

姜胜耀：清华大学核能技术设计研究院副研究员，1984年毕业于清华大学，1986年在清华大学获硕士学位，1994年在德国斯图加特大学获博士学位。

JIANG Shengyao: Associate professor of Institute of Nuclear Energy Technology, Tsinghua University. Graduated from Tsinghua University in 1984 and received MS degree at the same university in 1986 and received Ph.D degree at Stuttgart University of Germany in 1994.

CNIC-01145

TSHUNE-0077

闪蒸引起的密度波振荡

姜胜耀 吴莘馨 张佑杰

(清华大学核能技术设计研究院, 北京)

摘 要

实验研究在 5MW 低温核供热反应堆热工水力学模拟试验回路 (HRTL-5) 上完成。对各种两相流不稳定性, 如喷泉式不稳定性, 闪蒸不稳定性以及闪蒸引起的密度波不稳定性, 发生的现象及机理进行了描述。其中闪蒸引起的密度波不稳定性还没有得到充分的研究, 对此进行了重点论述。同时用一维非热力学平衡两相流漂移模型对此种不稳定性进行分析。计算结果与实验结果相吻合。

FLASHING COUPLED DENSITY WAVE OSCILLATION

JIANG Shengyao WU Xinxin ZHANG Youjie

(Institute of Nuclear Energy Technology of Tsinghua University, Beijing)

ABSTRACT

The experiment was performed on the test loop (HRTL-5), which simulates the geometry and system design of the 5 MW reactor. The phenomenon and mechanism of different kinds of two-phase flow instabilities, namely geysering, flashing instability and flashing coupled density wave instability are described. The especially interpreted flashing coupled density wave instability has never been studied well, it is analyzed by using a one-dimensional non-thermoequilibrium two-phase flow drift model computer code. Calculations are in good agreement with the experiment results.

INTRODUCTION

A 5 MW nuclear heating reactor^[1] developed by the Institute of Nuclear Energy and Technology (INET) has been in operation since 1989. In order to investigate the thermohydraulic behavior of natural circulation in the primary loop of the 5 MW reactor, a test loop HRTL-5, simulating the geometry and system design of the reactor, was erected at INET. Several kinds of flow instabilities have been studied: At flow system pressure ($p < 0.3$ MPa), geysering and flashing in a natural circulation system with a long, non-heated riser can cause flow instability, namely flashing instability. At higher system pressure ($0.3 \text{ MPa} < p < 1.5$ MPa) pure flashing instability does not occur, but coupled with density wave instability, namely flashing coupled density wave instability.

Since the 1950's, with the beginning of commercialization of nuclear reactors, the interest in two-phase flow instability studies started to grow internationally. J. A. Boure et al.^[2] made a clear classification of flow instabilities at that time. Most of these instabilities mentioned by Boure concerned forced circulation, and the density wave instability was concerning high-steam quality for the BWR conditions. Fukuda and Kobori^[3] studied both low- (type I) and high-steam quality (type II) density wave instabilities for both natural and forced circulation. Another kind of instability called geysering was also mentioned by Boure and Aritomi et al^[4].

1 EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM

The primary loop of HRTL-5 consists of two parallel vertically heated sections, risers and steam separators, one heat exchanger, one steam condenser and downcomer, throttle valves, and connection tubes. Three pair of glass windows are installed at the exit of the heated section, inlet and exit of the riser. The total height of the test system is about 7 m. Table 1. shows the important parameters of the test loop HRTL-5.

Table 1 Main parameter of the test loop HRTL-5

Working fluid	Water
System pressure	< 2.0 MPa
Fluid temperature	< 200 °C
Heat flux	< 0.6 MWm ⁻²
Inlet subcooling	> 2 K
Height of heated section	0.58 m
Hydraulic diameter of heated section	10.2 mm
Diameter of heated rods	10 mm
Height of riser	3.0 m
Resistance coefficient at the inlet of heated section	10~100

2 ANALYTICAL APPROACH

The investigated system consists of a subcooled single-phase region, a subcooled boiling region, a bulk boiling region in the heated section, a two-phase region in the adiabatic riser, which is divided into smaller sections in order to calculate void flashing exactly, and a single-phase region in the subcooler and down-comer. Typical two-phase flow patterns for heated section and riser are shown in Fig. 1. The subcooled single-phase fluid enters the heated section at $Z=0$. Non-equilibrium boiling begins at Z_N , saturated boiling at Z_S (Fig. 1a). When the saturation temperature is not reached at the end of heated section Z_H , the non-equilibrium steam condenses partially or completely (Fig. 1b) at the inlet of the riser, thereby increasing the liquid temperature. If the liquid is still subcooled (Fig. 1b), flowing up the riser it reaches finally saturation temperature due to gravity pressure drop, and void flashing begins at Z_F . The computer simulation rests on a one-dimensional two-phase flow drift model^[5] with the conservation equations for mass, steam, energy, and momentum. For the flashing front we use the Clausius-Clapeyron equation.




Fig. 1 Two-phase flow pattern in the test loop. a) Non-equilibrium and saturated boiling in the heated section; void flashing in the riser starting at $Z_F = Z_H$. b) Only Non-equilibrium boiling in the heated section; void flashing in the riser starting at $Z_F > Z_H$.

3 FLASHING COUPLED DENSITY WAVE OSCILLATION

1.5 MPa is the designed pressure of the 5 MW nuclear heating reactor. In

order to get a general thermodynamic understanding of the reactor under different conditions, it is of great importance to simulate the thermodynamic behavior outside the reactor by a test system. The following work was done under constant heat flux and system pressure (the same as the designed values of the 5 MW reactor), and different inlet temperature. The dependency of relative mass flow rate amplitude on the inlet subcooling is shown in Fig. 2. Through visual investigation we get the following understanding on the thermodynamic behavior of the 5 MW reactor;




Fig. 2 Experimental result for relative oscillation amplitude $p=1.5 \text{ MPa}$, $q=200 \text{ kW/m}^2$

(1) Single phase flow region A_a : No subcooled boiling can be observed, flow is stable.

(2) Subcooled boiling stable flow region A_b : Warm fluid disturbance can be seen near the heated rods. Steam bubbles appear at the wall of the heated rods, but no bubble leaving the surface of the rods can be seen.

(3) Flow excursion region A_c : Bubbles leaving the wall at the upper part of the heated section can be seen. Flow excursion occurs in this region^[5].

(4) Subcooled boiling stable region A_d : It is observed that not all bubbles ejected from the surface condense, some of them enter the riser, and the flow is stable.

(5) Flashing coupled density wave oscillation region B: Subcooled boiling vapors are partly condensed at the inlet of the riser. Fluid reaches saturation in the riser. Void flashing occurs, and the flow is unstable. The flashing coupled density wave oscillation will be discussed in detail in this paper.

(6) Bulk boiling stable region C: Water reaches saturation at the exit of the riser. Flow is stable.

The mechanism of flashing coupled density wave flow oscillation is described

as the following: In the case of a little decrease in the inlet flow velocity, the steam volume in heated section and riser increases with a certain delay. The growing difference in mass density between the single phase flow in the downcomer and the two-phase rising flow accelerates the natural circulation in the closed loop. Thereby the original negative perturbation in the flow velocity is gradually balanced and overbalanced, so that a positive deviation of the flow velocity results. In this way flow oscillations develop. If the excited oscillations finally die away, the system is stable, if asymptotically flow oscillations with a constant amplitude (limit cycle) remain, the system is unstable. Self sustained oscillations are favored, if changes in the pressure drop in two- and single-phase sections partially compensate each other, as a result of suitable phase lags. Fig. 3 represents calculated relative changes in the liquid velocity w_E at the entrance of the heated section, after a short perturbation, starting at $t=0$. The examples differ in the subcooling at the entrance of the heated section: $T_S - T_E$, T_S : saturation temperature, T_E : liquid temperature at $Z = 0$. For subcoolings in the range $0 \leq T_S - T_E < 14.6$ K a stable two-phase flow exists in the test loop at system pressure of 1.5 MPa and a heat flux of 200 kW/m² (compare region C in Fig. 2). Correspondingly, at a subcooling of 14.0 K the oscillation amplitudes decay. The amplitude ratio of consecutive oscillations, the decay ratio Y_{i+1}/Y_i (Fig. 3) is a measure of the flow stability. If the inlet subcooling is increased to 14.6 K the decay ratio approaches 1 (compare instability boundary in Fig. 2). Using this criterion, the stability boundary can be determined from the analysis of the non-linear system in the time domain. A measure of the instability is the amplitude of the limit cycle. For instance, an increase of the inlet subcooling from 14.6 K to 14.8 K results in an increase of the oscillation amplitude from 3% to 11% (Fig. 3). At high subcoolings a stable single-phase flow exists. So if we continue to increase the subcooling in the instability region, a second stability boundary is reached. According to the calculating model this upper boundary is close $T_S - T_E = 26$ K. The analysis shows that for the instability range of $14.6 \text{ K} \leq T_S - T_E \leq 26 \text{ K}$ only non-equilibrium boiling occurs in the heated section, the saturation temperature is never reached at Z_H . The calculating results for the flashing coupled density wave instability boundary ($T_S - T_E = 14.6$ K) in Fig. 3 are in good agreement with that of experiment in Fig. 2.




Fig. 3 Relative oscillation amplitudes of the inlet velocity w_E for different inlet subcoolings. $p=1.5$ MPa, $q=200$ kW/m²

The increase of the volumetric steam fraction by void flashing can be traced in Fig. 4 through several sub-zones of the riser. The propagation of the density wave in the riser is evident from the time lag between amplitude maxima in consecutive zones. It depends on the velocity of the steam. At low instability boundary ($T_S - T_E = 14.6$ K), the void fraction at the exit (16%) is obviously greater than that at the inlet (11%) of the riser. At the higher instability boundary ($T_S - T_E = 26$ K), there is little void fraction (1%) at the inlet, but about 5.5% at the exit of the riser. So Fig. 4 shows the important behavior of the flashing coupled density wave instability.

4 FLASHING INSTABILITY

The flashing instability is different with geysering mentioned by Boure and Aritomi in following aspect:




Fig. 4 Void fraction in three sub-zones of the riser, close to the stability boundary. $p=1.5$ MPa, $q=200$ kW/m²

(1) Phenomenon

The vapor which cause flashing instability are first generated at the top of the long non-heated riser, then develop downwards along the riser, while by geysering the vapor are formed only in the heated section, and condensed at the inlet of the riser as they flow upwards. The mass flow rate by flashing instability is much greater than that by geysering, and it oscillates gradually due to the relatively long process of flashing generation, development and disappearance in the riser, unlike that of pulse-like oscillation due to the sudden condensation of a big subcooled vapor at the inlet of the riser by geysering.

(2) Cause of vapor generation

The vapors by flashing are formed purely by the decrease of hydrostatic head as water flows upwards, while they are generated first in the heated section through heating by geysering.

(3) State of thermal condition

By flashing the vapors are formed in the non-heated long riser as the upwards flowing water reaches its local saturation temperature, therefore the vapors are in thermal equilibrium condition, and they do not condense during the process of oscillation. By geysering the vapors are always in thermal non-equilibrium condition.

(4) Mechanism of oscillation

Flashing instability is caused by the change of the driving force due to fluid va-

porizing (flashing) at the top of the long non-heated riser, flashed vapor downwards developing and out flowing from the riser, while geysering is caused by the generation, detachment, growth and condensation of subcooled vapor in the heated section and at the inlet of the riser.

(5) Geometry condition

The flashing instability described in the present work was performed at single channel condition of HRTL-5, which has a short heated section (0.58 m) and a long non-heated riser (3.0 m). By shorter non-heated riser (0.25~0.75 m by Aritomi) and by closed end system (by Boure) it is difficult to investigate flashing instability.

Consequently, flashing instability has never been well investigated at nuclear reactor conditions yet.

The relative mass flow amplitude map at 0.1 MPa is similar with Fig. 2. But without the flow excursion region Ac, and the region B is not the flashing coupled density wave instability region, but is flashing instability dominated region.

Fig. 5 shows the experimental result of flashing instability accompanied by geysering. The geysering in HRTL-5 is not the same as that mentioned by Boure and Aritomi. Geysering occurs at certain inlet subcooling region. This kind of oscillation is also caused by vapor generation, growth, detachment and condensation. The mass flow rate oscillates with high peak values, like pulses without a regular period. Very loud " explosion-like" sounds occur as vapor condenses in the inlet of the riser, and no vapor was observed in the upper part of it during this oscillation. The condensation of the subcooled vapor results in a strong flow disturbance, which acting as a pressure wave, propagates in the system at the velocity of sound. The energy of the pressure wave is released when it passes valves and other components in the system. Very strong mechanical vibrations of the whole test facility, resulting from the energy release, have been observed during geysering. As the inlet subcooling decreases, flashing instability occurs accompanied with geysering. The fluid temperature in the riser increases gradually during geysering because of continuous condensation of subcooled vapor from the heated section. There are few vapors in the upper part of the riser because of the condensation. The fluid temperature finally reaches its saturation one when the flow reaches the exit of the riser, then at this position flashing occurs, namely, vapors are generated here. The pressure below the exit of the riser decreases due to the flashing, then the fluid below the exit of the riser also flashes. Because the propagation velocity of the flashing is much higher

than that of the flow, this flashing phenomenon can therefore develop along the riser to the lower part of it. The flashed vapors are uniform unlike those of subcooled boiling in the heated section. There are more vapors in the upper part than in the lower part of the riser during the process of flashing. At the same time, the mass flow rate increases significantly due to the increase of the system driving head. As this increased mass flow rate continues into the heated section, the subcooled boiling disappears, and the cold water enters the riser. Flashing vanishes when the hot water originally contained in the riser flows out. The mass flow rate decreases as flashing disappears, followed by the start of subcooled boiling in the heated section. The subsequent steps are: subcooled vapor generation, detachment and condensation in the heated section and at the inlet of the riser, no periodical geysering, temperature increase in the riser during geysering, and the next flashing instability.




Fig. 5 Geysering and flashing instability

5 CONCLUSION

(1) Flashing instability occurs in the system with long non-heated riser at low system pressure ($p < 0.3$ MPa by present work).

(2) At higher system pressure ($1.5 \text{ MPa} > p > 0.3 \text{ MPa}$) pure flashing instability does not occur, but coupled with density wave instability.

(3) Flashing coupled density wave instability can be well predicted by analyses.

REFERENCES

- 1 Wang D Z, Ma C W Dong D, et al. A 5 MW nuclear heating reactor. Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 61 (Suppl. 1) 1990, 468~481
- 2 Boure J A, Bergles A E, Tong S L. Review of Two-Phase Flow Instability. Nuclear Engineering and Design. 1973, 25: 165~192
- 3 Fukuda, Kobori T. Classification of Two-Phase Flow Instability by Density Wave Oscillation Model. Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology. 1979, 16 (2): 95~108
- 4 Aritomi M, Chiang J H, Nakahashi T, et al. Fundamental study on thermo-hydraulics during start-up in natural circulation boiling water reactors, (I) thermo-hydraulic instabilities. Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, 1992, 29 (7): 631~641
- 5 Jiang S Y. Instabilitaetuntersuchungen am Naturumlaufsystemen. Dissertation Universitat Stuttgart 1994

图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

中国核科技报告 CNIC-01145 TSHUNE-0077: 闪蒸引起的密度波振荡: 英文/姜胜耀等著. —北京: 原子能出版社, 1997. 7

ISBN 7-5022-1653-7

I. 中… I. 姜… III. 核技术-研究报告-中国-英文 IV. TL-2

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (97) 第 07644 号

闪蒸引起的密度波振荡

姜胜耀等著

©原子能出版社, 1997

原子能出版社出版发行

责任编辑: 武洁

社址: 北京市海淀区阜成路 43 号 邮政编码: 100037

中国核科技报告编辑部排版


核科学技术情报研究所印刷

开本 787×1092 1/16 · 印张 1/2 · 字数 10 千字

1997 年 7 月北京第一版 · 1997 年 7 月北京第一次印刷

This report is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved. Submission of a report for publication implies the transfer of the exclusive publication right from the author(s) to the publisher. No part of this publication, except abstract, may be reproduced, stored in data banks or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher, China Nuclear Information Centre, and/or Atomic Energy Press. Violations fall under the prosecution act of the Copyright Law of China. The China Nuclear Information Centre and Atomic Energy Press do not accept any responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of information contained in any of its reports or in any communication about its test or investigations.

ISBN 7-5022-1653-7



9 787502 216535 >