

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization  
and  
International Atomic Energy Agency  
THE ABDUS SALAM INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

**ŁOJASIEWICZ EXPONENTS AND NEWTON POLYHEDRA**

Phạm Tiến Sơn<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Dalat University, Dalat, Vietnam  
and*

*The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.*

**Abstract**

In this paper we obtain the exact value of the Łojasiewicz exponent at the origin of analytic map germs on  $\mathbb{K}^n$  ( $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) under the Newton non-degeneracy condition, using information from their Newton polyhedra. We also give some conclusions on Newton non-degenerate analytic map germs. As a consequence, we obtain a link between Newton non-degenerate ideals and their integral closures, thus leading to a simple proof of a result of Saia. Similar results are also considered to polynomial maps which are Newton non-degenerate at infinity.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

July 2006

---

<sup>1</sup>Regular Associate of ICTP. [pham\\_ts@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:pham_ts@yahoo.co.uk)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.** Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be an analytic map germ, where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . We define the *Lojasiewicz exponent*  $L_0(f)$  of the germ  $f$  = the greatest lower bound of the set of all real numbers  $l > 0$  which satisfy the condition: there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i(x)| \geq c\|x\|^l \quad \text{for } \|x\| \ll 1.$$

If the set of all the exponents is empty we put  $L_0(f) = +\infty$ . It is well known that  $L_0(f) < +\infty$  if and only if  $f$  has an isolated zero at the origin in  $\mathbb{K}^n$ .

Calculating explicitly the Lojasiewicz exponent  $L_0(f)$  is important in the theory of singularities. There are some previous works which give an upper estimate for this number. For instance, when  $g$  is a complex analytic function of two variables, some formulae for  $L_0(\text{grad } g)$  are obtained as in [20]. In the papers [23], [11], [3], [4], [1], estimates for the Lojasiewicz exponent  $L_0(\text{grad } g)$  in terms of the Newton diagram of Newton non-degenerate complex analytic function germs  $g$  (in the sense of [18]) are also given. It seems more difficult to obtain effective estimates in the real case (see [19], [10], [15], [16], [17], [2]).

The first aim of this paper is to calculate the Lojasiewicz exponent  $L_0(f)$  in terms of the Newton polyhedron of an analytic map germ  $f$ , under the Newton non-degeneracy condition. Moreover, motivated by the works of Yoshinaga [28], Saia [27] and Bivià-Ausina [4], we also extract some conclusions on Newton non-degenerate analytic map germs. As a consequence, we obtain a connection between Newton non-degenerate ideals and their integral closures. In particular, we retrieve a result of Saia in [27].

Our method is actually different from the argument of the previous authors: the proof, based on the ideas of Kuo and Lojasiewicz, uses only the Curve Selection Lemma as a tool.

**2.** We next suppose that  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k$  is a polynomial mapping. We define the *Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity*  $L_\infty(f)$  of the map  $f$  = the smallest upper bound of the set of all real numbers  $l > 0$  which satisfy the condition: there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i(x)| \geq c\|x\|^l \quad \text{for } \|x\| \gg 1.$$

If the set of all the exponents is empty we put  $L_\infty(f) = -\infty$ .

In the case  $n = 2$ , Hà [12] (see also [22]) gave an exact formula for the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity  $L_\infty(\text{grad } g)$  of the gradient of a complex polynomial  $g$ , and he showed a link between  $L_\infty(\text{grad } g)$  and the singularities at infinity of  $g$ . In the papers [6], [7] Chadzynski and Krasinski described the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity of a polynomial mapping  $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ . In particular, they obtained a characterization of a component of a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  from a characterization of  $L_\infty(f)$ . Kollár [17] (see also [9]) gave an effective estimate for the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity of real polynomial maps with a compact zero set.

The second aim of this paper is to calculate the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity  $L_\infty(f)$  in terms of the Newton polyhedron of a polynomial map  $f$ , under Newton non-degeneracy at infinity assumption.

**3.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1 we shall give some formulae for the Lojasiewicz exponents. Their proofs are given in Section 2.2. These results constitute a generalization of ones of [13] on the Newton-Puiseux approximation and Lojasiewicz exponents. In Section 3 we find some characterizations of analytic map germs which are Newton non-degenerate. Finally, a relation between Newton non-degenerate ideals and their integral closures is also obtained.

## 2. ŁOJASIEWICZ EXPONENTS

**2.1. Notations and statement of the results.** We first recall the definitions of Newton polyhedron and Newton non-degeneracy. These are essentially standard, and were established in [18]. Let  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \subset \mathbb{R}$  be the sets of all nonnegative integers, all nonnegative real numbers, and all real numbers respectively. Let  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We write  $\mathbb{K}^J := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \alpha_j = 0 \text{ if } j \notin J\}$ . For any map germ  $f: (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  we denote by  $f|_{\mathbb{K}^J}$  the map germ  $f$  where the indeterminate  $x_j$  is zero whenever  $j \notin J$ .

Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be an analytic map germ. If the Taylor expansions of  $f_i$  are  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha(i) x^\alpha$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , (where  $x^\alpha$  denotes the monomial  $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ ), the *support*  $\text{supp}(f)$  is defined to be  $\cup_{i=1}^k \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid a_\alpha(i) \neq 0\}$ . We define  $\Gamma_+(f)$  to be the convex hull of the set  $\cup_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(f)} (\alpha + \mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , we consider a *supporting hyperplane*  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle m, \alpha \rangle = \nu\}$  of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  such that

$$\langle m, \alpha \rangle \geq \nu \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma_+(f).$$

These conditions determine  $\nu$  uniquely, while  $\Gamma_+(f)$  is given by the system of inequalities<sup>2</sup>  $\langle m, \alpha \rangle \geq \nu$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . A *face* of the boundary of the Newton polyhedron  $\Gamma_+(f)$  is an intersection of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  with some supporting hyperplane. The union of the compact faces of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  is called the *Newton diagram*  $\Gamma(f)$  of  $f$ .

For a face  $\gamma \in \Gamma(f)$  we put  $f_{i,\gamma}(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in \gamma} a_\alpha(i) x^\alpha$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We say that  $f$  is *Newton non-degenerate* if for any face  $\gamma \in \Gamma(f)$ , the functions  $f_{i,\gamma}$  have no common zero in  $(\mathbb{K} - \{0\})^n$ . It is easily seen from Sard's lemma that the Newton non-degenerate condition is generic in the sense of Kouchnirenko (see [18]).

The germ  $f$  is said to be *convenient* if the Newton diagram  $\Gamma(f)$  meets all coordinate axes. It is worth noting that if  $f$  has isolated zero at the origin then  $f$  is convenient. In this case let  $l_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the length from the origin to the intersection point of  $\Gamma(f)$  and the  $\alpha_j$ -axis.

---

<sup>2</sup>The system of inequalities is infinite; however, there exists a finite number of inequalities of which the remaining inequalities are a consequence.

Define a positive integer number  $l_0(f)$  by the following formula

$$l_0(f) := \max_{j=1,2,\dots,n} l_j.$$

A version of the following result for the case  $n = 2$  can be found in [13].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be a convenient analytic map germ. If  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate, then  $l_0(f)$  is equal to the Lojasiewicz exponent  $L_0(f)$  of  $f$ .*

Here we assume that  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k$  is a polynomial map. We express  $f$  as follows:  $f_i(x) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d_i} a_\alpha(i) x^\alpha$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , (where  $d_i := \deg f_i$  is the degree of  $f_i$ ). The support  $\text{supp}(f)$  is defined to be  $\cup_{i=1}^k \{|\alpha| \leq d_i \mid a_\alpha(i) \neq 0\}$ . We define  $\Gamma_-(f)$  to be the convex hull of the set  $\{0\} \cup \text{supp}(f)$ . The Newton diagram at infinity of  $f$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_\infty(f)$ , is the polyhedron formed by the closed faces of  $\Gamma_-(f)$  which do not contain the origin. As before, for each closed face  $\gamma$  of the polyhedron  $\Gamma_\infty(f)$  we denote by  $f_{i,\gamma}$  the polynomial  $\sum_{\alpha \in \gamma} a_\alpha(i) x^\alpha$ .  $f$  is called Newton non-degenerate at infinity if for each face  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty(f)$ , the polynomial functions  $f_{i,\gamma}$  have no common zero in  $(\mathbb{K} - \{0\})^n$ .

The polynomial map  $f$  is said to be convenient if the Newton diagram  $\Gamma_\infty(f)$  meets all coordinate axes. In this case let  $l_{j,\infty}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the length from the origin to the intersection point of  $\Gamma_\infty(f)$  and the  $\alpha_j$ -axis. We define  $l_\infty(f)$  by

$$l_\infty(f) := \min_{j=1,2,\dots,n} l_{j,\infty}.$$

The next theorem was proved in [13] for  $n = 2$ , that is, for polynomial maps in two variables.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k$  be a convenient polynomial map. Suppose that  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate at infinity. Then  $l_\infty(f)$  is equal to the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity  $L_\infty(f)$  of  $f$ .*

**2.2. Proofs.** We prove only Theorem 2.1. The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows by entirely analogous arguments but instead of working in a small sphere we work in the complement of a large sphere.

The proof of the following lemma is clear from the definitions.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be an analytic map germ. Suppose that  $f$  is convenient. For every  $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  then*

- (i)  $f|_{\mathbb{K}^J}$  is convenient. Moreover, if the germ  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate, then so is  $f|_{\mathbb{K}^J}$ .
- (ii)  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J}) = \Gamma_+(f) \cap \mathbb{R}^J$ .

Let  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle m, \alpha \rangle = \nu\}$  be the supporting hyperplane of a given face  $\gamma \in \Gamma(f)$ . The next lemma indicates a convenient way to determine  $f_{i,\gamma}$  from  $f_i$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . We have*

$$f_i(t^m \bullet x) = t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(x) + o(t^\nu) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $t^m \bullet x := (t^{m_1} x_1, t^{m_2} x_2, \dots, t^{m_n} x_n)$ .

*Proof.* By definition,  $\langle m, \alpha \rangle \geq \nu$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+(f)$  with equality if and only if  $\alpha \in \gamma$ . Moreover, it is obvious that

$$f_{i,\gamma}(t^m \bullet x) = t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(x).$$

This implies the lemma. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be a convenient analytic map germ. If  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate, then the origin in  $\mathbb{K}^n$  is an isolated zero of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* This proof is due to Wall [30] (see also [29], [14]).

Suppose that the claim does not hold. Then, by the Curve Selection Lemma [25], there exists an analytic curve

$$\varphi: [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n, \quad t \mapsto (\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)),$$

such that  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ , and

$$f_1[\varphi(t)] = f_2[\varphi(t)] = \dots = f_k[\varphi(t)] = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \epsilon).$$

Let  $J$  be the set of all the indices  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\varphi_j$  does not vanish identically. For  $j \in J$ , expand the coordinate  $\varphi_j$  in terms of the parameter: say

$$\varphi_j(t) = x_j^0 t^{m_j} + \text{higher order terms in } t,$$

where  $x_j^0$  is non-zero number and  $m_j > 0$ .

We consider the set  $\Gamma'$ , obtained by intersecting the Newton diagram  $\Gamma(f)$  and the subspace  $\mathbb{R}^J$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\Gamma' \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma'$  is the Newton diagram of  $f|_{\mathbb{K}^J}$ . Let  $\nu$  be the least value attained by the linear function  $\alpha \mapsto \sum_{j \in J} m_j \alpha_j$  on  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J})$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the face of  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J})$  along which this value is attained. Then, by Lemma 2.4, the functions  $f_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , restricted on  $\varphi$  have the form

$$f_i[\varphi(t)] = t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) + \text{higher order terms in } t,$$

where  $x_j^0 := 1$  whenever  $j \notin J$ . (Note that the functions  $f_{i,\gamma}$  are independent on the variables  $x_j$  for all  $j \notin J$ .)

But by hypothesis, all functions  $f_i$  vanish along  $\varphi$ . So in particular,

$$f_{i,\gamma}(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

which contradicts Newton non-degeneracy of  $f$  because  $(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in (\mathbb{K} - \{0\})^n$ . This completes the proof. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By Lemma 2.5, the Łojasiewicz exponent  $L_0(f)$  is finite.

Without loss of generality we may assume  $l_0(f) = l_1$ —the length from the origin to the intersection point of  $\Gamma(f)$  and the  $\alpha_1$ -axis. Let  $H$  denote the  $\alpha_1$ -axis. Then it is easy to check that

$$\min_{i=1,2,\dots,k} O(f_i|_H) = l_1,$$

where  $O(f_i|_H)$  is the multiplicity of the restriction of  $f_i$  to  $H$ . Hence

$$L_0(f) \geq l_1 = l_0(f).$$

By the above inequality, one has only to prove that  $L_0(f) \leq l_0(f)$ . By the definition of  $L_0(f)$ , it suffices to show that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i(x)| \geq c\|x\|^{l_0(f)}$$

for  $\|x\|$  sufficiently small and for  $c > 0$ . Suppose that this is not the case. By standard argument, based again on the Curve Selection Lemma [25], there exists an analytic curve

$$\varphi: [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n, \quad t \mapsto (\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)),$$

passing through the origin, such that

$$(1) \quad \max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i[\varphi(t)]| \ll \|\varphi(t)\|^{l_0(f)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $J$  be the set of all the indices  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\varphi_j$  does not vanish identically. For  $j \in J$ , expand the coordinate  $\varphi_j$  in terms of the parameter: say

$$\varphi_j(t) = x_j^0 t^{m_j} + \text{higher order terms in } t,$$

where  $x_j^0$  is the non-zero number and  $m_j > 0$ . Let  $m_* := \min_{j \in J} m_j > 0$ . Then, we have, asymptotically as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\|\varphi(t)\| \simeq |t|^{m_*}.$$

We consider the set  $\Gamma'$ , obtained by intersecting the Newton diagram  $\Gamma(f)$  and the subspace  $\mathbb{R}^J$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\Gamma' \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma'$  is the Newton diagram of  $f|_{\mathbb{K}^J}$ . Let  $\nu$  be the least value attained by the linear function  $\alpha \mapsto \sum_{j \in J} m_j \alpha_j$  on  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J})$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  denote the face of  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J})$  along which this value is attained. Then it is obvious that  $\nu/m_*$  is equal to the maximum of the lengths from the origin to the intersection points of  $\Gamma'$  and the  $\alpha_j$ -axis,  $j \in J$ . From this observation, together with the definition of  $l_0(f)$ , we obtain the following inequality

$$(2) \quad \nu \leq m_* l_0(f).$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4 we get

$$f_i[\varphi(t)] = t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) + o(t^\nu),$$

where  $x_j^0 := 1$  if  $j \notin J$ . Since  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate, not all the values  $f_{i,\gamma}(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0)$  are zero. Consequently,

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i[\varphi(t)]| \simeq |t|^\nu \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from (1), asymptotically as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , that

$$|t|^\nu \ll \|\varphi(t)\|^{l_0(f)} \simeq |t|^{m_* l_0(f)}.$$

Therefore

$$\nu > m_* l_0(f),$$

which contradicts (2). This ends the proof. □

**Example 2.6.** (See [27]). Let  $f := (f_1, f_2): (\mathbb{K}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^2, 0)$ , where  $f_1 = x^8 + xy^5$  and  $f_2 = y^8 + yx^5$ . Then  $f$  is convenient and  $l_0(f) = 8$ . The 1-dimensional compact faces of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  are  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  with vertices  $\{(0, 8), (1, 5)\}$ ,  $\{(1, 5), (5, 1)\}$  and  $\{(5, 1), (8, 0)\}$  respectively. The ideal  $I$  is Newton non-degenerate since there is no common solution in  $(\mathbb{K} - \{0\})^2$  for the equations  $f_{1,\gamma_i} = f_{2,\gamma_i} = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $L_0(f) = l_0(f) = 8$ .

**Remark 2.7.** (i) After the preparation of this paper we have learnt that Theorem 2.1 was also proved in the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  by Bivià-Ausina [4] using a different argument.

(ii) In general, as we see in the next example, the conditions  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and  $L_0(f) = l_0(f)$  do not imply that  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $f := (f_1, f_2): (\mathbb{K}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^2, 0)$ , where  $f_1 = xy - y^2$  and  $f_2 = x^3$ . The 1-dimensional compact faces of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  are  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  with vertices  $\{(0, 2), (1, 1)\}$  and  $\{(1, 1), (3, 0)\}$  respectively. The germ  $f$  is non Newton non-degenerate since any point  $(t, t)$  with  $t \neq 0$  is a solution of the equations  $f_{1,\gamma_1} = f_{2,\gamma_1} = 0$ . On the other hand, it is not difficult to verify that  $f^{-1}(0, 0) = \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $L_0(f) = l_0(f) = 3$ . This works both over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ .

### 3. THE NEWTON NON-DEGENERATE CONDITION

We are motivated by the works of Yoshinaga [28], Saia [27] and Bivià-Ausina [4] on the characterization of Newton non-degenerate complex analytic map germs. In this section, following this procedure, we will give some conclusions of the class of (complex or real) analytic map germs which are Newton non-degenerate. However, our arguments are based on other ideas, more precisely, we use only the Curve Selection Lemma. Firstly, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be a convenient analytic map germ. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate.
- (ii) Take any monomial  $x^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+(f)$ . There exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i(x)| \geq c \|x^\alpha\| \quad \text{for } \|x\| \ll 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a monomial  $x^{\alpha^0}$  with  $\alpha^0 \in \Gamma_+(f)$  such that the claim (ii) does not hold. Then, by the Curve Selection Lemma [25], there exists an analytic curve

$$\varphi: [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n, \quad t \mapsto (\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)),$$

such that  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ , and

$$(3) \quad \max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i[\varphi(t)]| \ll \|[\varphi(t)]^{\alpha^0}\| \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $J$  be the set of all the indices  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\varphi_j$  does not vanish identically. It is clear that  $J \neq \emptyset$  and for  $j \notin J$  the  $j$ -th component,  $\alpha_j^0$ , of  $\alpha^0$  is zero.

For  $j \in J$ , expand the coordinate  $\varphi_j$  in terms of the parameter: say

$$\varphi_j(t) = x_j^0 t^{m_j} + \text{higher order terms in } t,$$

where  $x_j^0$  is non-zero number and  $m_j > 0$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the least value attained by the linear function  $\alpha \mapsto \sum_{j \in J} m_j \alpha_j$  on  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J})$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the face of  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^J})$  along which this value is attained. Then, by Lemma 2.4, the functions  $f_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , restricted on  $\varphi$  have the form

$$f_i[\varphi(t)] = t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) + \text{higher order terms in } t.$$

Since  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate, not all the values  $f_{i,\gamma}(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0)$  are zero. Thus,

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i[\varphi(t)]| \simeq |t|^\nu \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, it follows from (3), asymptotically as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , that

$$|t|^\nu \ll \|[\varphi(t)]^{\alpha^0}\| \simeq |t|^{\langle m, \alpha^0 \rangle}.$$

This gives  $\nu > \langle m, \alpha^0 \rangle$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\alpha^0 \in \Gamma_+(f)$ .

Suppose now that (i) fails. Then there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma(f)$  and  $x^0 \in (\mathbb{K} - \{0\})^n$  such that

$$(4) \quad f_{1,\gamma}(x^0) = f_{2,\gamma}(x^0) = \dots = f_{k,\gamma}(x^0) = 0.$$

Let  $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the smallest set of indices such that the subspace  $\mathbb{R}^J$  contains  $\gamma$ . Let  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^J \mid \langle m, \alpha \rangle = \nu\}$  be a supporting hyperplane of  $\gamma \subset \Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^n})$ . That means  $\langle m, \alpha \rangle \geq \nu$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{K}^n})$  with equality if and only if  $\alpha \in \gamma$ . Define the monomial curve  $\varphi: [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n, t \mapsto \varphi(t)$ , by

$$\varphi_j(t) = \begin{cases} x_j^0 t^{m_j} & \text{if } j \in J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Take any  $\alpha \in \gamma \cap \text{supp}(f) \subset \Gamma_+(f) \cap \mathbb{N}^n$ . By definition, it is clear that

$$\|[\varphi(t)]^\alpha\| \simeq |t|^{\langle m, \alpha \rangle} = |t|^\nu.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.4 and the relation (4) that

$$f_i[\varphi(t)] = t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(x^0) + o(t^\nu) = o(t^\nu) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

These imply that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i[\varphi(t)]| \ll \|[\varphi(t)]^\alpha\| \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

which contradicts (ii). This completes the proof.  $\square$

We denote by  $\Lambda(f)$  the convex hull in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  of the set

$$\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } \max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i(x)| \geq c \|x^\alpha\| \text{ for } \|x\| \ll 1\}.$$

A version of the following lemma for the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  can be found in [27].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be a convenient analytic map germ. Then  $\Lambda(f) \subset \Gamma(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha^0 := (\alpha_1^0, \alpha_2^0, \dots, \alpha_n^0) \notin \Gamma_+(f) \cap \mathbb{N}^n$ . Let  $J := \{j \mid \alpha_j^0 > 0\}$ . It is obvious that  $\alpha^0 \notin \Lambda(f)$  when  $J = \emptyset$ . Hence one has only to consider the case  $J \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a supporting hyperplane  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^J \mid \langle m, \alpha \rangle = \nu\}$  of  $\Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{R}^J})$  such that

$$\langle m, \alpha^0 \rangle < \nu \leq \langle m, \alpha \rangle \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma_+(f|_{\mathbb{R}^J}).$$

Define the monomial curve  $\varphi: [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n, t \mapsto \varphi(t)$ , by

$$\varphi_j(t) = \begin{cases} t^{m_j} & \text{if } j \in J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By a direct calculation, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\varphi(t)]^{\alpha^0}\| &= |t|^{\langle m, \alpha^0 \rangle} \gg |t|^\nu, \\ f_i[\varphi(t)] &= t^\nu f_{i,\gamma}(1, 1, \dots, 1) + o(t^\nu) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

These give

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i[\varphi(t)]| \ll \|[\varphi(t)]^{\alpha^0}\|.$$

As a consequence,  $\alpha^0 \notin \Lambda(f)$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain immediately:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $f := (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be a convenient analytic map germ. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is Newton non-degenerate.
- (ii)  $\Gamma_+(f) = \Lambda(f)$ .

**Remark 3.4.** It is worth noting that the proofs of the above results are based on the Curve Selection Lemma. Therefore, by entirely analogous arguments but instead of working in a small sphere we work in the complement of a large sphere and then using the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity [26], we may obtain similar results for polynomial maps  $f: \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^k$ . We will leave to the reader to verify these facts.

In the rest of this note, we establish a relation between Newton non-degenerate ideals and their integral closures. In order to do this, we need some definitions.

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  be the ring of analytic function germs from  $(\mathbb{K}^n, 0)$  onto  $(\mathbb{K}, 0)$ . If  $S \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ , we denote by  $V(S)$  the zero set germ at the origin of  $S$  in  $\mathbb{K}^n$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  such that  $V(I) \subseteq V(g)$ . Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  be a system of generators of  $I$ . By [24] (see also [5]), we can consider the greatest lower bound of those  $l > 0$  such that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,k} |f_i(x)| \geq c \|g(x)\|^l \quad \text{for } \|x\| \ll 1,$$

with some positive constant  $c$ . We denote this number by  $L_0(g, I)$ . We observe that this definition does not depend on the chosen system of generators of  $I$ .

By the works of Lejeune-Teissier [21] and Bochnak-Risler [5],  $L_0(g, I)$  is a positive rational number.

We will say that  $g$  is *integral over  $I$*  when  $L_0(g, I) \leq 1$  (see [8], [3]). The set of integral elements over  $I$  forms an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  called the *integral closure* of  $I$ . This ideal is denoted by  $\bar{I}$ . Clearly, we have the inclusion  $I \subseteq \bar{I}$ .

The ideal  $I := \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \rangle$  is said to be *Newton non-degenerate* if the germ

$$(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k): (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$$

is Newton non-degenerate. It is easy to check that the above definition does not depend on the chosen system of generators of  $I$ .

We say that  $I$  has *finite codimension* in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  if  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)/I < \infty$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $V(I) = \{0\}$ .

The following is an characterization of the integral closure of an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of finite codimension in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$I$  is Newton non-degenerate.*
- (ii) *The integral closure  $\bar{I}$  is equal to the ideal generated by the monomials  $x^\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}^n)$  be a system of generators of  $I$ . Let  $f: (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^k, 0)$  be the germ such that its components are  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ . Then  $f$  is convenient because  $V(I) = \{0\}$ . Therefore the claim comes from Corollary 3.3.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** To end this paper, we consider the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . It is proved by Lejeune and Teissier [21] that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $g \in \bar{I}$ .
- (ii)  $g$  satisfies an equation of the form

$$g^d + a_1 g^{d-1} + \dots + a_{d-1} g + a_d = 0,$$

where  $a_i \in I^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ , for some  $d \geq 1$ .

Therefore, in the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , Corollary 3.5 is just the result of Saia [27, Theorem 3.4].

**Acknowledgments.** I am grateful to Professor Hà Huy Vui for his suggestions and encouragement, Professor Lê Dũng Tráng for his kind help and Professor Carles Bivià-Ausina for sending his papers. This work was done within the framework of the Associateship Scheme of the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. M. Abderrahmane, *On the Lojasiewicz exponent and Newton polyhedron*, Kodai Math. Journal, Vol. **28**, No. 1 (2005), 106-110.
- [2] D. D'Acunato, K. Kurdyka, *Explicit bounds for the Lojasiewicz exponent in the gradient inequality for polynomials*, Ann. Pol. Math. LXXXVII. 1 (2005).
- [3] C. Bivià-Ausina, *Lojasiewicz exponents, the integral closure of ideals and Newton polyhedra*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. **55**, No. 3 (2003), 655-668.
- [4] C. Bivià-Ausina, *Jacobian ideals and the Newton non-degeneracy condition*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) Vol. **48**, No. 1 (2005), 21-36.
- [5] Bochnak J., Risler J. J., *Sur les exposants de Lojasiewicz*, Comment. Math. Helv. Vol. **50** (1975), 493-507.
- [6] J. Chadzynski, T. Krasinski, *Exponent of growth of polynomial mappings of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  into  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , in: Singularities, Banach Center Publications, Vol. **20** (1988), PWN, Warszawa 147-160.
- [7] J. Chadzynski, T. Krasinski, *On the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity for polynomial mappings of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  into  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and components of polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Annales Polonici Mathematici, Vol. **57**, No. 3 (1992), 291-302.
- [8] T. Gaffney, *Integral closure of modules and Whitney equisingularity*, Invent. Math. Vol. **107**, No. 2 (1992), 301-322.
- [9] J. Gwoździewicz, *Growth at infinity of a polynomial with a compact zero set*, Singularities Symposium Lojasiewicz 70, Banach Center Publ. Warsaw, 1998.
- [10] J. Gwoździewicz, *The Lojasiewicz exponent of an analytic function at an isolated zero*, Comment. Math. Helv. Vol. **74**, No. 3 (1999), 364-375.
- [11] T. Fukui, *Lojasiewicz type inequalities and Newton diagrams*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **112**, No. 4 (1991), 1169-1183.
- [12] H. V. Hà, *Nombres de Lojasiewicz et singularités à l'infini des polynômes de deux variables complexes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, T. **311**, Serie I (1990), 429-432.
- [13] H. V. Hà and T. S. Phạm, *Newton-Puiseux approximation and Lojasiewicz exponents*, Kodai Math. Journal, Vol. **26**, No. 1 (2003), 1-15.
- [14] H. V. Hà and T. S. Phạm, *On local Pareto optima of real analytic mappings*, Acta Math. Vietnam., Vol. **30**, No. 2 (2005), 191-202.
- [15] J. Kollár, *Sharp effective Nullstellensatz*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. **1**, No. 4 (1988), 963-975.
- [16] S. Ji, J. Kollár and B. Shiffman, *A global Lojasiewicz inequality for algebraic varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. **329**, No. 2 (1992), 813-818.
- [17] J. Kollár, *An effective Lojasiewicz inequality for real polynomials*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, Vol. **38**, No. 3 (1999), 213-221.
- [18] A. G. Kushnirenko, *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Inventiones Mathematicae, Vol. **32** (1976), 1-31.
- [19] T. C. Kuo, *Computation of Lojasiewicz exponent of  $f(x, y)$* , Comment. Math. Helvet. Vol. **49** (1974), 201-213.
- [20] T. C. Kuo, Y. C. Lu, *On analytic functions germs of two complex variables*, Topology, Vol. **16** (1977), 299-310.
- [21] Lejeune-Jalabert M., Teissier B., *Clôture intégrale des idéaux et equisingularité*, Centre de Mathématiques, Université Scientifique et Médicale de Grenoble, 1974.
- [22] A. Lenarcik, *On the Lojasiewicz exponent of the gradient of a polynomial function*, Ann. Polon. Math., Vol. **71**, No. 3 (1999), 211-239.
- [23] B. Lichtin, *Estimation of Lojasiewicz exponents and Newton polygons*, Inventiones Mathematicae, Vol. **64** (1981), 417-429.
- [24] S. Lojasiewicz, *Ensembles semi-analytiques*, preprint IHES, 1965.
- [25] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **61**, Princeton University Press, 1968.
- [26] A. Némethi and A. Zaharia, *Milnor fibration at infinity*, Indagationes Mathematicae, Vol. **3** (1992), 323-335.
- [27] M. J. Saia, *The integral closure of ideals and the Newton filtration*, J. Alg. Geom. Vol. **5** (1996), 1-11.
- [28] E. Yoshinaga, *Topologically principal part of analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. **314**, No. 2 (1989), 803-814.
- [29] V. A. Vassiliev, *Asymptotic exponential integrals, Newton's diagram, and the classification of minimal points*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications, Vol. **11**, No. 3 (1977), 163-172.
- [30] C. T. C. Wall, *Newton polytopes and non-degeneracy*, J. Reine Angew. Math., Vol. **509** (1999), 1-19.