



ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ
ИНСТИТУТ
ЯДЕРНЫХ
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ

Дубна

E2-97-89

G.V.Efimov*

UNITARITY AND AMPLITUDES
FOR HIGH ENERGIES

Submitted to «Physics Letters B»

*E-mail: efimovg@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su

A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely belonging to G.V. Efimov.

1997

1 Introduction

The standard proof of the well-known Froissart upper bound on the total cross-section is based on the combination of three conditions: unitarity, analyticity of the amplitude of elastic scattering in the Martin-Lehmann ellipse and polynomial boundedness of amplitudes in local quantum field theory (see, for example, [1, 2]). So that the Froissart upper bound is considered to be the intrinsic property of local quantum field theory. The generalization of this proof on nonlocal theories where amplitudes have nonpolynomial bound for large momentum was done in [3]. In this paper we want to show that these restrictions for amplitudes of physical processes on the mass shell and the total cross-section can be obtained taking into account the unitarity condition and the natural assumption that amplitudes are convex down functions of transfer momentum at least in some vicinity of the point $t = 0$. The last assumption is consistent with experiment and it is fulfilled in all known theoretical models of local and nonlocal theories. The idea of the proof is to consider the S -matrix as a unitary operator defined on the Hilbert space of physical states. This method is more general in comparison with the standard methods [1], in which the main object of investigation is the amplitude of elastic scattering considered in the form of decomposition over partial waves.

Another reason of this work is to remove the main objection against the relativistic nonlocal quantum field theory in which the form-factors (see [4, 5]) and propagators (see [6]) are entire analytic functions decreasing in the Euclidean region of momentum space. The problem consists in that perturbation coefficients of any amplitudes represented in the form of perturbation series

$$\mathcal{M}(s_{ij}) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} g^n \mathcal{M}_n(s_{ij}), \quad \mathcal{M}_n(s_{ij}) \sim e^{n s_{ij}^a} \quad \text{for } s_{ij} \rightarrow +\infty$$

with $s_{ij} = (k_i + k_j)^2$. It means that the strong coupling regime in nonlocal theories appears for $g \exp(s_{ij}^a) \sim 1$, and we should use some methods to sum perturbation series. In local renormalizable theories the strong coupling regime appears for $g \ln s_{ij} \sim 1$ and from practical point of view this boundary usually is quite far.

However in the nonlocal quantum theory it is proved [4] that the S -matrix is unitary in each perturbation order and analytical properties of amplitudes

in momentum complex space are the same in local and nonlocal theories in each perturbation order except the infinity. We hope that these properties will be valid for exact amplitudes. Then the question arises, if the unitarity condition makes all amplitudes to be bounded for high energies. This paper gives a positive answer to this question.

2 The Froissart upper bound

The well-known Froissart upper bound (see, for example, [1]) on the total cross-section is based on the following arguments. The imaginary part of the amplitude of elastic scattering

$$I(s, t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \text{Im } \mathcal{M}(s, t) = \frac{s^{1/2}}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) a_l(s) P_l(\cos \theta),$$

where $\cos \theta = 1 + \frac{2t}{s-4m^2}$ ($t < 0$), is considered for which

- the unitarity condition is used in the form

$$0 \leq a_l(s) \leq 1,$$

- the function $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ should be analytic in the Martin-Lehmann ellipse and, therefore, it is bounded for

$$|\cos \theta| < 1 + \frac{2t_0}{s-4m^2} \sim 1 + \frac{2t_0}{s},$$

where $t_0 = 4m^2$, for any fixed large s ,

- in the local quantum field theory the amplitude increases like a polynomial

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| < s^N \tag{1}$$

where the number N does not depend on s

Then, the inequality

$$P_l(x) > \frac{C}{\sqrt{1+l}} [1 + \sqrt{2x-2}]^l \sim \frac{C}{\sqrt{l}} \left[1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{t_0}{s}} \right]^l$$

for $x = 1 + \frac{2t_0}{s} > 1$ and large s gives

$$C\sqrt{l} \cdot a_l(s) \cdot \left[1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{t_0}{s}}\right]^l < 2l \cdot a_l(s) \cdot P_l\left(1 + \frac{2t_0}{s}\right) < s^N,$$

so that

$$a_l(s) < \frac{C}{\sqrt{l}} \exp\left\{N \ln s - 2\sqrt{t_0} \frac{l}{\sqrt{s}}\right\}.$$

One can see that for $a_l(s) \ll 1$ for

$$l > L = C\sqrt{s} \ln s$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(s, 0) < \frac{s^{1/2}}{k} \sum_{l=0}^L (2l+1) \approx 2L^2 \sim Cs \ln^2 s.$$

The final result, the so called Froissart upper bound, can be obtained according to the optical theorem

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) \sim \frac{\mathcal{I}(s, 0)}{s} \leq C(\ln s)^2. \quad (2)$$

Essentially, we can see that this proof is based on the analyticity and locality, and practically does not make use of the unitarity.

We can repeat all these arguments in the nonlocal theory (see [3]) where instead of (1) we have

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| < e^{r(s)}, \quad (3)$$

where the function $r(s)$ increases like $r(s) \sim s^a$ or more rapidly. We have $a_l(s) \ll 1$ for $l > L = C\sqrt{s}r(s)$ and

$$\mathcal{I}(s, 0) < \frac{s^{1/2}}{k} \sum_{l=0}^L (2l+1) \approx 2L^2 \sim Csr^2(s).$$

Finally, for the cross-section one gets

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) \sim \frac{\mathcal{I}(s, 0)}{s} \leq Cr^2(s). \quad (4)$$

However, this bound is too rough. We shall see that the unitarity condition leads to a weaker upper bound.

3 Unitarity on the mass shell

We shall consider an one-component scalar field for simplicity. The generalization to other fields does not introduce essential difficulties.

The Hilbert space of physical states consists of vectors

$$F = f_0|0\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^n d\vec{k}_j f_n(\vec{k}) |\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$$

where

$$|\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \cdot \dots \cdot a_{\vec{k}_n}^+ |0\rangle,$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum, for which $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$. The norm of F is defined

$$\|F\|^2 = \langle F, F\rangle = |f_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^n d\vec{k}_j |f_n(\vec{k})|^2 < \infty$$

The S -matrix can be represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= I + iT, \\ T &= \sum_{n_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=2}^{\infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{d\vec{k}_j}{\sqrt{2\omega_j}} \int \prod_{l=1}^{n_2} \frac{d\vec{p}_l}{\sqrt{2\omega_l}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1!}} a_{\vec{k}_1}^+ \cdot \dots \cdot a_{\vec{k}_{n_1}}^+ T_{n_1 n_2}(k, p) a_{\vec{p}_1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{\vec{p}_{n_2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_2!}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $k_j = (\omega_j, \vec{k}_j)$, $\omega_j = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{k}_j^2}$, $\vec{k}_j \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

The S -matrix is renormalized and satisfies the stability conditions:

$$S|0\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad S|\vec{k}\rangle = |\vec{k}\rangle,$$

i.e. the vacuum loops are removed and one-particle states are renormalized.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n_1 n_2}(k, p) &= \sum_{v=0}^{\min(n_1, n_2)} \sum_{\{s, l\}} M_{s_1 m_1}(k, p) \cdot \dots \cdot M_{s_v n_v}(k, p), \\ M_{s, m}(k, p) &= \delta^{(4)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^s k_j - \sum_{l=1}^m p_l \right) \mathcal{M}_{s, m}(k, p). \end{aligned}$$

The functions $\mathcal{M}_{,m}(k, p)$ contain connected Feynman graphs and depend on the relativistic invariant momentum variables on the mass shell

$$s_{ij} = (k_i - k_j)^2 > 0, \quad s_{lm} = (p_l - p_m)^2 > 0, \quad t_{il} = (k_i - p_l)^2 < 0.$$

We shall assume that the functions $\mathcal{M}_{,m}(s_{ij}, t_{il})$ are differentiable and convex down functions at least in vicinities of the points $t_{il} = 0$.

If the S -matrix is unitary

$$S^+ S = S S^+ = I,$$

we have the evident inequality

$$\|T\| = \|S - I\| \leq \|S\| + \|I\| \leq 2. \quad (6)$$

Thus, if the S -matrix is unitary the inequality

$$|\langle F, TF \rangle| \leq 2 \langle F, F \rangle \quad (7)$$

is valid for any $F \in \mathcal{H}$. This inequality is the main tool of our investigation.

4 Elastic scattering.

Let us consider the amplitude of elastic scattering. We introduce

$$F = F_2 = \int d\vec{k}_1 \int d\vec{k}_2 f_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) |\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2\rangle. \quad (8)$$

One obtains

$$\langle F, F \rangle = \langle F_2, F_2 \rangle = \int \int d\vec{k}_1 d\vec{k}_2 |f_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2)|^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, TF \rangle &= \langle F_2, TF_2 \rangle \quad (9) \\ &= \int \int \frac{d\vec{k}_1 d\vec{k}_2}{\sqrt{2\omega_1 2\omega_2}} \int \int \frac{d\vec{p}_1 d\vec{p}_2}{\sqrt{2E_1 2E_2}} \delta^{(4)}(k_1 + k_2 - p_1 - p_2) \\ &\quad \cdot f_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) \mathcal{M}_{22}(k_1, k_2; p_1, p_2) f_2(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{22}(k_1, k_2; p_1, p_2) = \mathcal{M}(s, t)$, $s = (k_1 + k_2)^2$ and $t = (k_1 - p_1)^2$

To extract the behaviour of the amplitude $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $t \rightarrow 0$ we introduce the following function

$$f_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \cdot \phi\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{q})^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \phi\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_2 + \vec{q})^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad (10)$$

where $\phi(u)$ is a function with a finite support

$$\text{supp } \phi(u) = \left\{ u : 0 < u < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

and it is normalized by the condition

$$\int \frac{d\vec{k}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \phi^2\left(\frac{\vec{k}^2}{\varepsilon}\right) = 2\pi \int_0^{1/2} du \sqrt{u} \phi^2(u) = 1$$

so that $\langle F, F \rangle = 1$.

Two parameters \vec{q} and ε are chosen

$$Q^2 = \vec{q}^2 + m^2 \gg m^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon \ll Q^2.$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$|f_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2)|^2 \rightarrow \delta(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{q}) \delta(\vec{k}_2 + \vec{q}).$$

Let us introduce new variables in the integrals (9) where the function f_2 is given by 10

$$\vec{k}_1 \rightarrow \vec{k}_1 + \vec{q}, \quad \vec{k}_2 \rightarrow \vec{k}_2 - \vec{q}, \quad \vec{p}_1 \rightarrow \vec{p}_1 + \vec{q}, \quad \vec{p}_2 \rightarrow \vec{p}_2 - \vec{q}$$

Then the integration region is bounded

$$(\vec{k}_j)^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad (\vec{p}_j)^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

For large $Q \gg m^2$ one can get

$$\omega_{\pm} = \sqrt{m^2 + (\vec{k} \pm \vec{q})^2} = Q \pm (\vec{k}\vec{n}) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{Q^2}\right) = Q \left[1 + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{Q}\right) \right],$$

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{q}}{Q}, \quad (\vec{n})^2 = \frac{q^2}{Q^2} \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus we obtain for $Q \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, TF \rangle &\rightarrow \frac{1}{(\pi\varepsilon)^3 s} \cdot \int \int d\vec{k}_1 d\vec{k}_2 \int \int d\vec{p}_1 d\vec{p}_2 \\ &\cdot \phi\left(\frac{\vec{k}_1^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\vec{k}_2^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\vec{p}_1^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\vec{p}_2^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\cdot \delta^{(3)}\left(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2\right) \delta\left(\left([\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2]\vec{n}\right)\right) \\ &\cdot \mathcal{M}\left(s, -\left[(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1)^2 - (\vec{n}(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1))^2\right]\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2s} \cdot \int_0^1 du \Phi^2(u) \mathcal{M}(s, -u\varepsilon) = \frac{\pi}{2s} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon dv \Phi^2\left(\frac{v}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{M}(s, -v). \end{aligned}$$

The function $\Phi(u)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \Phi(\vec{k}^2)|_{\vec{k}^2=u} = \int d\vec{p} \phi(\vec{p}^2) \phi\left((\vec{k} + \vec{p})^2\right), \\ \text{supp } \Phi(u) &= \{u : 0 \leq u \leq 1\}, \quad \Phi(0) = 1, \quad |\Phi(u)| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for large $s \rightarrow \infty$ the inequality (7) leads to

$$\left| \int_0^\varepsilon du \Phi^2\left(\frac{u}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{M}(s, -u) \right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \cdot s, \quad (0 < \varepsilon \ll s) \quad (11)$$

for positive functions $\Phi(u)$ and any value of the parameter ε .

This inequality for $t < t_1 < 0$ gives

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| < C(t_1) \cdot s, \quad (|t| > |t_1| > 0). \quad (12)$$

in comparison with

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| < C(t_0) \cdot s(\ln s)^{3/2}$$

mentioned in [1].

5 The total cross-section

Now let us consider the function $I(s, t) = \text{Im } \mathcal{M}(s, t)$. This function is a differentiable and convex down function in some vicinity of $t = 0$ so that for small enough ε

$$I(s, -u\varepsilon) \geq I(s, 0) - u\varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} I(s, t)|_{t=0}. \quad (13)$$

Then we get the inequality

$$\int_0^1 du \Phi^2(u) I(s, -u\varepsilon) \geq c_1 I(s, 0) - \varepsilon c_2 \frac{d}{dt} I(s, t)|_{t=0}. \quad (14)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants.

Inequalities (11) and (13) give

$$I(s, 0) < \frac{C}{4} \cdot \frac{s}{\varepsilon[1 - \varepsilon cb(s)]},$$

$$b(s) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln I(s, t)|_{t=0} \quad (15)$$

so that

$$I(s, 0) < \frac{C}{4} sb(s) \min_{\varepsilon cb(s)} \frac{1}{\varepsilon cb(s)[1 - \varepsilon cb(s)]} = Csb(s). \quad (16)$$

If the function $b(s) \rightarrow \text{const}$, we should choose $\varepsilon = \text{const}$; if the function $b(s) \rightarrow \infty$, we should choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{cb(s)}$.

According to the optical theorem, for $s \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) \sim \frac{I(s, 0)}{s} \leq Cb(s). \quad (17)$$

If the amplitude $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ has the Regge behavior

$$\mathcal{M}(s, t) \sim \Gamma(t) \left(\frac{s}{s_0} \right)^{\alpha(t)},$$

where $\alpha(t) = -at$ for small t , then $b(s) = a \ln s$ and

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) \leq C \ln s. \quad (18)$$

6 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof. A.V.Efremov, Prof. I.Todorov and Dr. S.N.Nedelko for useful discussions. This work was supported by grant RFFR N96-02-17435.

7 The optical theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{2 \rightarrow n} &= \langle \vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2 | T | \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{(2\pi)^4 \delta \left(k_1 + k_2 - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \right) \mathcal{M}_{2n}(k, p)}{(2\pi)^{3/2(n+2)} \sqrt{2\omega_1 2\omega_2} \prod_{j=1}^n \sqrt{2E_j}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{2 \rightarrow n}(s) = \frac{1}{J(s)} \int \delta \left(k_1 + k_2 - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \right) \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{2n}(k, p)|^2}{(2\pi)^{3n-4}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{d\vec{p}_j}{2E_j}$$

$$J(s) = \rho_1 \rho_2 v_{12} 2\omega_1 2\omega_2 (2\pi)^6 = 2\sqrt{s(s-4m^2)}, \quad s = (k_1 + k_2)^2$$

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\left[\frac{\sqrt{s}}{m} \right]} \sigma_{2 \rightarrow n}(s)$$

$$i(T - T^*) = TT^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\text{Im } \mathcal{M}_{22}(s, 0) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\left[\frac{\sqrt{s}}{m} \right]} \int \delta \left(k_1 + k_2 - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \right) \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{2n}(k, p)|^2}{(2\pi)^{3n-4}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{d\vec{p}_j}{2E_j} \\
 &= 2\sqrt{s(s-4m^2)} \sigma_{tot}(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) = \frac{\text{Im } \mathcal{M}_{22}(s, 0)}{\sqrt{s(s-4m^2)}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Im } \mathcal{M}_{22}(s, 0)}{s}$$

References

- [1] R.J.Eden, "*High Energy Collisions of Elementary Particles*", University Press, Cambridge, 1967;
- [2] P.D.B.Collins and E.J.Squires, "*Regge Poles in Particle Physics*", Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-NY, 1968;
- [3] V.Ya.Fainberg and M.Z.Iofa, *Il Nuovo Cimento*, **5A**, pp. 275-296, 1971;
- [4] G.V.Efimov, "*Nonlocal Interactions of Quantized Fields*", "Nauka", Moscow, 1977;
- [5] G.V.Efimov and M.A.Ivanov, "*Quark Confinement Model of Hadrons*", IOP Publishing Ltd, Bristol & Philadelphia, 1993;
- [6] G.V.Efimov and S.N.Nedelko, *Phys.Rev.*,**D51**,176-189, 1995.

Received by Publishing Department
on March 18, 1997.

**The Publishing Department
of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
offers you to acquire the following books:**

Index	Title
E7-93-274	Proceedings of the International School-Seminar on Heavy Ion Physics. Dubna, 1993 (2 volumes, 498 and 590 pp., stiffened cover, in English)
Д14-93-325	Proceedings of the Workshop «Activation Analysis in Environment Protection». Dubna, 1992 (in Russian and English)
Д1,9,13-93-459	Proceedings of the II Workshop on JINR Tau-Charm Factory. Dubna, 1993 (266 p. in Russian and English)
E7-94-19	Proceedings of the International Conference on Dynamical Aspects of Nuclear Fission. Smolenice, 1993 (318 p. in English)
94-55	Proceedings of the International Bogoliubov Memorial Meeting. Dubna, 1993 (216 p. in Russian and English)
E2-94-95	Proceedings of the International Symposium «Dubna Deuteron-93». Dubna, 1993 (390 p. in English)
Д14-94-269	Abstracts of the XXX Conference on Low Temperature Physics. Dubna, 1994 (2 volumes in Russian and English)
E7-94-270	Proceedings of the Workshop on Physical Experiments and First Results on Heavy Ion Storage and Cooler Rings. Smolenice, 1992 (324 p. in English)
E13-94-290	Abstracts of the 17th International Conference «Nuclear Tracks in Solids». Dubna, 1994 (296 p. in English)
E2-94-347	International Workshop «Symmetry Methods in Physics». In Memory of Professor Ya.A.Smorodinsky. Dubna, 1993 (2 volumes, 602 p. in English)
E4-94-370	Proceedings of the IV International Conference on Selected Topics in Nuclear Structure. Dubna, 1994 (412 p. in English)
E4-94-386	Proceedings of the VI Trilateral German-Russian-Ukrainian Seminar on High-Temperature Superconductivity. Dubna, 1994 (340 p. in English)
D2-94-390	D.I.Blokhintsev. Proceedings of the Seminars. Dedicated to the 85th Anniversary of the Birthday of D.I.Blokhintsev. Dubna, 1995 (271 p. in Russian and English)

Index	Title
E3-94-419	Proceedings of the II International Seminar on Neutron-Nucleus Interactions (ISINN-2) «Neutron Spectroscopy, Nuclear Structure, Related Topics». Dubna, 1994 (363 p. in English)
D13-94-491	Proceedings of the XVI International Symposium on Nuclear Electronics and VI International School on Automation and Computing in Nuclear Physics and Astrophysics. Varna, 1994 (246 p. in Russian and English)
D13,14-95-49	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Muon and Pion Interactions with Matter. Dubna, 1994 (304 p. in Russian and English)
D3-95-169	Proceedings of the International Seminar ADVANCED PULSED NEUTRON SOURCES: Physics of/at ADVANCED Pulsed Neutron Sources. PANS-II. Dubna, 1994 (336 p. in Russian and English)
D1-95-305	Proceedings of the XVII Workshop on Neutrino Detector IHEP—JINR. Dubna, 1995 (178 p. in Russian and English)
E3-95-307	Proceedings of the III International Seminar on Interaction of Neutrons with Nuclei. Neutron Spectroscopy, Nuclear Structure, Related Topics. Dubna, 1995 (356 p. in English)
D4-95-308	V.G.Soloviev. Frontiers in Nuclear Physics. Dedicated to the 70th Anniversary of the Birthday of V.G.Soloviev. Dubna, 1995 (238 p. in Russian and English)
D3,14-95-323	VII School on Neutron Physics. Lectures. Vol.1. Dubna, 1995 (356 p. in Russian and English)
E10,11-95-387	Proceedings of the ESONE International Conference RTD'94 on REAL TIME DATA 1994 with Emphasis on Distributed Front-End Processing. Dubna, 1994 (358 p. in English)

Please apply to the Publishing Department of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research for extra information. Our address is:

Publishing Department
 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
 Dubna, Moscow Region
 141980 Russia
 E-mail: publish@pds.jinr.dubna.su.

Унитарность и амплитуды при больших энергиях

В квантовой теории поля скалярных частиц с массой m получены следующие неравенства на амплитуду упругого рассеяния $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| < C(t_0)s, \quad (|t| \geq |t_0| > 0)$$

и на полное поперечное сечение скалярных частиц

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) \leq C \left| \frac{d}{dt} \ln \text{Im } \mathcal{M}(s, t) \right|_{t=0}, \quad (s \rightarrow \infty).$$

Результат основан на унитарности S -матрицы на массовой поверхности и естественном предположении, что мнимая часть амплитуды упругого рассеяния $\text{Im } \mathcal{M}(s, t)$ является дифференцируемой и выпуклой книзу функцией в некоторой окрестности точки $t = 0$. Условия локальности и аналитичности амплитуды в эллипсе Мартена — Лемана не используются при доказательстве этих неравенств.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики им. Н.Н.Боголюбова ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна, 1997

Unitarity and Amplitudes for High Energies

It is shown that in the quantum field theory of scalar particles with mass m the following inequalities for the upper bound for the amplitude of elastic scattering $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| < C(t_0)s, \quad (|t| \geq |t_0| > 0)$$

and for the total cross-section of scalar particles

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) \leq C \left| \frac{d}{dt} \ln \text{Im } \mathcal{M}(s, t) \right|_{t=0}, \quad (s \rightarrow \infty)$$

are valid. This result is based on the unitarity of the S -matrix on the mass shell and on a natural assumption that the imaginary part of the elastic scattering $\text{Im } \mathcal{M}(s, t)$ is a differentiable and convex down function in some vicinity of $t = 0$. The locality of the theory and the analyticity of the elastic amplitude in the Martin–Lehmann ellipse are not used in proving these inequalities.

The investigation has been performed at the Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1997

Макет Т.Е.Попеко

Подписано в печать 4.04.97

Формат 60 × 90/16. Офсетная печать. Уч.-изд.листов 1,35

Тираж 460. Заказ 49845. Цена 1620 р.

Издательский отдел Объединенного института ядерных исследований
Дубна Московской области