



MEDICAL PHYSICS EDUCATION AND TRAINING: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES – AN OVERVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES FOR “MEETING THE NEEDS”

A. NIROOMAND-RAD

Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington D.C., USA

IOMP (International Organization for Medical Physics)

While ionizing radiation has been used for over 100 years, in the last half-century we have seen dramatic improvements in the diagnosis and treatment of cancer, as well as other conditions such as cardiac ablation. Just 20 years ago, many of the cures we consider common today would have been miraculous. Much of our success comes from advances in science and technology that have helped us understand the nature of the disease, detect it earlier, calculate and measure radiation dose more accurately, and deliver it more precisely to where it is needed.

Medical physicists have brought scientific advancement and technological developments to medicine, especially in diagnosis and treatment of cancer patients. They have brought a unique perspective – that of a scientist trained in physics, including radiological and clinical physics – to cancer care. As part of a professional team, they play an important role in the safe delivery of radiation and in the development and implementation of quality assurance (QA) programs. In radiation therapy, medical physicists are as concerned with the radiation treatment outcome as radiation oncologists. Their first responsibility is to the patient. They strive to assure accurate delivery of treatment prescription (within 5%) to the target of interest while minimizing the dose to the surrounding uninvolved organs/tissues. They employ the best possible radiation treatment given the state of current technology, skills of the staff, and the resources available in the radiation oncology department. In 3D conformal treatments, because of potential serious injury due to dose escalation, they consider individual patient-specific data by employing proper imaging and image fusion techniques to calculate and deliver the optimal radiation treatment technique. Even though 10% error in radiation dose may double chance of disease recurrence, accurate delivery of radiation is essential in relieving pain, controlling tumor growth, and increasing survival rates as well.

Medical physicists prepare complex equipment to be used for delivery of radiation. They calibrate radiation beams from radiation producing equipment such as Cobalt machines, linear accelerators, simulators, CT-Sims, as well as brachytherapy sources and equipment such as low-, medium-, and high- dose rate (LDR, MDR, and HDR) units by measuring and analyzing the radiation dosage following national and international Codes of Practice. They input the radiation beam characteristic and source data to treatment planning computers and examine their accuracy in generating dose distributions. They need to understand the limitations of the complex calculation algorithms of the treatment planning systems. They must test and validate their accuracy under all possible conditions and/or configurations to assure proper dose calculation for the patient. Moreover, they have to be directly involved with calculation and delivery of complex treatment procedures such as intravascular brachytherapy, implants involving HDR units, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), and IMRT (intensity modulated radiation therapy) procedures. They must establish protocols for radiation procedures and evaluate radiation outcomes. As part of quality assurance measures, they must routinely check and monitor radiation producing equipment, radioactive sources, imaging machines, electronic portal imaging devices (EPID), and any other equipment / devices employed in cancer diagnosis and treatment. Moreover, they are involved with the education of the medical dosimetrists and radiation oncologists. They also train hospital staff such as radiation therapists / technologists and nurses in the proper handling of radiation producing equipment and radioactive materials in order to avoid harmful practices.

Therefore, without the knowledge and skills of the medical physicists, patients could be misdiagnosed and the treatment machine could miss the target or deliver the wrong radiation dose to the patient –

the hospital staff as well as the general public could also be exposed to unwarranted radiation. To prevent this, qualified medical physicists need to be present at any radiation facility. In Europe, the EU (European Union) members follow the European Commission's Medical Exposure Directive [97/43/EURATOM (MED), 1997] that requires the services of a qualified medical physicist at any radiation facility. Many professional organizations, including IOMP, concur with this Directive and recommend that it be adopted globally. In light of this increasing need for medical physicists and the growing responsibilities that have resulted from the ever-increasing complexity in biomedical and biophysics technology, proper education and training of medical physicists has become an extremely difficult challenge for many academic centers especially in developing countries. The existing academic and clinical residency programs in medical physics are not sufficient and/or adequate to meet the monotonically rising demand for qualified medical physicists worldwide.

Initially, a few decades ago, medical physics was considered to be applied physics and was being taught at a few splinter physics departments. But now it is being considered as one of the most precise health sciences and is being taught at medical physics departments; some are affiliated to medical schools as well. For instance in the US, the first fully-fledged medical physics department, affiliated with a medical school, was established at the University of Wisconsin - Madison in 1980. Since then, even though more medical physics departments have been established in the US and various parts of the world, the numbers of graduates are not sufficient to meet the needs especially in developing countries where the cancer population is rising. A possible solution is to establish regional medical physics programs such as the one that is being developed by IAEA for Africa under the African Regional Cooperative Agreement (AFRA) for the Member States. Another possible solution is to provide training to the trainers. An example of this model of "training the trainers" is the AAPM/IOMP International Scientific Exchange Programs (ISEP) that have been offered to many medical physicists in many developing countries since 1992.

In recent years, as the Medical Physics discipline and profession have matured, the roles and responsibilities of medical physicists as well as the requirements for educational and training programs have been more clearly defined by various national and international organizations. The terminology "qualified medical physicist" (QMP) has been introduced to designate an individual who is competent and legally authorized to practice in one or more of the sub-fields of medical physics. Moreover, certification and registration of the medical physicists by the professional organizations (such as American Board of Radiology or American Board of Medical Physics) in the appropriate sub-field(s) as well as continuing medical education (CME) and continuing professional development (CPD) for maintenance of certification (MOC) have become essential. Standards of practice have been developed by scientific and professional organizations such as AAPM (American Association of Physicists in Medicine), ACMP (American College of Medical Physics), and ACR (American College of Radiology), and EU Directives. Medical physicists have to meet the established minimum required standards. The standards are needed to harmonize education and training of medical physicists in order to assure high quality patient care. Lastly, the skills and training of medical physicists need to be updated on an ongoing basis in order that they may function effectively and independently.

LIST OF PAPERS BY SESSION

<u>IAEA-CN-96</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Title of Paper</u>
SESSION 1: OPENING		
1	P.J. Allisy-Roberts	The mutual recognition arrangement and primary standard dosimetry laboratory comparisons
2	P. Andreo	The role of the IAEA codes of practice in the radiation dosimetry dissemination chain
SESSION 2: ABSORBED DOSE STANDARDS AND CALORIMETRY		
3	J.P. Seuntjens	Review of calorimeter-based absorbed dose to water standards
4	S. Duane	A comparison of graphite standard calorimeters in megavoltage photon and electron beams
5	A. Krauss	The future PTB primary standard for absorbed dose to water in ⁶⁰ Co-radiation
6	J. Daures	Graphite calorimeter, the primary standard of absorbed dose at BNM-LNHB
7	M. Pieksma	Measurements of k _Q beam quality correction factors for the NE2611A chamber in high-energy photon beams using the NMI water calorimeter
8	G. Stucki	The METAS absorbed dose to water calibration service for high energy photon and electron beam radiotherapy
9P	M.R. McEwen	A portable graphite calorimeter for measuring absorbed dose in the radiotherapy clinic
10P	J. Medin	On the progress of a water calorimeter project for the verification of radiotherapy dosimetry
SESSION 3: AIR KERMA AND ABSORBED DOSE TO WATER STANDARDS FOR PHOTONS		
11	L. Büermann	Recent developments and current status of air kerma standards
13	D.T. Burns	The calculation of wall and non-uniformity correction factors for the BIPM air-kerma standard for ⁶⁰ Co using the Monte Carlo code PENELOPE
12	T. Kurosawa	Monte Carlo simulation for correction of cavity ionization chamber wall effects
15	H.-M. Kramer	Measurement of absorbed dose to water for low and medium energy x-rays
17P	F. Hobeila	Effect of XCOM photoelectric cross-sections on dosimetric quantities calculated with EGSnrc
14P	I.A. Kharitonov	Air kerma national standard of Russian Federation for x-ray and gamma radiation. Activity SSDL/VNIIM in medical radiation dosimetry field
16P	M. Vijayam	Status of air kerma and absorbed dose standards in India
18P	J.G.P. Peixoto	Implementation of the Brazilian primary standard for x-rays
SESSION 4: PLENARY SESSION: "MEETING THE NEEDS"		
141	S.L. Whelan	Cancer epidemiology in developing countries
142	J. van Dyk	Megavoltage radiation therapy: meeting the technological needs
143	C.V. Levin	Issues of health economics in the practice of radiotherapy in developing countries
144	C. Borrás	Use of imaging techniques in radiation oncology
145	A. Niroomand-Rad	Medical physics education and training: opportunities and challenges – an overview of international activities for "meeting the needs"

SESSION 5: DOSIMETRY PROTOCOLS AND COMPARISONS - I

19	D.I. Thwaites	Experience with the UK (IPEM) absorbed-dose-to-water radiotherapy dosimetry protocols for photons (1990) and electrons (2002)
20	L.N. Rodrigues	Implementation of the new IAEA code of practice in Brazil
21	A. Kosunen	A Finnish national code of practice for reference dosimetry of radiation therapy
22	K.E. Rosser	The UK code of practice for kV x-ray dosimetry
23	H. Bjerke	The Norwegian system for implementing the IAEA code of practice based on absorbed dose to water
24	R.-P. Kapsch	Dose determination in electron beams in accordance to IAEA TRS 398 using different ionization chambers

SESSION 6 DOSIMETRY PROTOCOLS AND COMPARISONS – II

25	M.S. Huq	Intercomparison of absorbed dose to water and air-kerma based dosimetry protocols for photon and electron beams
26	I.H. Ferreira	Application of the IAEA code of practice TRS-398 with ionisation chambers calibrated in a series of high energy photon and electron beams by PSDL in France and UK
27	G.H. Hartmann	Testing of the new IAEA code of practice TRS-398 with photons and electrons at the German Cancer Research Center Heidelberg, Germany
28	M.R. McEwen	Absorbed dose calibration factors for parallel-plate chambers in high energy photon beams
29	K.J. Stewart	A novel micro liquid ionization chamber for clinical dosimetry
30	K. Derikum	Correcting for ion recombination effects in ionisation chambers consistently in continuous and pulsed radiation
31P	P. Andreo	Electron beam dosimetry in TRS-398: theoretical vs experimental k_{Q,Q_0} values. Influence on D_w of the various plane-parallel chamber calibration modalities
32P	A. Meghzifene	Stability of reference class ionization chambers used for radiotherapy dosimetry: IAEA experience
33P	R. Parkkinen	Development of calibration procedures for the electron beam calibration of plane parallel ionization chambers
34P	H. Bjerke	A water phantom for cross calibration and reference dose determination in high energy electron and photon beams
35P	M. Arib	The effect of waterproofing sleeves on the response of farmer like ionization chambers
36P	G.E. Gorlachev	Ionization chamber with build-up cup spectral sensitivity to megavoltage (0.5-20 MEV) photon fluencies in free air
37P	L. BenOmrane	Accurate characterization of kilovoltage x-ray units for dosimetry using Monte Carlo simulations
38P	A. Meghzifene	Comparison of calibration coefficients in the IAEA/WHO network of SSDLs

SESSION 7: DOSIMETRY ISSUES FOR DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY

39	J. Zoetelief	Dosimetry in diagnostic and interventional radiology - ICRU and IAEA activities
40	J. Karppinen	The dose-length product (DLP) is the basic dosimetric quantity in CT

IAEA-CN-96**Name****Title of Paper**

- | | | |
|----|----------------|--|
| 41 | K. Meghizifene | The effect of anode surface roughness on radiation output for diagnostic x-ray sources |
| 42 | K.A. Spanswick | The choice of sensors for reference and field use in diagnostic radiology: some performance issues |
| 43 | J.Th.M. Jansen | Determination of equivalent copper thickness of patient equivalent phantoms in terms of attenuation, used in radiology |

SESSION 8a: POSTERS ON DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY

- | | | |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 140 | H.-M. Kramer | Introduction |
| 44P | A.D. Meade | Proposed amendments to equipment standards for dosimetry instrumentation in interventional radiology |
| 45P | F. Bochud | Verification of radiation diagnostic control instruments in Switzerland |
| 46P | J. Zoetelief | Recommendations for patient dosimetry in diagnostic radiology using TLD |
| 47P | F. Pernicka | Comparison of TLD air kerma measurements in mammography |
| 48P | Y. Picard | Clinical diagnostic Compton scattering x-ray spectrometry using simulated HPGe detector responses |
| 49P | B. Gwiazdowska | Calibration procedures for mammography dosimeters in Poland |
| 50P | M.S. Salikin | Mammography calibration facility in medical physics laboratory, Malaysian Institute for Nuclear Technology Research (MINT) |
| 51P | J.G.P. Peixoto | Mammography calibration: factor or fit? |
| 52P | M.P.A. Potiens | Evaluation of a transfer system for calibration of kVp meters and ionization chambers |
| 53P | C. Lavoie | Measurement of patient dose during angiographic procedures |
| 54P | G. Bartal | Methodological aspects of patient exposure readings in interventional radiology |
| 55P | I.I. Suliman | Recommendations for equipment requirements and specifications for digital and interventional radiology: dosimetric aspects |

SESSION 8b: POSTERS ON DOSIMETRY PROTOCOLS AND COMPARISONS

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------|--|
| 146 | P. Andreo | Introduction |
| 56P | A. Fiume | Implementation of the international code of practice for dosimetry based on standards of absorbed dose to water at the clinical level: first experiences and comparison with a previously used, N_k based protocol |
| 57P | K.N. Govinda Rajan | Testing of N_k and $N_{D,w}$ based IAEA codes of practice for clinical photon beams |
| 58P | D. Linero | Evaluation of codes of practice: IAEA TRS-277, TRS-381, TRS-398 and AAPM TG-51 in high photon and electron beams |
| 59P | J. Novotny | Comparison of IAEA protocols for clinical electron beam dosimetry |
| 60P | M.C. Lopes | Absolute dose determination in electron beams – intercomparison of methodologies |
| 61P | M. Vijayam | Verification of the IAEA TRS-398 code of practice for medium energy x-ray beams and experimental determination of C_k values at Co-60 energy for different types of ionisation chambers |
| 62P | S. Suriyapee | Absorbed dose determination in high energy photon beams using new IAEA TRS-398 code of practice |

SESSION 9: NUCLEAR MEDICINE DOSIMETRY

63	M.G. Stabin	Radiation dose assessment in nuclear medicine
64	B.E. Zimmerman	Quality assurance programmes for radioactivity measurements in nuclear medicine
65	H. Zaidi	Monte Carlo techniques in diagnostic and therapeutic nuclear medicine
66	M.J. Woods	Performance and quality control of radionuclide calibrators in nuclear medicine
67P	J. Gaudio	Relationship of doses and bone uptake with dosimetric results in bone pain treatment with ¹⁸⁸ Rhenium-HEDP
68P	V. Olšovcová	Comparisons of activity measurements in nuclear medicine with radionuclide calibrators in the Czech Republic
69P	K. Biju	Estimation of dose distribution of the radionuclides used in radiation synovectomy using Monte Carlo method

SESSION 10: BRACHYTHERAPY

70	C.G. Soares	Source specification and codes of practice for brachytherapy dosimetry
71	J. van der Marel	Development of the Dutch primary standard for beta-emitting brachytherapy sources
72	H.-J. Selbach	New developments on primary standards for brachytherapy at NIST (US) and PTB (Germany)
73	J. Böhm	The need for international standardization in clinical beta dosimetry for brachytherapy
74	G. Hilgers	Energy dependence of the air kerma response of a liquid ionization chamber at photon energies between 8 keV and 1250 keV
75	E. van Dijk	Comparison of two different methods to determine the air kerma calibration factor (N_k) for ¹⁹² Ir

SESSION 11: RADIOTHERAPY DOSIMETRY AUDITS

76	J. Izewska	Worldwide QA networks for radiotherapy dosimetry
77	I.H. Ferreira	The ESTRO European assurance programme for radiation treatments (EQUAL network)
78	C. Pychlau	A radiation therapy TLD service in Germany: the experience of the first year
79	V.G. Smyth	The New Zealand audit of radiotherapy dosimetry: practical considerations and results
81	D.I. Thwaites	The UK radiotherapy dosimetry audit network
82	J.F. Aguirre	TLD as a tool for remote verification of output for radiotherapy beams: 25 years of experience
80P	R.A.S. Thomas	The role of the National Physical Laboratory in monitoring and improving dosimetry in UK radiotherapy
83P	S. Vatnitsky	Deviations outside the acceptance limits in the IAEA/WHO TLD audits for radiotherapy hospitals
84P	S. Onori	Alanine/EPR dosimetry as a reference system in radiotherapy
85P	G. Ibbott	An anthropomorphic head and neck phantom for evaluation of intensity modulated radiation therapy

IAEA-CN-96	Name	Title of Paper
SESSION 12a: POSTERS ON BRACHYTHERAPY		
147	H. Tölli	Introduction
86P	H. Tölli	Consistency in the calibration of Ir-192 high dose rate sources
87P	R. Ochoa	Design and implementation of a phantom for a quality control of high dose rate ¹⁹² Ir sources used in brachytherapy
88P	S. Pszona	A new approach for standardizing absorbed dose from beta radioactive wires used for intravascular brachytherapy
89P	A. Shanta	Implementation of IAEA recommendations to brachytherapy source calibration in India
90P	M.H. Maréchal	Audits in high dose rate brachytherapy in Brazil
91P	S. Subramaniam	Application of gel dosimetry - a preliminary study on verification of uniformity of activity and length of source used in Beta-cath TM system
92P	C. Tannanonta	Comparison of calibration techniques for ¹⁹² Ir high dose rate brachytherapy sources
93P	K.N. Govinda Rajan	Traceable calibration of hospital ¹⁹² Ir HDR sources
94P	Nasukha	High dose rate Ir-192 calibration: Indonesia experiences
95P	Y. Chen	Empirical expression for dosimetry of beta nuclide for endovascular brachytherapy
96P	E.K. Nani	Transit dose calculations in HDR brachytherapy revisited: the Sievert integral
SESSION 12b: POSTERS ON RADIOTHERAPY DOSIMETRY AUDITS		
137	J. Izewska	IAEA-supported national TLD audit networks for radiotherapy dosimetry
97	D. Kroutiliková	TLD quality assurance (QA) network in radiotherapy and radiology in the Czech Republic
99P	M.C. Saravi	Dosimetric quality control in radiotherapy using TLD methodology
100P	M. Arib	Establishment of a quality audit programme for radiation therapy dosimetry in Algeria
101P	A.M. Campos de Araujo	Quality control programme for radiotherapy
102P	K. Li	Quality assurance in radiotherapy dosimetry in China
103P	J.L. Alonso-Samper	Cuban experience in dosimetry quality audit program in radiotherapy
104P	G.Y. Kim	A quality assurance program for radiotherapy centers in the Republic of Korea
105P	N. Lingatong	Quality audit of Philippine radiotherapy centres
106P	W. Bulski	External quality audits in radiotherapy in Poland
108P	M.E. Castellanos	National TLD network for beam calibration quality control in Colombia
109P	R. Huntley	A TLD therapy dosimetry quality assurance program for Australia
SESSION 13: PROTON AND HADRON DOSIMETRY		
110	S. Vatnitsky	Codes of practice and protocols for the dosimetry in reference conditions of proton and ion beams
111	A. Coray	Dosimetry with the scanned proton beam on the PSI gantry

<u>IAEA-CN-96</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Title of Paper</u>
112	O. Jäkel	Dosimetry of C12-ion beams at the German Heavy Ion Therapy Facility – comparison between the currently used approach and the new CoP TRS-398
113	A. Kacperek	Proton dosimetry intercomparison using parallel plate ion chambers in a proton eye therapy beam
114P	P.M. Munck af Rosenschöld	Photon quality correction factors for ionization chambers in an epithermal neutron beam
115P	A. Fukumura	Proton beam dosimetry - protocol and intercomparison in Japan
116P	S. Vatnitsky	Parallel plate and thimble ionization chamber calibrations in proton beams using the IAEA TRS 398 and ICRU 59 recommendations

SESSION 14: DEVELOPMENTS IN CLINICAL RADIOTHERAPY DOSIMETRY

117	C. Baldock	Radiotherapy gel dosimetry
118	C.E. Andersen	Development of optical fibre luminescence techniques for real-time in-vivo dosimetry in radiotherapy
119	G. Ibbott	An anthropomorphic head phantom with a Bang [®] polymer gel insert for dosimetric evaluation of IMRT treatment delivery
120	C.-M. Ma	Clinical implementation and quality assurance for intensity modulated radiation therapy
121	B.J. Mijnheer	QUASIMODO: an ESTRO project for performing quality assurance of treatment planning systems and IMRT
122P	C. Baldock	Factors affecting the extraction of absorbed dose information in 3D polymer gel dosimeters by x-ray computed tomography
123P	C. Baldock	Acoustic evaluation of polymer gel dosimeters
124P	E.S. Bergstrand	The photon energy dependence of the alanine/EPR dosimetry system, an experimental investigation
126P	M. Takeyeddin	Ionising radiation induced polymerisation for radiation dose measurements and its applications in radiotherapy
127P	A.M. Chervjakov	Clinical dosimeter based on diamond detector
129P	C. De Angelis	Dosimetry in radiotherapy with natural diamond detectors
130P	F.D.G. Rocha	Thermoluminescent thin Al ₂ O ₃ sintered pellets for dosimetric applications in the therapeutic range
131P	D.I. Thwaites	Experience with in vivo diode dosimetry for verifying radiotherapy dose delivery: practical implementation of cost-effective approaches
132P	R. Baranczyk	Verification of dose distribution calculations by treatment planning systems in conditions of simulated radiotherapy using thermoluminescent detectors
133P	G. Tosi	Italian guidelines for quality assurance in intraoperative radiation therapy: physical aspects
134P	M. Brunetto	Electron beam pseudoarc therapy: dose distribution calculations by means of the Monte Carlo method
135P	M.C. Lopes	Basic dosimetry of radiosurgery narrow beams using Monte Carlo simulations - a detailed study of depth of dose maximum
136P	W.D. Newhauser	Preparations for the next generation of clinical trials with proton therapy

SESSION 15: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

148	K.R. Shortt	Recommendations
-----	-------------	-----------------