

XA9641628

IC/95/381

**INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR
THEORETICAL PHYSICS**

A MIN-MAX VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

Pando Gr. Georgiev



**INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY**



**UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

VOL 27 No 1-3
7 13

MIRAMARE-TRIESTE

International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

A MIN-MAX VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

Pando Gr. Georgiev¹
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

November 1995

¹Permanent address: Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Sofia,
5 James Bourchier Blvd., 1126 Sofia, Bulgaria.

In this paper we prove a variational principle for min-max problems, which is of the same spirit as Deville-Godefroy-Zizler's variational principle [D-G-Z] for minimization problems. We present a localization theorem in which we localize min-max points for the perturbed function with respect to a given ε -min-max point. This theorem allows to prove in a new way (not by mountain-pass techniques) existence of Palais-Smale sequences, which are near to a given optimizing sequence with respect to some subspaces.

About abstract variational principles we refer to [Ph].

Notations and definitions.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $g : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function. We denote by $B(x; r)$ (resp. $\bar{B}[x; r]$) - the open (resp. closed) ball with center x and radius r .

The point $x_0 \in X \subset E$ is said to be a strong minimum point (resp. strong maximum point) of the function g over the subset X of E , if $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ whenever $g(x_n) \rightarrow \inf g(X)$ (resp. $g(x_n) \rightarrow \sup g(X)$).

If T_1, T_2 are topological spaces and $f : T_1 \times T_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function, then the (inf - sup) problem (f, T_1, T_2) : find $x_0 \in T_1$ and $y_0 \in T_2$ such that

$$f(x_0, y_0) = \max_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) = \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) =: c$$

is called well posed in sense of Kenderov-Lucchetti (see [K-L]), if every optimizing sequence (x_n, y_n) (i.e. $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\sup_{y \in Y} f(x_n, y) \rightarrow c$) converges to (x_0, y_0) .

A function $b : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to be a bump function, if the set $\text{suppb} := \{x \in E : b(x) \neq 0\}$ is bounded.

Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach spaces, G and H be Banach spaces of bounded continuous functions on E_1 and E_2 respectively, satisfying the following conditions (H1), ..., (H4) with respect to E_1 and E_2 respectively i.e. (we present the conditions with respect to E_1):

(H1) $\|g\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_G \quad \forall g \in G$;

(H2) for every $g \in G$ and $x \in E_1$ the function $\varphi_x g : y \mapsto g(x+y)$ belongs to G and $\|\varphi_x g\|_G = \|g\|_G$;

(H3) for every $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ the function $\psi_\alpha g : y \mapsto g(\alpha y)$ belongs to G and $\|\psi_\alpha g\|_G = |\alpha| \|g\|_G$;

(H4) there exists a bump function in G .

Let, in addition, the functions from G be Lipschitz and from class $C^{1,1}$, i.e. differentiable with locally Lipschitz derivatives.

Let the Banach space $W := G \times H$ be equipped with the "max" norm: $\|(g, h)\| := \max\{\|g\|_G, \|h\|_H\}$.

Theorem 1 Let X and Y be closed non-empty subsets of E_1 and E_2 respectively, $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function, differentiable with respect to the first variable for every $y \in Y$ such that

(a) the functions $\{f'(\cdot, y), y \in Y\}$ are equi-locally Lipschitz;

(b) the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is upper semi-continuous for every $x \in X$;

(c) $\sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) < +\infty \quad \forall x \in X$,

(d) $\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) > -\infty$.

Then there exists a dense G_δ subset $W_0 \subset W$ such that for every $(g, h) \in W_0$ there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ for which

(c) $f_2(x_0, y_0) = \max_{y \in Y} f_2(x_0, y) = \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f_2(x, y)$,

where $f_2(x, y) = f(x, y) + g(x) + h(y)$,

(f) x_0 and y_0 in (e) are strong minimum and strong maximum points respectively for the functions $\sup_{y \in Y} f_2(\cdot, y)$ and $f_2(x_0, \cdot)$.

(g) the inf-sup problem for the function f_2 is well posed in the sense of Kenderov-Lucchetti [K-L].

Proof. For a function $\psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where E is a Banach space, $X \subset E$, define

$$S(X, \psi, \alpha) = \{x \in X : \psi(x) < \inf \psi(X) + \alpha\}$$

and

$$\bar{S}(X, \psi, \alpha) = \{x \in X : \psi(x) \leq \inf \psi(X) + \alpha\}.$$

Define:

$$W_n = \left\{ (g, h) \in G \times H : \exists m > n : \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} & \text{diam} S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1) < 1/m, \\ & \text{for some } \alpha_1 > 0 \text{ and} \\ & \text{diam} S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2) < 1/m \\ & \text{for some } x' \in S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1) \\ & \text{and some } \alpha_2 > \frac{n}{m^2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

We shall show that W_n is dense and open in $G \times H$ and then their intersection would be the desired set W_0 .

Claim 1. W_n is open.

Let $(g_0, h_0) \in W_n$ with corresponding $\alpha_1 > 0, \alpha_2 > 0, x'$ and m . Take $\alpha'_1 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_1$ such that $\alpha_1 - \alpha'_1 = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha'_1}{2}$ and

$$\sup_{y \in Y} (f + g_0 + h_0)(x', y) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g_0 + h_0)(x, y) + \alpha'_1.$$

Take

$$0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \left(\alpha_2 - \frac{n}{m^2} \right) \right) \right\}$$

and let $(g, h) \in W$ be such that $\|(g, h) - (g_0, h_0)\| < \delta$.

By (H1) we have $\|g - g_0\|_\infty < \delta$, and $\|h - h_0\|_\infty < \delta$. If we denote $\varphi_0 := \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g_0 + h_0)(\cdot, y)$, and $\varphi := \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y)$, then we have: $\|\varphi - \varphi_0\|_\infty < 2\delta$.

It is easy to see that

$$S(X, \varphi; \alpha_1) \subset S(X, \varphi; \alpha_1 - 4\delta) \subset S(X, \varphi_0; \alpha_1). \quad (1)$$

Therefore $\text{diam} S(X, \varphi; \alpha_1) < 1/m$.

Let now $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2 - 4\delta$. Then, we see as (1), that

$$S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2) \subset S(Y, -(f + g_0 + h_0)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2)$$

therefore

$$\text{diam} S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2) < 1/m.$$

By the choice of δ and α'_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x', y) &< \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g_0 + h_0)(x', y) + 2\delta < \\ &< \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g_0 + h_0)(x, y) + \alpha'_1 + 2\delta \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x', \cdot) + \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

which means $x' \in S(X, -\sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1)$. Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. The set W_n is dense in W .

Let $(g, h) \in G \times H$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By the hypothesis (H4), G and H contain bump functions b_1 and b_2 respectively. Without loss of generality we may assume that the values of b_1 and b_2 are non-negative (by replacing, for instance, $b_1(x)$ by $\varphi(b_1(x))$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous differentiable). By hypotheses (H2) and (H3), we can assume that $b_1(0) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \text{supp} b_1 \subset B_1(0; 1), \|b_1\|_G < 1, \|b_2\|_H < 1, \text{supp} b_2 \subset B_2(0; 1), b_2(0) > 0$, where $B_1(0; 1)$ and $B_2(0; 1)$ are the open unit balls in E_1 and E_2 respectively. Take

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2m}, \varepsilon_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{8}, m = \frac{2^6 \eta}{\varepsilon b_2(0)}, \alpha_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, \alpha'_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{8}, \alpha_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_2 b_2(0)}{2}.$$

Choose $x' \in X$ and $y' \in Y$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x', y) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x, y) + \alpha'_1$$

and

$$(f + g + h)(x', y') > \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x', y) - \alpha_2.$$

Define $h_1(y) = \varepsilon_2 b_2(2m(y - y'))$ and $g_1(x) = -\varepsilon_1 b_1(2m(x - x'))$.

By (H2) and (H3), $g_1 \in G, h_1 \in H$ and $\|g_1\|_G < \varepsilon, \|h_1\|_H < \varepsilon$. We shall show that

$$x \in S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1) \Rightarrow \|x - x'\| < \frac{1}{2m}. \quad (2)$$

Let $x_1 \in S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1)$ and assume that $\|x_1 - x'\| \geq \frac{1}{2m}$. Then $g_1(x_1) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x_1, y) \\ &= \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h + h_1)(x_1, y) \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x, y) \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x', y) - \alpha'_1 \\ &= \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h)(x', y) - \alpha'_1 + \varepsilon_1 b_1(0) \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x', y) - \alpha'_1 + \varepsilon_1 b_1(0) - \varepsilon_2 \\ &> \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x, y) + \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, and (2) is proved. Therefore

$$\text{diam} S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1) < \frac{1}{m}.$$

We shall prove:

$$y \in S(Y, -(f + g + h + g_1 + h_1)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2) \Rightarrow \|y - y'\| < \frac{1}{2m} \quad (3)$$

Let $y_1 \in S(Y, -(f + g + h + g_1 + h_1)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2)$ and assume that $\|y_1 - y'\| \geq \frac{1}{2m}$. Then $h_1(y_1) = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x', y_1) \\ &= (f + g + g_1 + h)(x', y_1) \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h)(x', y) \\ &< (f + g + g_1 + h)(x', y') + \alpha_2 \\ &= (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x', y') - \varepsilon_2 b_2(0) + \alpha_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x', y) - \alpha_2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, and (3) is proved. Therefore

$$\text{diam}S(Y, -(f + g + h + g_1 + h_1)(x', \cdot); \alpha_2) < \frac{1}{m}.$$

We shall prove that

$$x' \in S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(\cdot, y); \alpha_1). \quad (4)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(x', y) \\ & \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x', y) + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 b_1(0) \\ & < \inf_{r \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(r, y) + \alpha'_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 b_1(0) \\ & \leq \inf_{r \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h)(r, y) + \alpha'_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 b_1(0) \\ & \leq \inf_{r \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + g_1 + h + h_1)(r, y) + \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

and (4) and Claim 2 are proved.

By the Baire category theorem, the set $W_0 := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$ is dense and G_δ in W . Let $(g, h) \in W_0$. By definition of W_n , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m > n, \alpha_{1,m} > 0$ such that

$$\text{diam}S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_{1,m}) < 1/m_n. \quad (5)$$

Therefore

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_{1,n})} = \{x_0\}.$$

Here we used the fact that the function $\sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous, i.e. the set $\overline{S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_{1,n})}$ is closed.

Let $\{x_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence for the function $\sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y)$, i.e.

$$\sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x_k, y) \rightarrow \inf_{r \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(r, y).$$

Then, for every n there exist μ such that for every $k > \mu$ we have $x_k \in \overline{S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_{1,n})}$, therefore $x_k \rightarrow x_0$.

Again by definition of W_n , there exist $x'_n \in S(X, \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(\cdot, y); \alpha_{1,n})$ and $\alpha_{2,n} > \frac{n}{m_n^2}$ such that

$$\text{diam}S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x'_n, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n}) < \frac{1}{m_n}.$$

We shall prove that

$$S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n}/2) \subset S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x'_n, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n}). \quad (6)$$

Let $y' \in S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n}/2)$, $\varphi = f + g + h$. By (5), $\|x'_n - x_0\| < 1/m_n$ and by the mean-value theorem for large n we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x'_n, y') &= \varphi(x_0, y') + (\varphi'(z_n, y'), x'_n - x_0) \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in Y} \varphi(x_0, y) - \alpha_{2,n}/2 - L \|x'_n - x_0\| \|z_n - x_0\| \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in Y} \varphi(x'_n, y) - \sup_{y \in Y} [\varphi(x'_n, y) - \varphi(x_0, y)] - \frac{L}{m_n^2} - \alpha_{2,n}/2 \\ &= \sup_{y \in Y} \varphi(x'_n, y) - \sup_y [\varphi'(z_n, y), x'_n - x_0] - \frac{L}{m_n^2} - \alpha_{2,n}/2 \\ &= \sup_{y \in Y} \varphi(x'_n, y) - L \|x'_n - x_0\| \|z_n, y - x_0\| - \frac{L}{m_n^2} - \alpha_{2,n}/2 \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in Y} \varphi(x'_n, y) - \frac{2L}{m_n^2} - \alpha_{2,n}/2 \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in Y} \varphi(x'_n, y) - \alpha_{2,n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_n \in [x'_n, x_0]$, $z_n, y \in [x'_n, x_0]$ and L is a Lipschitz constant of $\varphi'(\cdot, y)$ on a neighborhood of x_0 .

This means $y' \in S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x'_n, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n})$, and (6) is proved. Therefore

$$\text{diam}S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n}/2) < \frac{1}{m_n}.$$

and

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n})} = \{y_0\}.$$

Here we used the fact that $f(x_0, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous, i.e. $\overline{S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n})}$ is a closed set.

Let $\{y_k\}$ be a maximizing sequence for the function $(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot)$, i.e. $(f + g + h)(x_0, y_k) \rightarrow \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x_0, y)$. Then for every n there exists ν such that for every $k > \nu$ we have $y_k \in S(Y, -(f + g + h)(x_0, \cdot); \alpha_{2,n})$, which implies that $y_k \rightarrow y_0$.

Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ be an optimizing sequence, i.e. $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow c := \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$ and $\sup_{y \in Y} f(x_n, y) \rightarrow c$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then for large n we have

$$|f(x_0, y_n) - c| \leq |f(x_n, y_n) - c| + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$$

therefore $f(x_0, y_n) \rightarrow c$. By (f) we conclude that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_0$. The condition (g) can be verified directly. ■

Theorem 2 (Localization) Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied and let, in addition, the bump functions $b_1 \in G$ and $b_2 \in H$ be such that $b_1(0) = b_2(0) = 1$, $\text{supp}b_1 \subset B_1[0; 1]$, $\text{supp}b_2 \subset B_2[0; 1]$, $b_1(x) \in [0, 1] \quad \forall x \in E_1$, $b_2(y) \in [0, 1] \quad \forall y \in E_2$. Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, $\varepsilon'_2 > \varepsilon_2$ be given and $x' \in X$ and $y' \in Y$ be such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x', y) &< \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) + \varepsilon_1 \\ f(x', y') &> \sup_{y \in Y} f(x', y) - \varepsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let the functions $\{f(\cdot, y) : y \in Y\}$ be equi-Lipschitz in $B(x'; \lambda_1)$ with a Lipschitz constant L and

$$\varepsilon'_2 > \varepsilon_2 + 2\lambda_1 L. \quad (7)$$

Then there exist $g \in G$, $h \in H$, $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$ such that $e)$, $f)$ and $g)$ of Theorem 1 are satisfied and

$$\|x_0 - x'\| < \lambda_1, \quad (8)$$

$$\|g\|_G < \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon'_2}{\lambda_1} \|b_1\|_G, \quad (9)$$

$$\|h\|_H < \frac{\varepsilon'_2}{\lambda_2} \|b_2\|_H, \quad (10)$$

$$\|y_0 - y'\| < \lambda_2. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Take $\varepsilon'_1 < \varepsilon_1$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in Y} f(x', y) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) + \varepsilon'_1.$$

and $\varepsilon''_2 < \varepsilon'_2$ such that $\varepsilon''_2 > \varepsilon_2 + \lambda_1 L$. Put $\varepsilon''_1 = \varepsilon'_1 + \varepsilon''_2$ and define

$$f_1(x, y) = f(x, y) - \varepsilon''_1 b_1\left(\frac{x - x'}{\lambda_1}\right) + \varepsilon''_2 b_2\left(\frac{y - y'}{\lambda_2}\right).$$

Then $f_1(x', y) = f(x', y) - \varepsilon''_1 + \varepsilon''_2 b_2\left(\frac{y - y'}{\lambda_2}\right)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in Y} f_1(x', y) &= \sup_{y \in Y} \left\{ f(x', y) + \varepsilon''_2 b_2\left(\frac{y - y'}{\lambda_2}\right) \right\} - \varepsilon''_1 \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Y} f(x', y) - \varepsilon'_1 < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$\delta_1 := \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) - \sup_{y \in Y} f_1(x', y),$$

we see that $\delta_1 > 0$. By Theorem 1, there exists a function $k(x, y) = k_1(x) + k_2(y)$ such that $k_1 \in G$, $k_2 \in H$.

$$i) \|k_i\| < \min\{\delta_1/4, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2 - \varepsilon''_2, \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1}{\lambda_1} \|b_1\|_G, \frac{\varepsilon'_2 - \varepsilon''_2}{\lambda_2} \|b_2\|_H\}, i = 1, 2$$

$$\text{and } \|k_2\|_H < (\varepsilon''_2 - \varepsilon_2)/2 - \lambda_1 L,$$

ii) $\sup_{y \in Y} (f_1 + k)(\cdot, y)$ attains its strong minimum at x_0 ;

iii) $(f_1 + k)(x_0, \cdot)$ attains its strong maximum at y_0 .

Assume that

$$\frac{x_0 - x'}{\lambda_1} \notin \text{supp}b_1. \quad (12)$$

Then

$$f_1(x_0, y) = f(x_0, y) + \varepsilon''_2 b_2\left(\frac{y - y'}{\lambda_2}\right)$$

and by i) and ii),

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_1/2 &< -2\|k_1\|_G \leq k_1(x_0) - k_1(x') \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Y} (f_1 + k_2)(x', y) - \sup_{y \in Y} (f_1 + k_2)(x_0, y) \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Y} f_1(x', y) + \|k_2\|_H - \sup_{y \in Y} f_1(x_0, y) + \sup_{y \in Y} (-k_2)(y) \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) - \delta_1 - \sup_{y \in Y} \left\{ f(x_0, y) + \varepsilon''_2 b_2\left(\frac{y - y'}{\lambda_2}\right) \right\} + 2\|k_2\|_H \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) - \delta_1 - \sup_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) + 2\|k_2\|_H \\ &\leq 2\|k_2\|_H - \delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$2\|k_2\|_H \geq \delta_1/2$$

a contradiction and (12) is proved, which implies (8).

Define $g(x) = -\varepsilon'_1 b_1\left(\frac{x - x'}{\lambda_1}\right) + k_1(x)$ and $h(y) = \varepsilon''_2 b_2\left(\frac{y - y'}{\lambda_2}\right) + k_2(y)$.

Then

$$\|g\|_G \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_1}{\lambda_1} \|b_1\|_G + \|k_1\|_G < \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon''_2$$

which is (9).

Assume that $\frac{y_0 - y'}{\lambda_2} \notin \text{supp}b_2$. Then by iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|k_2\|_H &\geq k_2(y_0) - k_2(y') \\ &\geq f_1(x_0, y') - f_1(x_0, y_0) = f(x_0, y') + \varepsilon''_2 - f(x_0, y_0) \\ &\geq f(x', y') + \varepsilon''_2 - 2L\|x_0 - x'\| - f(x', y_0) \\ &> \varepsilon''_2 - \varepsilon_2 - 2\lambda_1 L > 2\|k_2\|_H, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

We have

$$\|h\|_H \leq \frac{\varepsilon''_2}{\lambda_2} \|b_2\|_H + \|k_2\|_H < \frac{\varepsilon''_2}{\lambda_2} \|b_2\|_H.$$

The theorem is proved, since $f + g + h = f_1 + k$. ■

Let in the following theorem G , (resp. H) be the Banach space of all differentiable Lipschitz functions on E_1 (resp. on E_2) with locally Lipschitz derivatives, with the norm

$$\|g\|_G = \max_{x \in E_1} \|g(x)\| + \max_{x \in E_1} \|g'(x)\|$$

(the norm in H is defined in analogous way).

Theorem 3 Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied and $\{x'_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for the function $\sup_{y \in Y} f(\cdot, y)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y'_n\} \subset Y$ such that the sequence $\{(x'_n, y'_n)\} \in X \times Y$ is an optimizing sequence, (i.e. $f(x'_n, y'_n) \rightarrow c := \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$ and $\sup_{y \in Y} f(x'_n, y) \rightarrow c$) and there exists an optimizing sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ such that

$$\|x_n - x'_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \|y_n - y'_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$f'_x(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad f'_y(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{2}(\sup_{y \in Y} f(x'_n, y) - c)$, and put $\varepsilon_{n,1} = \varepsilon_{n,2} = \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon'_{n,2} = 2\varepsilon_n$. Then $\varepsilon_n > 0, \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. By Theorem 2 for $\lambda_{n,1} = \lambda_{n,2} = \varepsilon_n^{\frac{3}{2}}$ we obtain that there exist $g_n \in G, \|g_n\|_G < 3\varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $h_n \in H, \|h_n\|_H < 2\varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, there exist $x_n \in B(x'_n; \lambda_{n,1})$ and $y_n \in Y$ such that

$$(f + g + h)(x_n, y_n) = \max_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x_n, y) = \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x, y) \quad (13)$$

By (13) we obtain

$$f'_y(x_n, y_n) = -h'(y_n), \quad \|f'_y(x_n, y_n)\| < \varepsilon_n^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Denote

$$\varphi(x) = \sup_{y \in Y} (f + g + h)(x, y).$$

It is easy to prove that

$$\varphi'(x_n) = f'_x(x_n, y_n) + g'(x_n), \quad (14)$$

due to the fact that y_n is a strong minimum in (13). By (13), $\varphi'(x_n) = 0$, therefore

$$f'_x(x_n, y_n) = -g'(x_n), \quad \|f'_x(x_n, y_n)\| = \|g'(x_n)\|. \quad (15)$$

We shall check condition (7) from Theorem 2. By the mean-value theorem and by the uniform Lipschitz property of the derivatives, for any $y \in Y$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, y) - f(x_n, y)| &= \langle f'(z_n, y), x_n - x \rangle \\ &\leq L \|x_n - x\|^2 \leq L \lambda_{n,1} \|x - x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

where L is a Lipschitz constant of $f'_x(\cdot, y)$ for every $y \in Y$ in a neighborhood of x_n , and $z_n \in [x, x_n]$.

Therefore $L \lambda_{n,1}$ is a uniform Lipschitz constant of $f(\cdot, y), y \in Y$ on $B(x_n; \lambda_{n,1})$, whence for large n we have

$$\varepsilon_{n,2} + 2L \lambda_{n,1}^2 = \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_n^{\frac{3}{2}} < 2\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon'_{n,2},$$

which is condition (7) of Theorem 2. Hence, by (11),

$$\|y_n - y'_n\| \leq \lambda_{n,2}$$

and the theorem is proved. ■

This theorem can be useful for finding critical points, when Palais-Smale condition holds, and when we can find optimizing sequence with respect to some subspaces.

Acknowledgments

This paper was done during my visit to ICTP, Trieste, for which I thank for hospitality.

REFERENCES

[D-G-Z] R.Deville, G.Godefroy, V.Zizler, A smooth variational principle with application to Hamilton-Jacoby equations in infinite dimensions, J. Funct. Anal., 111, 197-212, 1993.

[K-L] Well posed sup-inf problems, preprint.

[Ph] R.R.Phelps, Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, Vol. 1364.