

## DISTRIBUTION SHEET

To	From	Page 1 of 1
Distribution	J. W. Osborne	Date May 5, 1995
Project Title/Work Order		EDT No. 159095
Tank 241-C-108 Vapor Sampling and Analysis Tank Characterization Report (WHC-SD-WM-ER-423)		ECN No.

Name	MSIN	Text With All Attach.	Text Only	Attach./Appendix Only	EDT/ECN Only
<u>RL</u>					
S. O. Branch	S7-54	X			
C. R. Briggs	A5-55	X			
P. R. Hernandez	S7-54	X			
M. F. Jarvis	S7-54	X			
T. Noble	S7-54	X			
C. O. Olaiya	S7-54	X			
J. F. Thompson	S7-54	X			
<u>ECOLOGY</u>					
A. B. Stone	B5-18	X			
<u>MACTEC</u>					
J. P. Haney	S7-73	X			
S. T. Murff	S7-73	X			
<u>PNL</u>					
J. S. Fruchter	K6-96	X			
S. C. Goheen	P8-08	X			
J. L. Huckaby	K6-80	X			
M. W. Ligothe	P7-59	X			
<u>WHC</u>					
Central Files (2)	L8-04	X			
H. Babad	S7-30	X			
D. R. Bratzel	S7-31	X			
D. R. Carls	R3-01	X			
R. J. Cash	S7-15	X			
G. T. Dukelew	S7-15	X			
S. J. Eberlein	R2-12	X			
L. F. Ermold	S7-84	X			
E. R. Hewitt	R3-01	X			
G. D. Johnson	S7-15	X			
T. J. Kelley	S7-30	X			
N. W. Kirch	R2-11	X			
J. G. Kristofzski	T6-06	X			
J. W. Lentsch	S7-15	X			
E. J. Lipke	S7-15	X			
N. G. McDuffie	S7-15	X			
J. E. Meacham	S7-15	X			
R. D. Mitchell	R3-01	X			
M. A. Payne	S7-84	X			
D. A. Turner	S7-15	X			
O.S.T.I. (2)	L8-07	X			

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

5

Sta. 4

MAY 10 1995

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1  
1. EDT 159095

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Distribution	3. From: (Originating Organization) Tank Vapor Characterization Program	4. Related EDT No.: N/A
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: Characterization	6. Cog. Engr.: J. W. Osborne	7. Purchase Order No.: N/A
8. Originator Remarks: N/A		9. Equip./Component No.: N/A
		10. System/Bldg./Facility: N/A
11. Receiver Remarks: N/A		12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A
		13. Permit/Permit Application No.: N/A
		14. Required Response Date: N/A

15. DATA TRANSMITTED					(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	Approval Designator	Reason for Transmittal	Originator Disposition	Receiver Disposition
1	WHC-SD-WM-ER-423	N/A	0	Tank 241-C-108 Vapor Sampling and Analysis Tank Characterization Report	N/A	2	1	1

16. KEY		
Approval Designator (F) E, S, Q, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-5, Sec.12.7)	Reason for Transmittal (G) 1. Approval 2. Release 3. Information 4. Review 5. Post-Review 6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)	Disposition (H) & (I) 1. Approved 2. Approved w/comment 3. Disapproved w/comment 4. Reviewed no/comment 5. Reviewed w/comment 6. Receipt acknowledged

17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)															
(G)	(H)	(J) Name (K) Signature (L) Date (M) MSIN						(J) Name (K) Signature (L) Date (M) MSIN						(G)	(H)
Reason	Disp.													Reason	Disp.
1	/	Cog. Eng. J. W. Osborne <i>J. W. Osborne</i> 5-9-95													
1	/	Cog. Mgr. T. J. Kelley <i>T. J. Kelley</i> 5-9-95													
		QA													
		Safety													
		Env.													

18. <i>J. W. Osborne</i> 5-9-95 Signature of EDT Originator Date	19. _____ Authorized Representative Date for Receiving Organization	20. <i>T. J. Kelley</i> 5/9/95 Cognizant Manager Date	21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No. <input type="checkbox"/> Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Approved w/comments <input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved w/comments
---	--	--	--

## RELEASE AUTHORIZATION

**Document Number:** WHC-SD-WM-ER-423, REV 0

**Document Title:** Tank 241-C-108 Vapor Sampling and Analysis Tank  
Characterization Report


**Release Date:** 5/10/95

**This document was reviewed following the  
procedures described in WHC-CM-3-4 and is:**

**APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE**

**WHC Information Release Administration Specialist:**

May 10, 1995

  
Kara M. Broz

**TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER.** Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy. Available in paper copy and microfiche. Printed in the United States of America. Available to the U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors from:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831  
Telephone: (615) 576-8401

Available to the public from: U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Technical Information Service (NTIS)  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
Telephone: (703) 487-4650

**SUPPORTING DOCUMENT** 1. Total Pages 17

2. Title  
Tank 241-C-108 Vapor Sampling and Analysis Tank Characterization Report

3. Number  
WHC-SD-WM-ER-423

4. Rev No.  
0

5. Key Words  
241-C-108, headspace vapor samples, organic analytes, VSS, SUMMA™, inorganic gases and vapors

6. Author  
Name: J. L. Huckaby  
Signature *J. L. Huckaby*  
Organization/Charge Code  
Tank Vapor Characterization Program/ N4A B1 / W75600

7. Abstract  
Tank 241-C-108 headspace gas and vapor samples were collected and analyzed to help determine the potential risks to tank farm workers due to fugitive emissions from the tank. The drivers and objectives of waste tank headspace sampling and analysis are discussed in "Program Plan for the Resolution of Tank Vapor Issues" (Osborne and Huckaby 1994). Tank 241-C-108 was vapor sampled in accordance with "Data Quality Objectives for Generic In-Tank Health and Safety Issue Resolution (Osborne et al., 1994).

**DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

8. RELEASE STAMP

OFFICIAL RELEASE: **5**  
BY WHC  
DATE **MAY 10 1995**  
*sta. 4*

## TANK 241-C-108 VAPOR SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS TCR CHAPTER

## X.0 HEADSPACE GAS AND VAPOR SAMPLES

Tank C-108 headspace gas and vapor samples were collected and analyzed to help determine the potential risks to tank farm workers due to fugitive emissions from the tank. The drivers and objectives of waste tank headspace sampling and analysis are discussed in *Program Plan for the Resolution of Tank Vapor Issues* (Osborne and Huckaby 1994). Tank C-108 was vapor sampled in accordance with *Data Quality Objectives for Generic In-Tank Health and Safety Issue Resolution* (Osborne et al. 1994).

## X.1 SAMPLING EVENT

Headspace gas and vapor samples were collected from tank C-108 using the vapor sampling system (VSS) on August 5, 1994 by WHC Sampling and Mobile Laboratories (WHC 1995). Sample collection and analysis were performed as directed by the sample and analysis plan (WHC 1995, Appendix A). The tank headspace temperature was determined to be 25 °C. Air from the tank C-108 headspace was withdrawn via a 7.9 m-long heated sampling probe mounted in riser 4, and transferred via heated tubing to the VSS sampling manifold. All heated zones of the VSS were maintained at approximately 50 °C.

Sampling media were prepared and analyzed by WHC, Oak Ridge National Laboratories (ORNL), Pacific Northwest Laboratories (PNL), and Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology (OGIST) through a contract with Sandia National Laboratories (SNL).

The 39 tank air samples and 2 ambient air control samples collected are listed in Table X-1 by analytical laboratory. Table X-1 also lists the 18 trip blanks provided by the laboratories. A general description of vapor sampling and sample analysis methods is given by Huckaby (1994). The sampling equipment, sample collection sequence, sorbent trap sample air flow rates and flow times, chain of custody information, and a discussion of the sampling event itself are given in WHC 1995 and references therein.

## X.2 INORGANIC GASES AND VAPORS

Analytical results of sorbent trap and SUMMA<sup>TM,1</sup> canister tank air samples for selected inorganic gases and vapors are given in Table X-2 in parts per million by volume (ppmv). Inorganic analyte sorbent traps were prepared and analyzed by PNL. SUMMA<sup>TM</sup> canisters were analyzed for inorganic analytes by OGIST. Reports by PNL (Lucke et al. 1995) and SNL/OGIST (Rasmussen 1994) describe sample preparation and analyses.

---

<sup>1</sup> SUMMA is a trademark of Molectrics, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio.

The small relative standard deviations of the results, given in the last column in Table X-2, indicate the precision of reported results is good. Relative standard deviations range from 0.3 % for nitrous oxide results, to 30 % for carbon monoxide results. The larger relative standard deviation of the carbon monoxide results is due to the fact that it is near the analytical method's limit of quantitation. The precision reported depends both on sampling parameters (e.g., sample flow rate and flow time for sorbent traps) and analytical parameters (e.g., sample preparation, dilutions, etc.), and the small relative standard deviations suggest a high degree of control was maintained both in the field and in the laboratories.

### X.2.1 Ammonia, Hydrogen, and Nitrous Oxide

The reported ammonia concentration, 2.7 ppmv, is lower than the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) 8-hr recommended exposure limit (REL) of 25 ppmv (NIOSH 1995). Ammonia concentrations have typically been observed to be higher than this level in the waste tank headspaces. The relatively low ammonia concentration in tank C-108 may be related to the fact that only a small quantity of relatively cool waste is stored in tank C-108.

Hydrogen and nitrous oxide are commonly detected gases in the waste tanks. Believed to be products of chemical reactions and radiolysis of the waste, they have been found above the 1 ppmv level in virtually all the tank headspaces sampled to date. In general, hydrogen is of concern as a fuel. The measured 15.3 ppmv of hydrogen in tank C-108, however, represents only about 0.04 % of the lower flammability limit (LFL) for hydrogen in air, and is not a flammability concern at this level. The nitrous oxide concentration in tank C-108, 344 ppmv, is almost 14 times the NIOSH 8-hr REL of 25 ppmv (NIOSH 1995).

### X.2.2 Carbon Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide

The average measured headspace carbon dioxide concentration, 16.3 ppmv, is markedly lower than normal ambient air concentrations of about 400 ppmv. Little data on waste tank headspace carbon dioxide concentrations is available, but lower than ambient concentrations are expected. Carbon dioxide introduced by air exchange with the atmosphere is readily absorbed by caustic supernatant and interstitial liquids of the waste tanks, and converted to carbonate in solution. It is reasonable to expect the level of carbon dioxide in a tank headspace will therefore depend on the tank's breathing rate, and the pH and surface area of aqueous waste (i.e., supernate, interstitial liquid, and condensate) in the tank. For comparison, the carbon dioxide concentrations of the cascaded tanks BY-104, BY-105, and BY-106 are 10.5 ppmv, 94 ppmv, and 47.6 ppmv, respectively (Rasmussen 1994b, 1994c, 1994d).

Carbon monoxide in the tank C-108 headspace, at about 0.10 ppmv, is about the same as in ambient air, where it typically ranges from 0.05 to 0.15 ppmv. Elevated waste tank headspace carbon monoxide concentrations are common (e.g., carbon monoxide concentration in tank C-103 was 26.7 ppmv, Huckaby and Story 1994), and are thought to be due to the decomposition of organic waste in the

tanks. The relatively low carbon monoxide in tank C-108 may be due to the fact that the tank has a relatively small, cool waste inventory.

### X.2.3 Nitric Oxide, Nitrogen Dioxide, Water and Tritium

Nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide concentrations in the tank C-108 headspace were determined to be 0.24 ppmv and  $\leq 0.04$  ppmv, respectively. These are both acid gases that would have very low equilibrium concentrations above the high pH sludge in tank C-108. The measurable presence of nitric oxide may be due to its formation from oxygen and nitrogen in the radiation field of the headspace. The NIOSH 8-hr REL is 25 ppmv for nitric oxide, and the 15-minute short term exposure limit (STEL) for nitrogen dioxide is 1 ppmv.

The water vapor concentration of tank C-108 was determined to be about 17.5 mg/L, at the tank headspace temperature of 25 °C and pressure of 990 mbar (743 torr), (WHC 1995). This corresponds to water vapor partial pressure of 24.1 mbar (18.1 torr), to a dew point of 20.5 °C, and to a relative humidity of 76 %.

Tritium was tested for using silica gel sorbent traps. It is assumed that tritium produced by the waste combines with hydroxide ions to form tritium-substituted water. Evaporation of the tritium-substituted water would then result in airborne radioactive contamination. Silica gel sorbent traps adsorb virtually all (normal and tritium-substituted) water vapor from the sampled tank air, and are analyzed at the WHC 222-S laboratory. Analysis of the silica gel, which would have trapped approximately 20 mg of water vapor, indicated the total activity of the sample to be below the method detection limit of 50 pCi (WHC 1995).

## X.3 ORGANIC VAPORS

Organic vapors in the tank C-108 headspace were sampled using SUMMA™ canisters, which were analyzed at PNL, and triple sorbent traps (TSTs), which were analyzed by ORNL. None of the positively or tentatively identified organic analytes were at or above levels of concern. Both laboratories used gas chromatography and mass spectrometry to separate, identify, and quantitate the analytes. Descriptions of sample device cleaning, sample preparations, and analyses are given by Jenkins et al. (1994) and Lucke et al. (1995). A quantitative measurement of the total organic vapor concentration by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) task order 12 (TO-12) method was also performed by OGIST (EPA 1988, Rasmussen 1994a).

### X.3.1 Positively Identified Organic Analytes

ORNL positively identified and quantitated 17 of 27 analytes selected by WHC, (10 analytes were below detection limits). These analytes, and their average concentrations from the analysis of 5 TSTs, are given in Table X-3. The 27 TST target analytes for tank C-108 were based on the tank C-103 target analytes, which were selected by a PNL panel of toxicology experts as being of



potential toxicological concern (Mahlum et al. 1994). Of the 17 analytes positively identified by ORNL, only acetone was within the calibration range of the method. The other 16 positively identified analytes were at concentrations lower than the calibration range, and their concentrations should be considered to be estimated.

Also given in Table X-3 are the organic compounds positively identified and quantitated in SUMMA™ canister samples by PNL and OGIST. PNL performed analyses according to the EPA TO-14 methodology (EPA 1988, Lucke et al. 1995). Only 2 of the 40 TO-14 analytes were observed to be above the 0.002 ppmv quantitation limit of the analyses (Lucke et al. 1995 provide the complete TO-14 analyte list), and 1 of these analytes, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, is thought to be a contaminant of analysis. The results for methane are those of OGIST (Rasmussen 1994a). Averages reported are from analyses of 3 SUMMA™ canister samples except where noted.

Three target analytes were common to both the ORNL and PNL analyses: dichloromethane, benzene, and toluene. Neither ORNL nor PNL detected dichloromethane. ORNL detected trace amounts of benzene and toluene, but these were both below the limit of detection of PNL (0.002 ppbv).

The 2 most abundant analytes in Table X-3 are methane and acetone. At 0.67 ppmv, the methane concentration in tank C-108 is at about the level as ambient air. Elevated methane concentrations have been observed in other waste tank headspaces, and methane is probably formed during the chemical and radiolytic degradation of organic wastes. Acetone, at 0.018 ppmv, presents virtually no flammable or toxicological risks.

### X.3.2 Tentatively Identified Organic Analytes

In addition to targeted analytes, both ORNL and PNL analytical procedures allow the tentative identification of other organic vapors. By the nature of the samples and their analysis, virtually all 3 to 15 carbon organic compounds present in the tank headspace above analytical detection limits are observable. The PNL list of tentatively identified compounds, with estimated concentrations, is given in Table X-4, and the ORNL list of tentatively identified compounds, and their estimated concentrations, is given in Table X-5. Estimated concentrations are in mg/m<sup>3</sup>, based on dry air at 0 °C and 1.01 bar.

Both ORNL and PNL tentatively identify analytes by comparing the MS molecular fragmentation patterns with a library of known MS fragmentation patterns. This method allows an organic analyte to be identified (with reasonable certainty) as an alkane, a ketone, an aldehyde, etc., and also determines its molecular weight (which specifies the number of carbon atoms in the molecule). The method usually does not, however, allow the unambiguous identification of structural isomers, and this ambiguity increases with analyte molecular weight. Entries in Table X-5, particularly near the bottoms of the table where the analytes have higher molecular weights, illustrate this.

The PNL and ORNL methods used to tentatively identify and estimate concentrations are described by Jenkins et al. (1994) and Lucke et al. (1995), respectively, and should be reviewed before this data is used for decision making. Results in Tables X-4 and X-5 are presented in terms of observed peaks, and are not adjusted for the occurrence of split chromatographic peaks (e.g., Cmpd # 30 and 32 in Table X-5). In these instances, the estimated concentration of a compound appearing as a doublet or triplet is simply the sum of the individual peak estimates.

Concentrations given in Tables X-4 and X-5 should be considered rough estimates. The proper quantitation of all observed analytes is outside the scope and budget of these analyses, and the estimation of concentrations involves several important assumptions. The validity of each assumption depends on the analyte, and such factors as the specific configuration of the analytical instrumentation.

### X.3.3 Total Nonmethane Organic Compounds

OGIST measured the total nonmethane organic compound (TNMOC) concentration in 3 SUMMA™ canister samples using the EPA TO-12 method (Rasmussen 1994a). The sample mean was 0.35 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, with a standard deviation of 0.02 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Though data on other tanks is very limited, this value is very low compared to most other waste tanks sampled to date. For comparison, the TNMOC concentration in clean ambient air may range from 0.030 to 0.100 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

### X.3.4 Discussion of Organic Analytes

In general, the organic analytes observed in the waste tank headspaces are indicative of the types of organic waste that have been stored in each tank. Examination of the data provides clues to both the current organic constituents and the chemical reactions that they undergo.

Some of the compounds listed in Tables X-3, X-4, and X-5 were introduced to the tank with process waste streams, and are detected in the headspace because the original inventory has not been completely evaporated or degraded. Examples of these are tributyl phosphate, which was used as an extractant in several Hanford processes; dibutyl butylphosphonate, which was a contaminant of tributyl phosphate; and the semivolatile normal paraffinic hydrocarbons (NPHs), (i.e., n-undecane, n-dodecane, n-tridecane, and n-pentadecane) that were used as a diluent for tributyl phosphate.

Notably absent from the tank C-108 headspace are the semivolatile cyclic alkanes (e.g., methylated decahydronaphthalenes, cyclopentanes, and cyclohexanes) that have been observed in the 241-BY tank farm. This suggests that, like tank C-103, the semivolatile organic waste in tank C-108 may be from the Plutonium Uranium Extraction (PUREX) process, which in the late 1960's used a relatively pure form of semivolatile NPHs as a process diluent.

Most of the compounds in Tables X-3, X-4, and X-5 are believed to be chemical reaction and radiolytic reaction products of the semivolatile or nonvolatile

organic waste stored in the tank. For example, 1-butanol is known to be formed by the hydrolysis of tributyl phosphate, and it has been suggested that the alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, nitriles, alkenes, and short chain alkanes are all degradation products of NPHs.

There is an apparent correlation between acetone and 1-butanol in the waste tank headspaces, and tanks which have higher than average organic vapor concentrations tend to have both high acetone and high 1-butanol concentrations. In tank C-108, however, the 1-butanol concentration is only about 3 % of the acetone concentration.

Examination of the compounds listed in Tables X-3, X-4 and X-5 suggests many of the volatile species (presumed to be degradation products of the NPHs) have functional groups on the molecule's first or second carbon atom. For example, most alkenes listed have their double bond between the first and second carbon atoms, and ketones generally have the double bonded oxygen atom on the second carbon atom.

Though their concentrations are not significant, many alcohols and acids were tentatively identified by ORNL (Table X-5). These have generally not been observed to be as numerous in other NPH-rich tank headspaces, which tend to be dominated by aldehydes, ketones, and alkenes.

Table X-1  
Tank C-108 Gas and Vapor Sample Type and Number

Laboratory	Sampling Device	Nominal Sample Volume (L)	Target Analytes	Number of Samples
Oak Ridge National Laboratories	Triple Sorbent Trap	2.0 and 4.0	Organic vapors	8 tank air samples + 6 trip blanks
Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology	SUMMA™ canister	6.0	Hydrogen, Nitrous Oxide, Carbon Dioxide, Carbon Monoxide	3 tank air samples
Pacific Northwest Laboratories	Acidified Carbon Sorbent Trap	3.0	Ammonia	6 tank air samples + 3 trip blanks
	Triethanolamine Sorbent Trap	3.0	Nitrogen Dioxide	6 tank air samples + 3 trip blanks
	Oxidation bed + Triethanolamine Sorbent Trap	3.0	Nitric Oxide	6 tank air samples + 3 trip blanks
	Silica Gel Sorbent Trap	3.0	Water vapor	6 tank air samples + 3 trip blanks
	SUMMA™ canister	6.0	Organic vapors	3 tank air samples + 2 ambient air samples
WHC 222-S Laboratory	Silica Gel Sorbent Trap	1.0	Tritium-Substituted Water Vapor	1 tank air sample

Table X-2  
Tank C-108 Inorganic Gas and Vapor Concentrations

Compound	CAS <sup>1</sup> Number	Sample Type	Number of samples	Average (ppmv)	Standard Deviation (ppmv)	RSD <sup>2</sup> (%)
Ammonia, NH <sub>3</sub>	7664-41-7	Sorbent Trap <sup>3</sup>	6	2.7	0.3	11
Carbon Dioxide, CO <sub>2</sub>	124-38-9	SUMMA <sup>TM,4</sup>	3	16.3	1.5	9
Carbon Monoxide, CO	630-08-0	SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	3	0.10	0.03	30
Hydrogen, H <sub>2</sub>	1333-74-0	SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	3	15.3	1.2	8
Nitric Oxide, NO	10102-43-9	Sorbent Trap	6	0.24	0.01	4
Nitrogen Dioxide, NO <sub>2</sub>	10102-44-0	Sorbent Trap	6	≤ 0.04	--	--
Nitrous Oxide, N <sub>2</sub> O	10024-97-2	SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	3	344	1	0.3
Water Vapor, H <sub>2</sub> O	7732-18-5	Sorbent Trap	6	24,300 (17.5 mg/L)	2,100 (1.5 mg/L)	10

1. CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service.
2. RSD = relative standard deviation.
3. Sorbent trap results by PNL (Lucke et al. 1994).
4. SUMMA<sup>TM</sup> canister results by OGIST (Rasmussen 1994a).

Table X-3  
 Tank C-108 Positively Identified Organic Compound Average Concentrations

Compound	CAS <sup>1</sup> Number	Sample Type	Average (ppmv)	Standard Deviation (ppmv)	RSD <sup>2</sup> (%)
Methane	74-82-8	SUMMA <sup>TM,3,4</sup>	0.67	0.01	0.1
Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4	SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	0.0095	0.00014	2
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane <sup>5</sup>	76-13-1	SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	0.0087	0.00060	7
Ethanenitrile <sup>6</sup> (acetonitrile)	75-05-8	TST <sup>7</sup>	0.0052	0.0032	61
Propanone (acetone)	67-64-1	TST	0.018	0.019	110
n-Hexane <sup>6</sup>	110-54-3	TST	0.00029	0.00064	224
Benzene <sup>6</sup>	71-43-2	TST SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	0.00038 <0.002	0.00030 --	79 --
1-Butanol <sup>6</sup>	71-36-3	TST	0.00049	0.00060	121
n-Heptane <sup>6</sup>	142-82-5	TST	0.00013	0.00030	224
Toluene <sup>6</sup>	108-88-3	TST SUMMA <sup>TM</sup>	0.00024 <0.002	0.00047 --	192 --
2-Hexanone <sup>6</sup>	591-78-6	TST	0.00012	0.00024	193
n-Octane <sup>6</sup>	111-65-9	TST	0.00014	0.00028	201
2-Heptanone <sup>6</sup>	110-43-0	TST	0.00016	0.00028	174
n-Nonane <sup>6</sup>	111-84-2	TST	0.00021	0.00028	136
Octanenitrile <sup>6</sup>	124-12-9	TST	0.000012	0.000026	224
Nonanenitrile <sup>6</sup>	2243-27-8	TST	0.000081	0.000077	95

WHC-SD-WM-ER-423 REV. 0

n-Dodecane <sup>6</sup>	112-40-3	TST	0.00053	0.00058	110
n-Tridecane <sup>6</sup>	629-50-5	TST	0.0011	0.0011	97
Dibutyl butylphosphonate <sup>6</sup>	75-46-4	TST	0.000008	0.000004	55
Tributyl phosphate <sup>6</sup>	126-73-8	TST	0.000075	0.000069	92
Sum of nonmethane positively identified compounds:			0.045		

1. CAS = Chemical Abstract Service.
2. RSD = relative standard deviation.
3. Methane analyses by OGIST (Rasmussen 1994a), all other SUMMA<sup>TM</sup> canister results by PNL (Lucke et al. 1994).
4. SUMMA<sup>TM</sup> canister results based on analyses of 3 samples.
5. Suspect contamination.
6. Two or more samples fell outside of calibration range.
7. TST results are based on analyses of 5 samples, except where noted.

Table X-4  
 Tank C-108 Tentatively Identified Organic Compounds in SUMMA™ Samples

Cmpd #	Compound	CAS <sup>1</sup> Number	Average mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Standard Deviation mg/m <sup>3</sup>
1	Ethanenitrile (acetaldehyde)	75-07-0	0.10	0.02
2	Propanone (acetone)	67-64-1	0.09	0.03
Sum of tentatively identified compounds:			0.19	

1. CAS = Chemical Abstract Service.



Table X-5  
Tan C-108 Tentatively Identified Organic Compounds in TST Samples

Cmpd #	Compound	CAS <sup>1</sup> number	Average (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
1	1-Butene	106-98-9	0.038
2	Methane, trichlorofluoro	75-69-4	0.042
3	Acetic Acid	64-19-7	0.083
4	Acetic Acid	64-19-7	0.038
5	Propanoic acid	79-09-4	0.003
6	Hexanal	66-25-1	0.010
7	Cyclotrisiloxane, hexamethyl	541-05-9	0.026
8	Alkanone		0.012
9	Heptanal	111-71-7	0.009
10	4H-1,2,4-Triazol-3-amine, 4-ethyl	42786-06-1	0.004
11	Ethanol, 2-Butoxy	111-76-2	0.001
12	Cyclobutane, 1,1,2,3,3-pentamethyl	57905-86-9	0.002
13	Cyclotetrasiloxane, octamethyl	556-67-2	0.020
14	Benzene, (1-methylethenyl)-	98-83-9	0.001
15	Octanal	124-13-0	0.016
16	1-Hexanol, 2-Ethyl	104-76-7	0.006
17	1-Octanol	111-87-5	0.004
18	Ethanone, 1-phenyl	98-86-2	0.001
19	Benzenemethanol, a,a-dimethyl	617-94-7	0.006
20	Nonanal	124-19-6	0.018
21	Benzoic acid, 2-[trimethylsilyloxy]-trimeth	3789-85-3	0.002
22	1-Nonanol	143-08-8	0.002
23	Decanal	112-31-2	0.011
24	Benzenamine, N-phenyl	122-39-4	0.001
25	1,3,5,7-Tetraazatricyclo[3.3.1.1 <sup>3,7</sup> ]decane	100-97-0	0.001
26	2,5-Pyrrolidinedione, 1-methyl	1121-07-9	0.001
27	Undecanal	112-44-7	<0.001

WHC-SD-WM-ER-423 REV. 0

28	Decanoic acid	334-48-5	<0.001
29	Alkane		<0.001
30	Butanoic acid, butyl ester and siloxane	109-21-7	<0.001
31	Alkane		0.002
32	Butanoic acid, butyl ester	109-21-7	<0.001
33	n-Tetradecane	629-59-4	0.002
34	Dodecanal	112-54-9	<0.001
35	Mixture		<0.001
36	Decane, 1,1'-oxybis	2456-28-2	<0.001
37	5,9-Undecadio-2-one, 6,10-dimethyl-, (Z)	3879-26-3	0.002
38	2,5-Cyclohexadiene, 1,4-dione, 2,6-bis(1	719-22-2	<0.001
39	Alkanol and alkyl benzene		0.001
40	C12-Alkene		0.003
41	Alkene		<0.001
42	2,5-Cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione, 2,6-bis	719-22-2	0.002
43	n-Hexadecane	544-76-3	0.001
44	Tetradecanoic acid	544-63-8	0.002
45	Decanoic acid	334-48-5	<0.001
46	Dodecanoic acid	143-07-7	0.010
47	n-Tetradecane	629-59-4	<0.001
48	Dodecane, 2-methyl-6-propyl	55045-08-4	0.003
49	Propanoic acid, 2-methyl-1(1,1-dimethyle	74381-40-1	0.017
50	Benzenamine, N-phenyl	122-39-4	0.009
51	Hexadecanamide	629-54-9	0.001
52	N-Hexyl-benzene-sulfonamide		0.003
53	para-T-Butyl Benzoic acid, methyl ester		0.002
54	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, bis-(2ethyl	117-81-7	0.001
55	Octadecanoic acid	57-11-4	0.001
56	Mixture		0.001
57	1-Hexadecanol and others		0.002
58	Mixture (alkane and alkanolic acid)		0.002

WHC-SD-WM-ER-423 REV. 0

59	Mixture		0.002
60	9-Octadecenoic acid, (Z)-	112-80-1	0.003
61	1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,2'-diethyl	13049-35-9	0.001
62	Tetradecanoic acid	544-63-8	0.055
63	Benzenesulfonamide, N-butyl	3622-84-2	0.132
64	Tetradecanoic acid, 12-methyl, (S)	5746-58-7	0.005
65	Cyclohexanol, 1,1'-dioxybis-and others		<0.001
66	Pentadecanoic acid	1002-84-2	0.023
67	C14-Alkene		0.016
68	1-Hexadecanol	36653-82-4	0.012
69	Alkanol		0.001
70	n-Hexadecane	544-76-3	0.001
71	Alkane		0.004
72	9-Hexadecenoic acid	2091-29-4	0.062
73	Hexadecanoic acid	57-10-3	0.120
74	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, butyl 2-me	17851-53-5	0.004
75	Alkanol		<0.001
76	1-Hexadecanol	36653-82-4	0.001
77	1-Hexadecanol, acetate	629-70-9	0.002
78	1-Hexadecanol, 2-methyl	2490-48-4	0.002
79	Hexadecanoic acid	57-10-3	0.002
80	Hexadecanoic acid, 1-methylethyl ester	142-91-6	0.007
<b>Sum of tentatively identified compounds:</b>			<b>0.881</b>

1. CAS = Chemical Abstract Service.

#### X.4 REFERENCES

- EPA 1988, *Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air*, PB90-127374, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Huckaby, J. L., 1994, *Tank Characterization Reference Guide (WHC-SD-WM-TI-648, Rev. 0)*, (internal memorandum 74230-94-016 to C. S. Haller, December 20), Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Huckaby, J. L., and M. S. Story, 1994, *Vapor Characterization of Tank 241-C-103*, WHC-EP-0780 Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Jenkins, R. A., A. B. Dindal, C. E. Higgins, C. Y. Ma, and J. T. Skeen, 1994, *Analysis of Tank 241-C-108 Headspace Components*, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Lucke, R. B., M. W. Ligothke, K. H. Pool, T. W. Clauss, A. K. Sharma, B. D. McVeety, M. McCulloch, J. S. Fruchter, and S. C. Goheen, 1995, *Vapor Space Characterization of Waste Tank 241-C-108: Results from Samples Collected Through the Vapor Sampling System on 8/5/94*, PNL-xxxxx UC-606, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Mahlum, D. D., J. Y. Young, and R. E. Weller, 1994, *Toxicologic Evaluation of Analytes from Tank 231-C-103*, PNL-10189, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- NIOSH 1995, *NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards*, U.S. Department of Health and Human Resources, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Osborne, J. W., and J. L. Huckaby, 1994, *Program Plan for the Resolution of Tank Vapor Issues*, WHC-EP-0562 Rev. 1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Osborne, J. W., J. L. Huckaby, T. P. Rudolph, E. R. Hewitt, D. D. Mahlum, J. Y. Young, C. M. Anderson, 1994, *Data Quality Objectives for Generic In-Tank Health and Safety Issue Resolution*, WHC-SD-WM-DQO-002, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Rasmussen, R. A., 1994a, *Oregon Graduate Institute Vapor Analysis Results, Tank 241-C-108, August 1994*, Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, Beaverton, Oregon.
- Rasmussen, R. A., 1994b, *Air Samples Collected at Waste Tank 241-BY-104 on June 24, 1994 by Westinghouse Hanford in 6-L SS SUMMA® Canisters*, Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, Beaverton, Oregon.

Rasmussen, R. A., 1994c, *Air Samples Collected at Waste Tank 241-BY-105 on July 7, 1994 by Westinghouse Hanford in 6-L SS SUMMA® Canisters*, Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, Beaverton, Oregon.

Rasmussen, R. A., 1994d, *Air Samples Collected at Waste Tank 241-BY-106 on July 8, 1994 by Westinghouse Hanford in 6-L SS SUMMA® Canisters*, Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, Beaverton, Oregon.

WHC 1995, *Vapor and Gas Sampling of Single-Shell Tank 241-C-108 Using the Vapor Sampling System*, WHC-SD-WM-RPT-110, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.