi} \int_{d\Omega} \left(\frac{((\vec{B}(\vec{x}) - \vec{H}(\vec{x})), d\vec{S}_{\vec{x}})}{|\vec{x} - \vec{a}|} \right) \quad (14)$$

For the ideal diamagnetic $\vec{B}(\vec{a})=0$ holds in Ω . Therefore $\mu=0$ in this case, and (14) becomes:

$$\vec{H}(\vec{a}) = \vec{H}_{ext} + \frac{\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{a}}}{4\pi} \int_{d\Omega} \left(\frac{(\vec{H}(\vec{x}), d\vec{S}_{\vec{x}})}{|\vec{x} - \vec{a}|} \right) \quad (15)$$

Introducing the new variable $\vec{\sigma}(\vec{x}) = (\vec{H}(\vec{x}), \vec{n}(\vec{x}))$, where $\vec{n}(\vec{x})$ is the external normal to the boundary $d\Omega$ at point \vec{x} and multiplying (15) by $\vec{n}(\vec{a})$ we obtain :

$$\vec{\sigma}(\vec{a}) = (\vec{H}(\vec{a}), \vec{n}(\vec{a})) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\vec{a}}} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{d\Omega} \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}(\vec{x}) d\vec{S}_{\vec{x}}}{|\vec{x} - \vec{a}|} \right) \right) \quad (16)$$

For the discretization of (16) the boundary $d\Omega$ is divided into boundary elements $\{\Omega_i\}$ so that $d\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^L \Omega_i$. Assuming that $\vec{\sigma}(\vec{a})$ is constant in the element Ω_i : $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\sigma}_i$, and choosing the observation points \vec{a}_i as the center of Ω_i , we obtain a set of equations

$$\sigma_i = (H_{ext}(\vec{a}_i), \vec{n}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^L \frac{\sigma_j}{4\pi} \frac{1}{d} \left. \frac{dS}{|\vec{x}-\vec{a}|} \right|_{\vec{a}=\vec{a}_i} \quad (17)$$

where \vec{n}_i is the external normal to $\Omega_i, i=1, \dots, L$.
 Shortly, expression (17) may be rewritten as :

$$\hat{\sigma} = \hat{H} + [A] \hat{\sigma} \quad (18)$$

where $\hat{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_L)^T$, $\hat{H} = [(H_{ext}(\vec{a}_1), \vec{n}_1), \dots, (H_{ext}(\vec{a}_L), \vec{n}_L)]^T$,

and $[A]$ is an $L \times L$ full matrix.

For solving (18) the following iterative process was used:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{k+1} = \hat{H} + [A] \hat{\sigma}_k, \quad \hat{\sigma}_0 = 0., \quad k=0, 1, \dots, L. \quad (19)$$

3. The numerical results.

The numerical simulations were performed by using a program code realizing the above algorithm. The rectangular specimen placed in a homogeneous magnetic field is shown in figure 1. The values of H and B were calculated inside and outside the sample.




Figure 1. Schematic arrangement of the rectangular sample in magnetic field.

Due to symmetry or antisymmetry of the magnetic field of the sample the simulation was carried out for the 1/8 part of the sample. After solving eq.(19) the vector σ was used for recount magnetic field at an arbitrary point. The mathematical expectation of the demagnetization factors calculated from (9) and also the dispersion of N^{ZZ} distribution are shown in the Table. The dispersion is obtained from (9) by means of statistical analysis. For simplicity it is assumed that $|\vec{H}_{ext}|=1$ and $a=1$. The third moment of N^{ZZ} distribution is less than 0.001 for all sample sizes. The values of H^X and H^Y components of magnetic field in the sample make up one tenth as many as the value H^Z component. It is straightforward to see that the mathematical expectation of N^{ZZ} obtained numerically are in good agreement with the analytical value of N for the infinite plate in magnetic field for the cases when $c \ll b$ and $c \ll b$ ($N=0$) or $a \ll b$ and $a \ll c$ ($N=1$). Figure 2. illustrates the spectral behaviour of N^{ZZ} in the probe for $b=5, c=1+10$.




Figure 2. The probability distribution plot of N^{ZZ} for rectangular samples.

Table. The mathematical expectation and the dispersion of N^2 for rectangular samples

b	c	$\langle N \rangle$	$\langle \Delta N \rangle^2$
1	0.2	0.718	0.007
3	0.2	0.782	0.006
7	0.2	0.804	0.008
10	0.2	0.806	0.005
30	0.2	0.814	0.004
1	1	0.365	0.015
3	1	0.462	0.011
5	1	0.488	0.010
10	1	0.509	0.008
30	1	0.525	0.007
1	3	0.158	0.020
3	3	0.226	0.021
5	3	0.249	0.020
10	3	0.272	0.019
30	3	0.290	0.017
1	5	0.105	0.016
3	5	0.149	0.020
5	5	0.169	0.019
10	5	0.190	0.019
30	5	0.206	0.019
1	10	0.057	0.009
3	10	0.085	0.013
5	10	0.099	0.013
10	10	0.111	0.014
30	10	0.129	0.013
1	30	0.017	0.001
3	30	0.031	0.002
5	30	0.038	0.004
10	30	0.045	0.004
30	30	0.056	0.005

where $\langle \Delta N^2 \rangle = N^2 - \langle N \rangle^2$

From the Table one can see that the second moment of N does not exceed 0.03 over the sample sizes. For high T_c superconductors this means that the penetration depth λ , which relates to the frequency line width and the variance of the field intensity as $\lambda^4 = 1/\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle$, must be corrected. The demagnetization broadening $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem}$ is related with the observed broadening $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{exp}$ as:

$$\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem} = \frac{\langle \Delta N^2 \rangle}{(1 - \langle N^2 \rangle)^2} \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{exp} \quad (20)$$

So, the maximum value of $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem}$ is attained then $\langle N^2 \rangle = (a=1, b=7, c=0.2 \text{ in our sizes range})$. In this case $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem}$ is: $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{Dem} = 0.2 \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle_{exp}$. The corresponding correction of the λ is defined from the relation :

$$\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d(\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle)}{\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle} \leq 0.05 \quad (21)$$

For instance, in compounds $(La_{1.8}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4)^{1/4}$ the value $\lambda = 2420 \pm 60 \text{ \AA}$ may be corrected approximately by 60 \AA . Therefore the penetration depth λ in high - T_c ceramics is underestimated by not more than 5% .

4. Conclusion

We have dealt with the effect leading to additional macro-inhomogeneity of the internal magnetic field for rectangular probes. The space distribution of the magnetic field is computed for the ideal diamagnetic approximation. On the basis of this calculations, the mathematical expectation and dispersion of the demagnetizing tensor component are evaluated for a real field geometry. These estimations are valid for various substances, which have the same form. The demagnetization fields can have a very marked effect in compounds which have the demagnetization factor $\langle N \rangle = 1$.

Acknowledgments.

The authors wish to thank Dr.V.Y Yushankhai and V.Y.Pomjakushin for helpful discussions.

References

- 1 A.Schenck,Physica C153-155(1988) 1127
- 2 R.F.Kiefl et al.,Physica C153-155(1988)
- 3 Y.J.Uemura and al.,Phys.Rev.Lett. 62,2317 (1989)
- 4 V.G.Grebinnic et al.,in:Proceedings of the International Conference,California,USA, 145(1989).
- 5 D.R.Marshman et al.Phys.Rev.B 37(1987) 2386
- 6 Y.J.Uemura et al.Phys.Rev.Lett. 59,1045(1987);
Physica(Amsterdam) 153-155C,769 (1988)
- 7 J.H.Brewer et al.,Phys.Rev.Lett.60,1073(1988)
- 8 A.Schenck,Muon Spin Rotation Spectroscopy (Adam Hilger,Bristol) 1985

Received by Publishing Department
on December 29,1990.

WILL YOU FILL BLANK SPACES IN YOUR LIBRARY?

You can receive by post the books listed below. Prices — in US \$, including the packing and registered postage.

D13-85-793	<i>Proceedings of the XII International Symposium on Nuclear Electronics, Dubna, 1985.</i>	14.00
D1,2-86-668	<i>Proceedings of the VIII International Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems, Dubna, 1986 (2 volumes)</i>	23.00
D3,4,17-86-747	<i>Proceedings of the V International School on Neutron Physics. Alushta, 1986.</i>	25.00
D9-87-105	<i>Proceedings of the X All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1986 (2 volumes)</i>	25.00
D7-87-68	<i>Proceedings of the International School-Seminar on Heavy Ion Physics. Dubna, 1986.</i>	25.00
D2-87-123	<i>Proceedings of the Conference "Renormalization Group-86". Dubna, 1986.</i>	12.00
D2-87-798	<i>Proceedings of the VIII International Conference on the Problems of Quantum Field Theory. Alushta, 1987.</i>	10.00
D14-87-799	<i>Proceedings of the International Symposium on Muon and Pion Interactions with Matter. Dubna, 1987.</i>	13.00
D17-88-95	<i>Proceedings of the IV International Symposium on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics. Dubna, 1987.</i>	14.00
E1,2-88-426	<i>Proceedings of the 1987 JINR-CERN School of Physics. Varna, Bulgaria, 1987.</i>	14.00
D14-88-833	<i>Proceedings of the International Workshop on Modern Trends in Activation Analysis in JINR. Dubna, 1988</i>	8.00
D13-88-938	<i>Proceedings of the XIII International Symposium on Nuclear Electronics. Varna, 1988</i>	13.00
D17-88-681	<i>Proceedings of the International Meeting "Mechanisms of High-T_c Superconductivity". Dubna, 1988.</i>	10.00
D9-89-52	<i>Proceedings of the XI All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1988 (2 volumes)</i>	30.00
E2-89-525	<i>Proceedings of the Seminar "Physics of e^+e^--Interactions". Dubna, 1988.</i>	10.00
D9-89-801	<i>Proceedings of the International School-Seminar on Heavy Ion Physics. Dubna, 1989.</i>	19.00
D19-90-457	<i>Proceedings of the Workshop on DNA Repair on Mutagenesis Induced by Radiation. Dubna, 1990.</i>	15.00

Orders for the above-mentioned books can be sent at the address:
 Publishing Department, JINR
 Head Post Office, P.O.Box 79 101000 Moscow, USSR

SUBJECT CATEGORIES OF THE JINR PUBLICATIONS

Index	Subject
1.	High energy experimental physics
2.	High energy theoretical physics
3.	Low energy experimental physics
4.	Low energy theoretical physics
5.	Mathematics
6.	Nuclear spectroscopy and radiochemistry
7.	Heavy ion physics
8.	Cryogenics
9.	Accelerators
10.	Automatization of data processing
11.	Computing mathematics and technique
12.	Chemistry
13.	Experimental techniques and methods
14.	Solid state physics. Liquids
15.	Experimental physics of nuclear reactions at low energies
16.	Health physics. Shieldings
17.	Theory of condensed matter
18.	Applied researches
19.	Biophysics

Акишин П.Г., Гаганов И.А.

E11-90-599

**Факторы размагничивания для образцов
в форме прямоугольных параллелепипедов**

Рассматривается влияние эффектов размагничивания на распределение магнитных полей в образцах конечной формы. Граничный интегральный метод используется для вычисления пространственного распределения магнитных полей в образцах в форме прямоугольных параллелепипедов. На основе этих вычислений в условиях реальной геометрии поля в экспериментальной μ SR установке вычисляется распределение размагничивающих факторов в образце и оцениваются соответствующие математическое ожидание и дисперсия этого распределения. Результаты вычислений применяются для анализа μ SR данных, полученных для высокотемпературных сверхпроводников. Для таких соединений показано, что коррекция глубины проникновения не больше 5%.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории ядерных проблем ОИЯИ.

Сообщение Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1990

Akishin P.G., Gaganov I.A.

E11-90-599

**The Demagnetizing Factors
for the Rectangular Samples**

The influence of the demagnetization effect on the distribution of internal magnetic fields for finite samples is considered. The boundary integral method is used to compute the space distribution of the magnetic field in rectangular samples. On the basis of these calculations we compute the distribution of demagnetization factors in the sample for μ SR experimental set-up with the real field geometry. The corresponding mathematical expectation and dispersion of this distribution are estimated. The results of the calculation are used in the analysis of the μ SR data obtained for high T_c superconductors. It is shown for these compounds that the correction to the penetration depth related to the broadening of the field distribution, is not more than 5%.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, JINR.

Communication of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1990

Редактор Э.В.Ивашкевич. Макет Т.Е.Попеко.

Подписано в печать 22.01.91.

Формат 60x90/16. Офсетная печать. Уч.-изд.листов 1,07.

Тираж 465. Заказ 44072.

Издательский отдел Объединенного института ядерных исследований.
Дубна Московской области.