

A Comparison of L-band and C-band RF guns as Sources for Inline-injection Systems

Juan C. Gallardo and Harold G. Kirk

Physics Department, Bldg. 901-A
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, New York 11973-5000

and

Thomas Meyerer
Forschungszentrum Rossendorf
Postfach 510119
D-01314 Dresden

Abstract

We consider the beam dynamics associated with installing a BNL type $1\frac{1}{2}$ cell L-band or C-band rf gun before two TESLA L-band cryomodules. This system will deliver a 25 MeV electron beam with peak currents on the order of 100 A suitable for further magnetic compression. We evaluate the injection systems utilizing the electron beam dynamic code PARMELA from the point of view of minimizing the transverse invariant emittance.

INTRODUCTION

High-brightness beams are of great interest to the accelerator physics community because of the promise they hold to access new regimes of physics. These regimes include high-luminosity colliders, high-gain free-electron lasers, and high-brightness photon beams which have applications in both the physical and life sciences. Rf guns remain the focus of efforts to produce such high-brightness beams. L-band (1300 MHz) rf guns built at Los Alamos National Laboratory¹ and S-band (2856 MHz) rf guns built at Brookhaven National Laboratory² have demonstrated experimentally the value of this approach.

The dynamics of electron beams produced by rf guns has been the subject of much attention, especially the beam dynamics pertaining to the production and subsequent dilution of beam emittance in both transverse and longitudinal phase space. The two most significant contributors to emittance dilution in the production of electron beams are the rf dynamics (important for long bunches relative to the period of the rf cycle) within the rf gun and space-charge effects (important for short bunches with high charge content) associated with self fields within the electron bunch. In this paper we will model beams which fall into the later category.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

mw | *ark*

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

It has been shown that the emittance dilution associated with space-charge effects within an electron bunch can be compensated and reversed by the simple technique of focusing the beam with a solenoidal lens and allowing the beam to drift³. If one takes the additional step of accelerating the beam at an appropriate point, it is possible to lock in the reduced emittance of the compensated beam near the minimum value. Further, it has been demonstrated that this technique can be extended beyond the L-band regime to an S-band system⁴ which in general has higher accelerating gradients within the rf gun.

In this paper we continue to explore this emittance compensation technique by applying it to an rf gun–focusing solenoid–superconducting linac system (see Fig. 1). We examine two possible rf guns: a $1\frac{1}{2}$ cell BNL type 1300 MHz rf gun and a 5200 MHz rf gun. Table 1 gives design parameters for the two rf guns. For the linac section we model two TESLA 9-cell cryomodules which, when run with average accelerating gradients of 10 MV/m, are capable of boosting the beam energy by 20 MeV. An important advantage to using superconducting linac sections, aside from the high duty factor, is that the larger apertures of the accelerating structures greatly reduce possible emittance growth due to wake field effects acting on the beam. This has been shown to be potentially harmful to the electron beam when an S-band traveling wave linac is used during the acceleration stage⁵.

Table 1. Parameters of the modeled rf guns

RF frequency [MHz]	1300	5200
RF Peak Power [MW]	6.9	7.7
Field on cathode [MV/m]	60	180
Radius of aperture [cm]	2.2	.55
Radius of nose [cm]	2.2	.55
First cell length [cm]	5.765	1.45
Second cell length [cm]	13.53	2.90
Gun Exit Energy, MeV	5.4	4.6

BEAM DYNAMICS

A bright beam at the exit of an rf gun does not assure an equivalent beam at the final focus. The transport of the beam in conjunction with space-charge forces must be an integral part of the study. It has been pointed out in the previous section, that the interplay of space-charge forces with solenoidal focusing forces can lead to a reduction of the transverse emittance inside the linac. Details of the dynamics of this emittance compensation have already been given in references 4 and 5.

Our studies have been carried out by modeling the injection system with the $2\frac{1}{2}$ -d simulation code PARMELA⁶. The optimization procedure incorporates the four principle elements of the system: an rf-photocathode gun, a solenoid pair, drift sections, and the linac sections.

The parameter space for this system is complex. We have chosen to keep some variables fixed while we vary others. We vary the radius and bunch length of the

RF - GUN WITH TWO TESLA CRYOMODULES




Figure 1: Schematic showing a general layout of an rf gun—focusing solenoid— superconducting linac system.




Figure 2: Invariant transverse emittance ϵ_n vs. the distance of the electron bunch from the cathode for the cases of an L-band (1300 MHz) rf gun and a C-band (5200 MHz) rf gun.

initial electron beam as well as the time of launch relative to the rf phase. The bunch charge is fixed at 1 nC, the length of the solenoid is fixed at 15 cm, and the average accelerating gradient of the linac sections is set at 10 MV/m. The distance from the cathode to the linac entrance is varied as is the position of the focusing solenoid relative to the cathode. In general, it is found that the solenoid needs to be closer to the cathode when the accelerating gradient within the rf gun is low. A uniform beam distribution is used as it offers significant advantages over Gaussian distributions in terms of minimizing the final beam emittance. Parameters ranges used in this analysis are shown in table 2.

Table 2. Parameters used in the PARMELA simulations for axially symmetric $1 \frac{1}{2}$ -cell guns in a π -mode configuration with a solenoid pair.

Field on cathode [MV/m]	40 to 300
Initial phase [degree]	20 to 70
Laser spot size ^a [cm]	0.05 to 0.4
Laser pulse width ^a [ps]	5 to 20
Charge [nC]	1
Solenoidal field B_0 [kG]	1.5-5.0
Solenoid length [cm]	15.0

^aUniform profile

We show in Fig. 2 solutions for delivering a 1 nC beam through the linac. The L-band rf gun result assumes a peak axial electric field of 60 MV/m. It is of particular interest that the emittance compensation technique continues to work for the C-band rf gun operating with peak axial electric fields at 180 MV/m.

We explore this effect further by obtaining the minimum transverse emittances achievable when operating the rf gun with different accelerating gradients. The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 3. Both rf guns exhibit a strong upturn of emittance at lower accelerating gradients showing that it is advantageous to apply high accelerating gradients at the electron source. These high gradients reduce the development of emittance growth but do not seriously detract from the ability to compensate for the emittance growth that does occur.

CONCLUSIONS

We have verified that the emittance growth resulting from space-charge induced phase-space dilution can be compensated when an L-band rf gun is incorporated into an inline-injection system. In addition, we have shown that this compensation works as well or better when higher accelerating gradients are introduced into the rf gun. Unfortunately, the application of high gradients in an L-band gun is limited by Kilpatrick scaling⁷ to axial accelerating fields on the order of 60 MV/m. However, we have shown that it is still possible to achieve good emittance compensation at higher frequencies where higher accelerating gradients are attainable.




Figure 3: Invariant transverse emittance ϵ_n vs. the cathode peak electric field for the cases of an L-band (1300 MHz) and a C-band (5200 MHz) rf gun

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-ACO2-76-CH00016.

REFERENCES

1. J.S. Fraser et al, *Photocathodes in Accelerator Applications*, Proceedings of the 1987 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, Washington D.C., E. Lindstrom and L.S. Taylor eds. (1987) p. 1705.
2. K. Batchelor, H. Kirk, J. Sheehan, M. Woodle, K. McDonald, *Development of a High-brightness Electron Gun for the Accelerator Test Facility at Brookhaven National Laboratory*, Proceedings of the 1988 European Particle Accelerator Conference, Rome, Italy, (1988).
3. B. Carlsten, *New photoelectric injector design for the Los Alamos National Laboratory XUV Fel accelerator*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. **A285** (1989) 313.
4. J. Gallardo and H. Kirk, *An injection scheme for the Brookhaven ATF utilizing space-charge emittance growth compensation*, Proceedings of the 1993 Particle Accelerator Conference (1993) 3615.
5. J. Gallardo and H. Kirk, *Optimization of the Brookhaven ATF Inline-injection System Utilizing PARMELA*, Submitted to the Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Advanced Accelerator Concepts, Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, July 12-18, 1994.
6. L. Young, private communication.
7. W.D. Kilpatrick, *Criterion for Sparking Designed to Include both RF and DC*, Review of Scientific Instruments, **28**, p. 824, 1957.