INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

QUASI-INTEGRABILITY AND TWO-DIMENSIONAL QCD

E. Abdalla

and

R. Mohayaee

**INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY**

**UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

MIRAMARE-TRIESTE

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
and
International Atomic Energy Agency
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

QUASI-INTEGRABILITY AND TWO-DIMENSIONAL QCD

E. Abdalla[1]
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy[2]
and
CERN, Theory Division, Switzerland

and

R. Mohayaee[3]
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

The notion of integrability in two-dimensional QCD is discussed. We show that in spite of an infinite number of conserved charges, particle production is not entirely suppressed. This phenomenon, which we call quasi-integrability, is explained in terms of quantum corrections to the combined algebra of higher-conserved and spectrum-generating currents. We predict the qualitative form of particle production probabilities and verify that they are in agreement with numerical data. We also discuss four-dimensional self-dual Yang-Mills theory in the light of our results.

MIRAMARE – TRIESTE
October 1996

[1]Permanent address: Instituto de Física-USP, C.P. 66.318, S. Paulo, Brazil.
[2]E-mail: elcio@ictp.trieste.it
[3]E-mail: mohayaee@ictp.trieste.it

1 Introduction

Major advances in two-dimensional QCD have been made since the pioneering work of 't Hooft [1], who found the mesonic spectrum of the theory in the limit of a large number of colours. In subsequent works, an exact expression for the decay amplitudes of the mesons was found [13, 14]. However, both the 't Hooft equation and the decay amplitudes could only be solved numerically. The initial numerical calculations failed to converge for massless fermions and reliable numerical computations were unavailable until very recently [10]. These new results open challenging ways of testing various aspects of the theory, specifically those concerning integrability.

It has been suggested [3] that massless two-dimensional QCD is an integrable system. It is believed that integrability of a theory implies stability of its bound states. One could, therefore, expect vanishing decay amplitudes for the mesonic states of the theory. The numerical results indicate otherwise. The decay amplitudes, however, are small relative to those obtained for the massive theory.

The main purpose of this article is to resolve this apparent conflict by relating diverse properties of two-dimensional QCD. Specifically, we show that the 't Hooft sector and the integrable sector of the theory are decoupled. In addition, the theory is only *quasi-integrable* in the 't Hooft sector, that is to say that the integrability properties are spoiled by quantum corrections.

We review the known bosonisation procedure in Section 2 and show how the theory can be re-expressed in a fermionic language, by using gauge-invariant chiral fermions. The final re-fermionised action provides a convenient starting point for the analysis of the mesonic spectrum. The significant outcome of this procedure is that the Poisson brackets receive quantum corrections. The conserved Noether currents then satisfy the Kac-Moody algebra only on taking the contribution from the anomalous Poisson bracket into account. In Section 3, we combine the algebra of these conserved currents with that of the fermionic bilinears, which have been shown to generate a W_∞ algebra. We show that, except for the pion, the mesons generated by the above W_∞ algebra are not eigenstates of the Sugawara operator. Conservation of the Sugawara operator indicates the existence of an infinite number of conservation laws. If such conservation laws survive quantization and physical states are eigenstates of the Sugawara operator then the dynamics of the theory is severely constrained. On the other hand, the breakdown of conservation laws by anomalous terms permits the decay of higher states. This explains the small decay rate numerically observed in the massless theory. Based on these results, we make detailed predictions, in Section 4, about various features of the spectrum. In Section 5, we use the recent numerical results to verify our predictions and hence the accuracy of our calculational results.

2 Bosonisation vs Fermionisation

We start with the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi, \tag{1}$$

which describes QCD with massless fermions. In two dimensions, the theory can be bosonised by computing the fermionic determinant which arises in performing the path integration. In the bosonisation procedure one first defines left and right components of the gauge field in terms of the matrix-valued fields U and V, i.e.,

$$A_+ = \frac{i}{e}U^{-1}\partial_+ U \quad \text{and} \quad A_- = \frac{i}{e}V\partial_- V^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

It is well-known that the Jacobian resulting from the above change of variables together with the effective action can be expressed in terms of the WZW functional [4]. That is to say,

$$\det i\, \not{D} \equiv e^{iW[A]} = \int \mathcal{D}g e^{iS_F[A,g]}. \tag{3}$$

The bosonic action $S_F[A,g]$ may be obtained by the repeated application of the Polyakov-Wiegmann identity [5, 2] and is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_F[A,g] &= \Gamma[g] + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^2x[e^2A^2 - e^2A_+gA_-g^{-1} \\
&\quad -ieA_+g\partial_- g^{-1} - ieA_-g^{-1}\partial_+ g] \\
&= \Gamma[UgV] - \Gamma[UV], \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

where Γ is the WZW action functional. Subsequent inclusion of the Fadeev-Popov ghost action $S[gh]$, replacement of the variables UV by Σ and UgV by $\tilde{g}$–using systematically the invariance of the Haar measure, and finally the introduction of a scalar field E, to disentangle the $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ interaction, yields the following form of the partition function [2, 3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{g}e^{i\Gamma[\tilde{g}]}\mathcal{D}[gh]e^{iS[gh]}\mathcal{D}\Sigma\mathcal{D}E e^{-i(c_V+1)\Gamma[\Sigma]} \\
&\quad \times \exp\left[-(c_V+1)\text{tr}\int d^2x\partial_+ E\Sigma\partial_-\Sigma^{-1} - 2e^2(c_V+1)^2\text{tr}\int d^2xE^2\right]. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

From a further replacement of the variable E by a field β, satisfying the relation $\partial_+ E = \frac{i}{4\pi}\beta^{-1}\partial_+\beta$, the factorised form of the partition function,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}[g,1]\mathcal{Z}[\tilde{\Sigma},-(c_V+1)]\mathcal{Z}_{gh}\mathcal{Z}_\beta, \tag{6}$$

is obtained. In the above expression, $\mathcal{Z}[M,n]$ is the partition function of a WZW field M with central charge n, $\mathcal{Z}_{gh}$ is the ghost contribution, the non-trivial coupling-constant-dependent part of the partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z}_\beta \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\beta\mathcal{D}C_- e^{i\Gamma[\beta]+\text{tr}\int d^2x[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_+ C_-)^2+ieC_-\beta^{-1}\partial_+\beta]}, \tag{7}$$

and the auxiliary field C_- is introduced to make the action local. [4]. The equations of motion are equivalent to the conservation law $\partial_+ I_- = 0$, where

$$I_-(x) = 4\pi e^2 \beta^{-1} \partial_+ \beta - 2\Pi'_- + i(4\pi)^2 e^3 C_- - (4\pi e)^2 [C_-, \Pi_-], \tag{8}$$

$\Pi_- = \partial_+ C_-$ and $\Pi_\beta = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_+ \beta^{-1} + ie C_- \beta^{-1}$ are canonical momenta conjugate to C_- and β respectively. Canonical commutation relations lead to a Kac-Moody algebra obeyed by the current I_-. As in the case of conformally invariant theories, the conservation law $\partial_+ I_- = 0$ implies that there is an infinite number of conserved charges, $Q^{(n)} = \int I_-(x^-)(x^-)^n dx^-$. These act on asymptotic β fields as multiplications by powers of the (negative) component of the momentum times right-SU(n) transformation, $i.e.$,

$$Q^{(n)ij} | \beta^{kl}(p) \rangle \sim p_-^n \delta^{jl} U^{jn} | \beta^{kn} \rangle. \tag{9}$$

This puts a stringent requirement on particle scattering, forbidding particle production or decay [5].

Recently, there have been suggestions [10] that the stability of the spectrum can be tested in the framework of 't Hooft's mesons decay amplitudes. The numerical computations of the amplitudes provide a detailed check of the claims presented here, namely that the infinite number of conservation laws does not imply absence of particle production or stability of the meson and zero decay amplitude.

As mesons are fermionic bound states, the relevance of the above conserved quantities to the decay of mesons can be conveniently studied by writing the β sector of the theory, defined in (7), in terms of fermions. In the fermionisation procedure, the WZW term is equivalent to an action for massless fermions and the interactions are treated in perturbation theory by using the adiabatic principle of form invariance [11].

Using these techniques, we obtain the following fermionised form of the action:

$$S = \int d^2x [\psi_+^{\dagger i} i (\delta^{ji} \partial_- - ie C_-^{ij}) \psi_+^j + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\partial_+ C_-)^2 + i \psi_-^{\dagger i} \partial_+ \psi_-^i]. \tag{10}$$

The 't Hooft spectrum can be algebraically generated by using the spectrum-generating algebra of gauge-invariant fermion bilinears. Since the β fields in (7), as well as their fermionic replacements in (10), are gauge-invariant objects, the above action represents a chiral theory which has to be quantised using anomalous Poisson brackets [12] (see also chapters 13 and 14 of [11]). Indeed, the left-moving Noether currents,

$$I_-^f = \psi^\dagger \psi - \frac{2}{e} \Pi'_- - \frac{e}{4\pi} C_- + i[C_-, \Pi_-], \tag{11}$$

---

[4]The factorised form of the partition function is actually elusive, due to several BRST constraints remaining from the gauge condition and change of variables. For the definition of vacuum and physical states the reader is referred to [6, 7, 8].

[5]A detailed discussion of the action of conserved currents on β field, and the consequences for β-scattering have been discussed in ref. [9], where an exact S-matrix has been conjectured.

generate a Kac-Moody algebra only if the anomalous Poisson Bracket (APB),

$$\{\Pi_-(x), \psi^\dagger\psi(y)\}_{\text{APB}} = \frac{e}{4\pi}\delta(x-y),\tag{12}$$

is used [6].

The operator (11) (or (8)) contains colour indices. However, in 't Hooft's formulation one deals exclusively with colourless states and, therefore, it is natural to consider the Sugawara operators

$$L(x) =: I_{ij}(x)I_{ji}(x) : \quad ,\tag{13}$$

where the colour indices are contracted and the divergent terms in the Wilson expansion (corresponding to the Kac-Moody algebra) are subtracted by means of a normal-ordering prescription.

3 Classification of the mesonic states

In this section, we review the action of the W_∞ algebra on the spectrum. The spectrum-generating algebra, as obtained in [15], arises from the bilinears $M_{\alpha\gamma}(x,y) = \psi_\alpha^\dagger(x)e^{ie\int_x^y A_\mu(\xi)d\xi^\mu}\psi_\gamma(y)$, where α and γ are the chirality indices $(+,-)$. In the massive case, these bilinears are related by the equations of motion. In the massless case, however, the mixed term, M_{+-}, corresponds to the integrable-β sector, while the right-right term, M_{--}, corresponds to the usual meson bound states of 't Hooft. Therefore, we take

$$M_{--}(x^-, y^-; x^+) = \psi_-^{i\dagger}(x^-, x^+)\psi_-^i(y^-; x^+)\tag{14}$$

as the spectrum-generating current. In the momentum space of the x^- variables, a classical solution of the equations of motion obeying the Gauss constraint is

$$(M_{--}(k_-, k_-'; x^+))_{\text{class}} = \delta(k_- - k_-')\theta(k_-).\tag{15}$$

Expanding M_{--} around such a solution in the large N limit [15] and using

$$M_{--} = e^{\frac{i}{\sqrt{N}}W}(M_{--})_{\text{class}}e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{N}}W}\tag{16}$$

one finds that the Fourier modes of W, which represent quantum fluctuation around classical solution, obey the 't Hooft equation. Thus, the W_∞ algebra found for the bilinears in [15] is a spectrum-generating algebra.

We emphasise that in the massive theory, the full content of the theory is preserved in the individual chiral sectors, M_{++} and M_{--}, which are related to each other by the fermion equation of motion. In the massless case, however, we study the bilinears constructed from ψ_+. There is a mixing of the sectors and it does not suffice to study one sector on its own.

---

[6]The bosonic formulation is advantageous since it contains information of order $\hbar$ already at the classical level.

4 The combined algebra

In this section, we study the interplay between the W_∞-spectrum-generating algebra and the conserved current (13). We identify the bilinear $\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+$ as the pion and $\psi_+^\dagger D^n \psi_+$ as the higher states obtained from the fermion bilinear M_{++}.

The pion is an eigenstate of $L(x)$ [7];

$$[L(x), \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(y)] = \frac{e^2}{2(x-y)^2}\psi^\dagger\psi(y) + \frac{e^2}{2(x-y)}\partial(\psi^\dagger\psi(y))$$
$$+ \quad \text{regular terms.} \tag{17}$$

The higher-state fermion bilinears, however, due to various anomalous terms, are not eigenstates;

$$[L(x), \partial\psi^\dagger\psi(y) - \psi^\dagger(y)\partial\psi(y)] =$$
$$- \frac{e^2}{4}[\frac{2}{(x-y)^2}(\partial\psi^\dagger\psi(y) - \psi^\dagger\partial\psi(y))$$
$$- \frac{1}{x-y}\partial(\partial\psi^\dagger\psi(y) - \psi^\dagger\partial\psi(y)) - \frac{2}{(x-y)^4}]$$
$$+ \quad e^2[\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}(\psi^{it}\psi^j\psi^{jt}\psi^i) - \frac{1}{x-y}(\psi^{it}\psi^j\partial(\psi^{jt}\psi^i))]$$
$$+ \quad [-\frac{ie^2}{2}[\Pi^{kj}C^{ki} - C^{jk}\Pi^{ik}] - 16\frac{e^3}{\pi}C^{ji} + e\partial_1\Pi^{ij}] \times$$
$$[-\frac{1}{x-y}\partial(\psi^{jt}\psi^i) + \frac{1}{(x-y)^2}\psi^{jt}\psi^i]$$
$$+ \text{anomalous Poisson Brackets terms} + \text{regular terms.} \tag{18}$$

Using the above two equations, we can write down the general form of the algebra obtained from the action of the Sugawara operator on the physical states, that is,

$$L \cdot M_n \approx \frac{n+1}{(x-y)^2}M_n + \frac{1}{x-y}\partial M_n + \text{anomalous terms.} \tag{19}$$

Thus we see that, in the absence of anomalies, the nth state is an eigenstate of L with eigenvalue $n+1$. Taking this into account, we find that the action of L on the in and out states is given by

$$(n - n_1 - n_2 - 1)\langle M_{n_1} M_{n_2} | M_{n_j} \rangle = \int dx \langle |L_{out} - L_{in}| \rangle$$
$$= \int dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{d}{dt}\langle M_{n_1} M_{n_2} | L | M_n \rangle = \text{anomalies,} \tag{20}$$

which shows the existence of an infinite number of conservation equations. In the absence of the anomalous terms, the decay amplitudes vanish. However, when anomalous terms

---

[7]Recall that in the present fermionic formulation we have to use the anomalous Poisson bracket.

are present unphysical (non–mesonic) operators are introduced in the right-hand side. Therefore, the amplitude is non-vanishing. If one of the out states is a pion, then we will have the following set of recursive relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n - n_1 - 1)\langle M_0 M_{n_1} | M_n \rangle &= \sum_X \langle M_0 X | M_n \rangle \\
&+ \sum_Y \langle M_0 M_{n_1} | Y \rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

where X, Y are bound states of lower states and a pion state is always present on the right-hand side. The solution to the above relations is found by using

$$
\langle M_0 M_0 | Y \rangle = 0,
\tag{22}
$$

which is obtained from the $1/N$ expansion of the decay amplitude and is valid up to second order. These solutions require the vanishing of the decays involving pions [8].

From eqn. (18), we see that there are further corrections which come from both higher terms in the Wilson expansion and anomalous Poisson bracket quantization of the chiral fermions. These terms cannot cancel one another, due to their different functional form (as an example, higher Π derivatives never arise from anomalous Poisson Brackets). There are further higher terms when one considers the gauge-covariantized current.

The decay amplitudes in the massless theory (which is integrable in the absence of anomalies) are suppressed as compared to those of the massive theory (non-integrable). This is entirely due to the quantum corrections. Since the anomalous terms are of order $\hbar$, they disappear for quasi-classical decays. In the massive theory, in addition to quantum corrections, there are mass terms which spoil integrability. These terms do not vanish in the quasi-classical approximation. In the massless theory, this approximation is reliable for decays of highly-placed states (*e.g.* near the continuum limit) to large-momentum states. On the contrary, decays into small-momentum states, marked by interference terms, are highly influenced by quantum corrections which spoil quasi-classical approximation.

On the basis of the preceding results, we predict the following features:

1. The pion decouples in the massless fermion theory. Such a decoupling is valid in all orders of the $1/N$ expansion.

2. For massless fermions, the decay of large-mass states into states with large momenta is severely suppressed.

3. The probabilities for further decays, although reduced, are not very small. For small N, the amplitudes are much smaller than the corresponding ones in the massive case, due to the enhanced significance of the larger-order terms in the $1/N$ expansion [9].

---

[8]It is worth mentioning that the algebra is independent of the number of colours, and the anomalous term only makes a first-order contribution in the $1/N$ expansion.

[9]We recall again that $1/N$ corrections are very important in the massive case which strengthens these predictions for groups such as $SU(2)$.

4. Finally, the decay amplitudes of very massive mesons vanish, unexceptionally, in the massless fermion case.

5 Numerical back-up and large N behaviour

In this section, we verify these predictions by means of the numerical computation of the amplitudes (for predictions 1,2 and 3), as well as, by using large N analysis (for prediction 4).

Amplitudes for meson decay were initially derived in the framework of $1/N$-expansion in [13], and in more detail, including higher order corrections, in [14]. The $1/N$ corrections vanish in the massless case [10]. This can be explained by studying the expression for the decay amplitude [14],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} = {} & (1-\mathcal{C})\frac{1}{1-\omega}\int_0^\omega \mathrm{d}x \phi_n(x)\phi_p(\frac{x}{\omega})\Phi_q(\frac{x-\omega}{1-\omega}) \\
& -(1-\mathcal{C})\frac{1}{\omega}\mathrm{d}x\int_\omega^1 \mathrm{d}x \phi_n(x)\phi_q(\frac{x-\omega}{1-\omega})\Phi_p(\frac{x}{\omega}) \\
& +\frac{1}{N}(1-\mathcal{C})\frac{f_q}{1-\omega}\int_0^\omega \mathrm{d}x \phi_n(x)\phi_p(\frac{x}{\omega}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

where $\omega = \frac{k_\perp^p}{k_\perp^n}$, $\Phi_n(x) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}y \frac{\phi_n(y)}{(x-y)^2}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the interchange of final states.

We observe that the higher-order corrections are always multiplied by the factor

$$
f_n = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \phi_n(x),
\tag{24}
$$

where $\phi_n(x)$ is 't Hooft's wave function of the decaying state. It can be verified using 't Hooft's wave equation, that f_n vanishes for massless fermions [13]. Moreover, the authors of ref. [14] claim that, as higher-order corrections amount to a redefinition of constants in the massless case, only the very first term in the $1/N$ series survives. Because this does not hold in the massive case, corrections to all order exist. We restrict our analysis to the large-N limit where amplitude for both massless and massive fermions may be non-vanishing.

In order to fully understand the behaviour of the leading-order term (*i.e.* the first term in expression (23)) we need to solve the 't Hooft equation numerically. The solution is then inserted back into (23) and the numerical integration is carried out. We are then in a position to compare the results for the massless and the massive cases.

The method which employs a MATHEMATICA program is unsatisfactory because of its bad-convergence behaviour. The numerical results are unreliable although they are

---

[10]The lowest-order term, however, seems to give a non-vanishing contribution. This is discussed later in this section.

compatible with the predicted vanishing decay rate in the massless case, and the non-vanishing decay rate in the massive case. Therefore, a more sophisticated method of numerical computation is needed [11] .

A more elaborate method [10] confirms that particles do not decay into states which contain the pion whereas in the massive case, the decay amplitudes are shown to be significantly large (see Figure 1).


Figure 1: *Decay rate of the 5th excited state into the 1st and ground (pion) states is plotted as a function of the outgoing momenta. The first diagram corresponds to massless and the second to massive fermions. The vertical bars mark the on-mass-shell values.*

For the massless case, several decays of the type $n \to 1 + 1$, where 1 denotes the first-excited level of 't Hooft's series, have been computed (see Figure 3). For higher values of n the amplitude approaches zero rapidly. For the massive case, the amplitudes vary randomly (see Figure 4).

The overall results can be summarised as follows :

(i). In the massless case, for a general decay $k \to l + p$ the amplitude can start from a high value (see specifically the example $14 \to 3 + 3$ in reference [10]) but decreases rapidly with increasing k . These results are also compatible with the earlier results of reference [14]. Although the results for the massless case are very precise, those for the massive case require further refinement. However, all the results obtained are precise enough for the conclusions drawn hitherto, and will be verified by other methods.

(ii). An exact computation of the decay rate of the higher-mass states guarantees the correctness of the numerical results obtained so far. Indeed, using the large N techniques of [13] or [18] one finds the required amplitude in the large k limit. In terms of the fermion

---

[11]For a survey of these methods using orthogonal eigenfunctions expansion we refer the reader to [14, 16, 17].

mass m, a parameter γ satisfying

$$\pi\gamma\cot\pi\gamma = 1 - \frac{\pi m^2}{e^2 N} \qquad (25)$$

is defined (the massless case corresponds to $\gamma = 0$). In the large n limit, the decay amplitude is found to obey

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \sin\pi\gamma, \qquad (26)$$

which is valid up to constants describing the behaviour of the 't Hooft wave functions at the origin (see [18] for further details) and which shows a non-vanishing result only for non-zero values of the parameter γ. The same computation may be explicitly carried out in the massless case.

In order to investigate the issue of pair production for large number of colours and high mesonic states, we calculate the amplitude of the decay of a very massive meson of mass m_n into two mesons of masses m_{n_1} and m_{n_2}. Such an amplitude, at lowest order in the inverse number of colours and up to an overall constant, is given by the vertex

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{n_1 n_2 n} &= e^2 \frac{p_1}{p_2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \frac{1}{\left(x\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)^2} \\
&\times \phi_{n_1}(x)\phi_{n_2}(y)[\phi_n(\frac{1-y}{1+p_1/p_2}) - \phi_n(\frac{1+xp_1/p_2}{1+p_1/p_2})],
\end{aligned} \qquad (27)$$

where p_i is the plus–component of the momentum. For decay of very massive mesons, $m_n \to \infty$, energy-momentum conservation implies

$$m_n^2 = m_2^2 \frac{p_1}{p_2}. \qquad (28)$$

Thus the main contribution to the integral comes from $x \sim 0$. Next, we make the following substitutions

$$x = \eta(\frac{m_2}{e})^2, \qquad (29)$$

$$\phi_i(x) \approx C_i x^\gamma, \qquad (30)$$

where γ is defined in (25) and x is small, and

$$\phi_n(\xi(\frac{e}{m_n})^2) \approx \phi(\xi) \equiv \sin(\frac{\xi}{\pi} + \delta(\xi)), \qquad (31)$$

where $\delta(\xi)$ is a phase [12], in equation (27) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{n_1 n_2 n} &= (\frac{m_2}{m_n})^{2\gamma} C_1 \int_0^\infty d\eta \int_0^1 dx' \eta^\gamma \frac{\phi_2(x')}{(\eta+x')^2}[\phi((1-x')\frac{m_2^2}{e^2}) - \phi((1+\eta)\frac{m_2^2}{e^2})] \\
&= (\frac{m_n}{e})^{-2\gamma} C_1 I_2.
\end{aligned} \qquad (32)$$

---

[12]The validity of equation (31) is confirmed for massless fermions by using numerical simulations.

Since the vertex is symmetric under the exchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, the relation $C_i/I_i = r$ must be the same for $i = 1, 2$ and can be computed in a convenient limit. We thus compute r for $i = 2$ and large values of m_2. In this limit, the asymptotic behaviour of the wave functions can be used to find the explicit values of C_2 and I_2, $i.e.$,

$$C_2 \approx (\frac{m_2}{e})^{-2\gamma} \quad , \quad I_2 \approx (\frac{m_2}{e})^{2\gamma} \pi \sin \pi\gamma. \tag{33}$$

Subsequently, the expression

$$V_{n_1 n_2 n} \approx e^2 (\frac{m_n}{e})^{2\gamma} \sin \pi\gamma \tag{34}$$

is obtained which shows that the vertex vanishes for $\gamma \to 0$.

For massless fermions, that is for $\gamma = 0$, the η integration in the first term of eqn. (32) can be performed. Moreover, by using 't Hooft's equation in the same term (to replace $\frac{\phi}{x}$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_2 &= -\int_0^1 dx \phi_2(x) \int_0^\infty d\eta \frac{\phi((1+\eta)\mu^2)}{(\eta+x)^2} - \int_0^1 dx \phi_2(x)[\mu^2 + \frac{1}{1-x}]\phi((1-x)\mu^2) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\xi}{\mu^2} \int_0^1 dx \phi(\xi) \frac{\phi_2(x)}{(x - 1 + \frac{\xi}{\mu^2})^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $\mu = \frac{m_2}{m_n}$. The last two terms cancel [19] due to the identity

$$\int_0^\infty d\zeta \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \eta)^2} = -(\frac{1}{\eta} + 1)\phi(\eta). \tag{36}$$

In order to confirm these conclusions further, we make a detailed comparison between the amplitudes obtained in the massive and massless cases. This can be conveniently done by considering the table presented below.

Decay series	$\mathcal{A}$	k	$\mathcal{A}/k$
$8 \to 1 + 1, m = 0$	.25	.4	.65
$8 \to 1 + 1, m \neq 0$	.5	.25	2
$9 \to 1 + 1, m = 0$	.2	.4	.5
$9 \to 1 + 1, m \neq 0$	.5	.28	1.8
$10 \to 1 + 1, m \neq 0$	.8	.3	2.7
$11 \to 1 + 1, m = 0$	$\langle.05$	.45	$\langle.1$
$13 \to 1 + 1, m = 0$	≈ 0	.45	≈ 0

11

In this table, the second column represents the momenta of the outgoing particles and the figures in the last column are proportional to the decay probabilities [13]. These tabulated results once again confirm the prediction that the ratio between massless and massive fermion decay rates goes to zero–being smaller than 3% for the 11th state. For other series of decays, this ratio approaches zero more slowly. Nevertheless, one clearly observes that this ratio approaches zero, e.g., for massless series $k \to 2 + 2$ (see F igure 2).


Figure 2: *Decay rates of the 10th, 11th and 12th states into two mesons in the 2nd excited state (for massless fermions) are plotted as functions of the outgoing momenta. The vertical bars mark the on-mass-shell values.*

6 Conclusion

Massless QCD contains higher-conservation laws which imply integrability in the massive (β) sector. The mesons in the 't Hooft sector are built up of fermion bilinears which are dressed with bosonic fields of the massless sector. The spectrum-generating algebra, which defines the 't Hooft sector, does not commute with the higher conservation laws due to quantum corrections to the short-distance expansions. This implies the break down of integrability in the meson sector. The quantum nature of these corrections means that they are insignificant for quasi-classical decays. This renders the theory *quasi-integrable* and accounts for the exact decoupling of the pion.

The theory has a complex structure of constraints. The mesonic states, although physical, are not eigenstates of the conserved charges obtained from the Sugawara operators. This is because the massive and the massless sectors of the theory are connected by the constraint equations. The massive sector alone (the β sector) is integrable but does not generate the physical Hilbert space since it is not BRST invariant. The change of variables made to decouple the dynamics of the massive and the massless sectors of the theory (see equations (2), (5) and (6)) leads to non-trivial jacobian which breaks the BRST invariance [7, 8].

---

[13]We have chosen the units such that the mass of the initial state is unity (the influence of the fermion mass is small in the present cases).

Therefore, due to quantum corrections, the integrability and the BRST-invariance properties of the theory fall into two different sectors; the former being valid in the massive sector alone and the latter in the meson sector. This can be interpreted as the stability of the unphysical β particles. We have verified this characteristic of the theory in the quasi-classical approximation by numerical methods.

It is of prime importance to generalise the concept of quasi-integrability to higher dimensions. Indeed, Bardeen [20] has recently pointed out that helicity amplitudes in high-energy QCD are very simple at tree level and are described by a self-dual Yang-Mills theory. The classical solution of this theory strongly resembles the Bethe Ansatz solution of integrable two-dimensional models. Moreover, the one-loop amplitudes are reminiscent of those corresponding to anomalous conservation laws. It is known that the self-dual Yang-Mills theory is an integrable theory and is described by very simple actions [21]. On the other hand, integrable Lagrangians with either anomalies [22] or with non-vanishing amplitudes for particle production[23] are known and are well documented in the literature. It remains an interesting open problem to see whether the quasi-integrability idea is the most efficient framework for the description of non-trivial dynamics in theories with higher conservation laws, in general space-time dimensions, in spite of the Coleman-Mandula no-go theorem [24] and its more general version [25].

7   Acknowledgements

References

[1] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B75** (1974) 461.

[2] E. Abdalla and M. C. B. Abdalla, *Phys. Rep.* **265** (1996) 253.

[3] E. Abdalla and M. C. B. Abdalla, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10** (1995) 1611.

[4] E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92** (1984) 455.

[5] A.M. Polyakov and P.B. Wiegmann *Phys. Lett.* **131B** vvv(1983) 121.

[6] E. Abdalla and K. D. Rothe *Phys. Lett* **363B** (1995) 85

[7] K.D. Rothe, F. Scholtz and N. Theron, Stellenbosch hep-th/9609131.

[8] K.D. Rothe and D. Cabra, hepth/9608155, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997).

[9] E. Abdalla and M. C. B. Abdalla, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 6660.

[10] W. Krauth and M. Staudacher CERN preprint, hepth/9608122.

[11] E. Abdalla, M. C. Abdalla and K. D. Rothe, " Non-perturbative methods in two dimensional quantum field theory", World Scientific, 1991.

[12] H.J. Rothe and K.D. Rothe *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 545
F. Otto, H.J. Rothe and K.D. Rothe *Phys. Lett.* **231B** (1989) 299
F. Otto and K.D. Rothe *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 2829.

[13] C. G. Callan, N. Coote and D. J. Gross, *Phys. Rev.* **D13** (1976) 1649.

[14] J.C.F. Barbon and K. Demeterfi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B434** (1995) 109.

[15] K. Kikkawa *Annals of Phys.* **135** (1981) 222.
A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S. Wadia *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A8** (1993) 3557; *Phys. Lett.* **B329** (1994) 15.

[16] H. Hanson, R.D. Peccei and M.K. Prasad, *Nucl. Phys.* **B121** (1977) 474.

[17] R.L. Jaffe and P.F. Mende *Nucl. Phys.* **B369** (1992) 189;
B. Grinsteins and P.F. Mende, *Nucl. Phys.* **B425** (1994) 451.

[18] R.C. Brower, J. Ellis, M. Schmidt and J.H. Weisz *Nucl. Phys.* **B128** (1977) 131 and 175.

[19] M. B. Einhorn, *Phys. Rev.* **D14** (1976) 3451.

[20] W. A. Bardeen, Fermilab preprint, FERMILAB-CONF-95-379-T.

[21] G. Chalmers and W. Siegel ITP-SB-96-29, hepth/9606061;
A. N. Leznov and A. Mukhtarov, *J. Math. Phys.* **28** (1987) 2574;
A. Parkes, *Phys. Lett* **B286** (1992) 265.

[22] E. Abdalla, M. C. B. Abdalla and M. Gomes *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 1800;
E. Abdalla, M. Forger and A. Lima–Santos *Nucl. Phys.* **B256** (1985) 145.

[23] T.L. Curtright and C. Zachos *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 5408.

[24] S. Coleman and J. Mandula *Phys. Rev.* **159** (1967) 1251.

[25] R. Haag, J. Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius *Nucl. Phys.* **B88** (1975) 257.

Figure 3: *Decay rates of the* 5th, 7th, 9th *and* 11th *excited state into two mesons in the* 1st *excited state are plotted as functions of the outgoing momenta for massless fermions. The vertical bars mark the on-mass-shell values.*


Figure 4: *Decay rates of the* 7th, 8th, 9th *and* 10th *states into two mesons in the* 1st *excited state are plotted for massive fermions.*

16