

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
and
International Atomic Energy Agency
THE ABDUS SALAM INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

WEAK-INTERACTING HOLOGRAPHIC QCD

Doron Gazit¹

*Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington,
Box 351550, 98195 Seattle, Washington, USA*

and

Ho-Ung Yee²

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

Abstract

We propose a simple prescription for including low-energy weak-interactions into the framework of holographic QCD, based on the standard AdS/CFT dictionary of double-trace deformations. As our proposal enables us to calculate various electro-weak observables involving strongly coupled QCD, it opens a new perspective on phenomenological applications of holographic QCD. We illustrate efficiency and usefulness of our method by performing a few exemplar calculations; neutron beta decay, charged pion weak decay, and meson-nucleon parity non-conserving (PNC) couplings. The idea is general enough to be implemented in both Sakai-Sugimoto as well as Hard/Soft Wall models.

MIRAMARE – TRIESTE

June 2008

¹ doron.gazit@mail.huji.ac.il

² hyee@ictp.it

INTRODUCTION

Holographic QCD is an attempt to construct an effective theory of gauge invariant master fields in large N limit of QCD. One aspect of it is the existence of an additional space-like dimension roughly corresponding to energy scale of given observables. This is plausible because the large N limit makes the theory of gauge invariant operators classical, due to large N factorization, while the concept of renormalization group survives in the limit. Holographic QCD is a theory of gauge invariant fields in 5 dimensions reconciling with these aspects.

Experiences from the known correspondence between $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in 4 dimensions and Type IIB string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ give us an expectation that the would-be 5-dimensional holographic dual theory of large N QCD becomes highly non-local in UV regime where the corresponding large N QCD is asymptotically free, while we may expect an approximate local theory in IR region as large N QCD becomes strongly coupled. If our interest is only on low-energy observables regarding strongly coupled QCD, it can be a worthwhile approximation to model large N QCD by a local theory in 5 dimensions, as the current models of holographic QCD do. Presumably, it is the UV asymptotic-free region where these local models fail to describe large N QCD properly.

The existing holographic QCD models, like a top-down Sakai-Sugimoto model [1] or a bottom-up Hard/Soft Wall model [2–6], capture important aspects of low energy QCD such as chiral symmetry and confinement, and explain related experimental observables up to 20%, which one might expect from large N approximation. The previous calculations in the framework concern only pure QCD sector and its electromagnetic couplings (except Ref.[7] whose method is however different from ours). As there are many important processes which involve both weak-interaction and strongly-coupled low energy QCD, it is pertinent to include effective weak-interactions in the framework of holographic QCD, which will allow us a new tool for estimating various hadronic weak processes.

The weak interactions are mediated by exchanges of heavy W^\pm or Z^0 bosons. The tree level weak interactions are thus proportional to the propagators of these bosons. In the low energy limit, in which $q \ll M_{W^\pm, Z^0}$, the interactions become effective Fermi point-like vertices. We propose a simple prescription for introducing these effective Fermi vertices of weak-interaction in holographic QCD. In view of the original QCD, this corresponds to perturbing the Lagrangian by the effective four-fermi operators

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{weak} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(J_{W^+} J_{W^-} + \cos^2 \theta_W (J_{Z^0})^2 \right) = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left((J_L^1)^2 + (J_L^2)^2 + (J_L^3 - \sin^2 \theta_W J_Q)^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

where $J_L^a = \sum_f \bar{\psi}_L^f \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \psi_L^f$ and J_Q are $SU(2)_L$ and electromagnetic currents respectively, and θ_W is the weak angle. When it is necessary, the currents in the above may include leptonic part as well, which should be treated as an external background.

In holographic QCD as a gauge/gravity correspondence, perturbing the QCD Lagrangian

would correspond to deforming boundary conditions of 5D fields near the UV boundary in a suitable way. Note that there is a one-to-one map between single-trace[35] operators in QCD and elementary fields of holographic QCD in 5 dimensions. A 5D field $\phi(x, r)$, where r is the 5'th coordinate, behaves near the UV boundary $r \rightarrow \infty$ as

$$\phi(x, r) \sim c_1 r^{-\Delta_-} + c_2 r^{-\Delta_+} \quad , \quad (2)$$

where c_1 is non-normalizable and c_2 is a normalizable mode. For the simplest case of perturbing with a single-trace operator \mathcal{O} ,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \int d^4x f(x)\mathcal{O}(x) \quad , \quad (3)$$

it is well-known that in the holographic dual theory the boundary condition for the corresponding 5D field $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(x, r)$ is modified to be $c_1(x) = f(x)$, while the normalizable mode encodes the expectation value of \mathcal{O} , that is, $c_2(x) = \langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle$. However, the perturbation (1) that we are interested in does not belong to this case; it consists of products of two single-trace operators J^μ 's. As the elementary fields in holographic 5 dimensions only map to single-trace operators, we can't simply introduce additional 5D fields for these double-trace operators and deform their boundary conditions as above.

There is an answer for this, first proposed for scalar operators [8], and generalized to global symmetry currents [9, 10]. Suppose we perturb by a general polynomial of a single trace operator \mathcal{O} ,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \int d^4x F(\mathcal{O}) \quad . \quad (4)$$

The prescription is that this modifies the boundary condition for the 5D field $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ to be

$$c_1(x) = \left. \frac{\delta F(\mathcal{O})}{\delta \mathcal{O}} \right|_{\mathcal{O} \rightarrow c_2(x)} \quad , \quad (5)$$

where the normalization should be such that c_2 is precisely equal to the expectation value, while c_1 is the source for \mathcal{O} . We will be more specific about precise normalization in our later discussions of concrete examples.

Although the idea is general enough to be applicable to any model of holographic QCD (see Ref.[11] for a review), we take two prototype examples, the Sakai-Sugimoto model and the Hard/Soft Wall model, to illustrate our proposal. In order to show its usefulness, we analyze a few exemplar physical processes, including the charged pion decay, neutron beta decay, and the leading order parity non-conserving interaction between pions and nucleons. These processes are chosen here not only for their importance in weak physics, but also due to the fact that we can confirm the validity of our method by comparing with other theoretical tools. We stress that even though in our examples we limit ourselves to processes involving small, practically zero, energy transfers, the prescription we propose should be valid to moderately higher energies before QCD asymptotic freedom sets in.

IMPLEMENTATION

Sakai-Sugimoto model

The Sakai-Sugimoto model is based on a string theory set-up of probe N_F $D8$ and $\bar{D}8$ branes in UV regime being joined at the IR boundary of the background of color N_c $D4$ branes, geometrically realizing spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking $U(N_F)_L \times U(N_F)_R \rightarrow U(N_F)_I$. The resulting configuration can also be viewed as N_F $D8$ branes with two asymptotic UV regions, one for $U(N_F)_L$ and the other for $U(N_F)_R$. A convenient choice of the 5'th coordinate is Z ranging from one boundary $Z = -\infty$ to the other $Z = +\infty$, where the IR regime corresponds to near $Z = 0$. Holographic dynamics of the chiral symmetry resides in the world volume $U(N_F)$ gauge field $A(x, Z)$ on the $D8$ branes, whose values near two UV boundaries $Z \rightarrow \pm\infty$ couple to the global $U(N_F)_L$ and $U(N_F)_R$ currents of QCD respectively. We will focus on the two-flavor $N_F = 2$ case in the following, but its extension to $N_F > 2$ is straightforward.

The part of the 5 dimensional action which is relevant for us is

$$S_{D8} = -\kappa \int d^4x \int dZ \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + Z^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + (1 + Z^2) F_{\mu Z}^2 \right] \quad , \quad (6)$$

with $\kappa = \frac{\pi}{4} f_\pi^2 = \frac{\lambda N_c}{216\pi^3} \simeq 7.45 \times 10^{-3}$ to best fit the experiments for mesons, and the only scale in the model, $M_{KK} \simeq 0.95 \text{ GeV}$, is set to 1. Near the UV boundary $Z \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the second term dominates, from which the equation of motion asymptotes to $\partial_Z (Z^2 \partial_Z A_\mu) = 0$, and we have

$$A_\mu(Z) \sim A_\mu(\pm\infty) \pm \frac{A_\mu^{(1)}(\pm\infty)}{Z} + \dots \quad , \quad (7)$$

near $Z \rightarrow \pm\infty$. As usual, $A_\mu(+\infty) \equiv A_\mu^L$ couples to the $U(2)_L$ current J_L^μ , and similarly for $A_\mu(-\infty) \equiv A_\mu^R$ and J_R^μ . The sub-leading coefficients $A^{(1)}(\pm\infty)$ are proportional to the expectation values of $J_{L,R}$, and to find the precise normalization, we insert (7) in (6) and take a variation with respect to sources $A^{L,R}$ to find the expectation values of $J_{L,R}$. A quick calculation gives us

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \langle J_{L,R}^\nu \rangle = -2\kappa A_\mu^{(1)}(\pm\infty) = \mp 2\kappa \lim_{Z \rightarrow \pm\infty} (Z^2 F_{\mu Z}) \quad , \quad (8)$$

where the last expression is a gauge invariant statement.

Having identified the normalized sub-leading expansion of the holographic gauge field A , we are ready to implement the effective weak interactions in the set-up. The perturbation (1) contains both quark and lepton currents, and the quark currents are nothing but of the chiral symmetry $U(2)_L \times U(2)_R$ as the electro-weak interaction is a partial gauging of it. We treat the lepton currents as external backgrounds in the present framework. Recalling that $J_Q = J_L^3 + J_R^3 + \frac{1}{6}(J_L^{U(1)} + J_R^{U(1)})$ for quarks with $U(1)$ charge matrix being simply 2×2 identity, the prescription (5) for our double-trace perturbation (1) gives us the boundary conditions for

$$A = A^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2} + A^{U(1)} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \text{ near } Z \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^a(+\infty) &= \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-2\kappa (Z^2 F_{\mu Z}^a) \Big|_{Z \rightarrow +\infty} + J_{L\mu}^{a,\text{lepton}} \right) , \\ A_\mu^a(-\infty) &= 0 \quad , \quad a = 1, 2 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

from the W^\pm vertices, and from the Z^0 vertex we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \sin^2 \theta_W} A_\mu^3(+\infty) = \frac{-1}{\sin^2 \theta_W} A_\mu^3(-\infty) = \frac{-6}{\sin^2 \theta_W} A_\mu^{U(1)}(+\infty) = \frac{-6}{\sin^2 \theta_W} A_\mu^{U(1)}(-\infty) = K_\mu \quad ,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu &= \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-2\kappa Z^2 \left((1 - \sin^2 \theta_W) F_{\mu Z}^3 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta_W}{6} F_{\mu Z}^{U(1)} \right) \Big|_{Z \rightarrow +\infty} \right) \\ &+ \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-2\kappa \sin^2 \theta_W Z^2 \left(F_{\mu Z}^3 + \frac{1}{6} F_{\mu Z}^{U(1)} \right) \Big|_{Z \rightarrow -\infty} + \text{lepton currents} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Normally, we are interested in effects which are first order in G_F , and for that purpose the procedure of applying the above to concrete examples can be simplified in the following way; first solve the spectrum and wave-functions of the 4D particles of interest without G_F , which has been done in the previous literature. Note that this involves only normalizable modes which will contribute to the right-hand side of (9) and (10). Through (9) and (10), each mode then entails a non-normalizable piece of first order in G_F , which enters the calculation of various amplitudes of hadronic weak processes. We will demonstrate this procedure in detail in our specific examples in the next section. Observe that the non-normalizability issue of the G_F -perturbed wave-functions is an order $(G_F)^2$ question.

Hard/Soft Wall model

We next implement our prescription in the Hard/Soft Wall model. The Hard/Soft Wall model is a more phenomenological attempt by taking simple AdS space-time with a hard/soft IR cutoff and introducing a minimal set of fields relevant for the chiral symmetry and its breaking. The action takes a form

$$S_{5D} = \int d^4x \int dz \sqrt{G} e^{-\Phi} \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4g_5^2} (F_{L,R})^2 + |DX|^2 + 3|X|^2 \right) \quad , \quad (11)$$

with $U(2)_L \times U(2)_R$ gauge fields $A_{L,R}$, a bi-fundamental scalar X , a dilaton Φ , and $g_5^2 = \frac{12\pi^2}{N_c} = 4\pi^2$. One virtue of the model is that a bare quark mass can be introduced via the non-normalizable profile of X , while its normalizable mode parameterizes chiral condensate. A popular coordinate system often used is

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (-dz^2 + dx_\mu dx^\mu) \quad , \quad (12)$$

where the UV boundary is $z \rightarrow 0$. As the dilaton in the Soft Wall model is $\Phi(z) = z^2 \rightarrow 0$ near $z \rightarrow 0$, the UV dynamics of the Hard and Soft Wall models is identical, and so is our implementation of weak interactions via perturbed UV boundary conditions.

Due to the expectation value $\langle X \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(m_q z + \sigma z^3)\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} = v(z)\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$ which breaks the axial part of the chiral symmetry $A \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_L - A_R)$, there appear mixed kinetic terms between $A_M = (A_\mu, A_z)$ and the phase part P of $X = \langle X \rangle e^{iP}$, which is typical in a Higgs mechanism,

$$S_{mixing} = -2 \int d^4x dz \frac{1}{z} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{g_5^2} (\partial_z A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A_z) + \frac{2v^2}{z^2} A_\mu (\partial^\mu P) \right) \quad , \quad (13)$$

where the indices are contracted with flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$. This brings a slight complication into the analysis of UV behavior of our 5D gauge fields, but not in an essential way as we will see shortly. Note that the isospin part of the chiral symmetry $V = \frac{1}{2}(A_L + A_R)$ remains intact, and the asymptotic UV expansion from equation of motion is easily found to be

$$V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu(0) + V_\mu^{(1)} z^2 + \dots \quad , \quad (14)$$

and the usual interpretation of source and expectation value goes as before.

To study the (A_μ, A_z, P) system clearly, it is convenient to work in a 5D analog of R_ξ -gauge by adding the following gauge fixing term in the bulk [12, 13],

$$S_{g.f.} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \int d^4x dz \frac{1}{z} \text{tr} \left[\partial_\mu A^\mu - 2\xi \left(\frac{1}{g_5^2} z \partial_z \left(\frac{A_z}{z} \right) - \frac{2v^2}{z^2} P \right) \right]^2 \quad , \quad (15)$$

which removes the mixing terms between A_μ and (A_z, P) , up to an important left-over boundary term,

$$S_{bdry} = \frac{2}{g_5^2} \int d^4x \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{z} A_\mu \partial^\mu A_z \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} \right) \quad . \quad (16)$$

This boundary term will be important later in discussing coupling of external sources to the pions. The above gauge is especially useful in identifying physical degrees of freedom in a transparent way. Upon 4D reduction via KK mode expansion, one combination of A_z and P gives longitudinal components of massive vector mesons from A_μ , while the other contains the pions and the excited scalar mesons. To separate longitudinal components of vector mesons from the pions, we further take a unitary gauge $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, upon which (A_z, P) includes only physical pions and its excitations, and allows us to replace P with A_z via

$$\frac{1}{g_5^2} z \partial_z \left(\frac{A_z}{z} \right) = \frac{2v^2}{z^2} P \quad . \quad (17)$$

The resulting equation of motion for A_z can be solved for the pions and excited scalar mesons, with the UV boundary condition $A_z \sim \mathcal{O}(z)$ for normalizability [13].

The action for A_μ in our gauge then becomes simply

$$S_A = \int d^4x dz \frac{1}{z} \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2g_5^2} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{g_5^2} (\partial_z A_\mu)^2 + \frac{4v^2(z)}{z^2} A_\mu A^\mu \right) + S_{bdry} \quad , \quad (18)$$

where indices are contracted with the flat metric $ds^2 = -dz^2 + dx^2$, and its equation of motion along z is

$$\partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \partial_z A_\mu \right) - \frac{1}{z} \partial_\nu F_{\nu\mu} - \frac{4g_5^2 v^2(z)}{z^3} A_\mu = 0 \quad , \quad (19)$$

whose solution has a UV asymptotic

$$A_\mu \sim c_1 z K_1(g_5 m_q z) + c_2 z I_1(g_5 m_q z) \sim A_\mu^{(0)} + A_\mu^{(1)} z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\nu F_{\nu\mu}^{(0)} + g_5^2 m_q^2 A_\mu^{(0)} \right) z^2 \log z + \dots$$

which is essentially same to the case without a Higgs breaking. We observe that the UV behavior is not much affected by the symmetry breaking through $\langle X \rangle \neq 0$, and we can apply the usual dictionary of source and expectation value to $A_\mu^{(0)}$ and $A_\mu^{(1)}$ respectively. To be more precise, since the action (18) is logarithmic UV-divergent with the above asymptotic of A_μ , we follow the standard procedure of holographic renormalization [14] by first regularizing the action at $z = \epsilon$ and adding a 4D counter-term

$$\begin{aligned} S_{c.t.} &= \log(\epsilon) \int d^4x \operatorname{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2g_5^2} F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} F^{(0)\mu\nu} + m_q^2 A_\mu^{(0)} A^{(0)\mu} \right) \\ &= \log(\epsilon) \int d^4x \sqrt{\gamma} \operatorname{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2g_5^2} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^{\mu\alpha} \gamma^{\nu\beta} + 4v^2(z) A_\mu A_\nu \gamma^{\mu\nu} + \dots \right) \Big|_{z=\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

to find a well-defined effective action in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By varying with respect to the source $A_\mu^{(0)}$ to find the expectation value of $J_A^\mu = (J_L^\mu - J_R^\mu)$ and then putting $A_\mu^{(0)} = 0$, the counter-term does not in fact contribute as it is quadratic in $A_\mu^{(0)}$, and we have the usual result of $A_\mu^{(1)}$ being proportional to $\eta_{\mu\nu} \langle J_A^\nu \rangle$. Observe that the previously identified boundary term (16) in our unitary gauge also contributes to the expectation value as it becomes

$$S_{bdry} = \frac{2}{g_5^2} \int d^4x \operatorname{tr} \left(A_\mu^{(0)} \left(\frac{1}{z} \partial^\mu A_z \right) \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} \right), \quad (21)$$

and there is a similar term for $V = \frac{1}{2}(A_L + A_R)$ too. We mention that the second term in (20) does affect the structure of 2-point function $\langle J_A J_A \rangle$ in such a way that the Ward identity $p_\mu \langle J_A^\mu J_A^\nu \rangle \neq 0$ is violated due to a bare quark mass m_q .

As we find that the usual gauge/gravity dictionary holds for the $U(2)_L \times U(2)_R$ bulk gauge fields, it is straightforward to implement our proposal for effective weak interactions. The precise relation between $A_{L,R}^{(1)}$ and $\langle J_{L,R} \rangle$ from the above discussion is

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \langle J_{L,R}^\nu \rangle = -\frac{2}{g_5^2} A_\mu^{(1)L,R} + \frac{1}{g_5^2} \frac{1}{z} \partial_\mu A_z^{L,R} \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} = \frac{1}{g_5^2} \frac{1}{z} F_{\mu z}^{L,R} \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0}, \quad (22)$$

where the second contribution from (21) makes the final result gauge invariant, which is important to include the cases with the pions coming from $A_z \sim \mathcal{O}(z)$. Using this, the Fermi-interaction via W^\pm exchange corresponds to the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L\mu}^a(0) &= \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{g_5^2} \frac{1}{z} F_{\mu z}^{La} \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} + J_{L\mu}^{a,leptons} \right), \quad a = 1, 2, \\ A_{R\mu}^a(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and similarly for the Z^0 exchange as in (10).

The boundary conditions (9), (10) and (23) are our main points in the paper. To find effects which are lowest order in G_F , we first solve normalizable modes dynamics without G_F , and via

(23) non-normalizable perturbations of linear order in G_F are induced. We point out that to leading order in G_F , our prescription is equivalent to large N factorizing our effective JJ weak vertices and then calculating chiral form factors of hadrons.

EXEMPLAR CALCULATIONS

(1) Charged pion weak decay $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$

For an illustrative purpose of showing how the prescription works, we compute via our method a few simple hadronic weak processes which involve only W^\pm exchange, while the analysis of neutral current phenomena and fitting the weak angle θ_W are left to the future.

One of the cleanest observables from charged current exchange is the decay of charged pions; more than 99% of π^+ decay to $\mu^+ \nu_\mu$ via W^+ -exchange, while the electron channel $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e$ is helicity suppressed by $\frac{m_e^2}{m_\mu^2} \sim 10^{-5}$. Computing the relevant pion coupling to the external leptonic current $J_L^{+,lepton} = (J_L^1 - iJ_L^2)^{lepton} = \bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L$ is in fact easy as the lepton current simply acts as a source for the corresponding quark current through (9) in the Sakai-Sugimoto model, or (23) for the Hard/Soft Wall model. Expanding $A^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^+ \sigma^- + A^- \sigma^+)$ for bulk fields [36], this is equivalent to having an external source

$$A^+(+\infty) = (4G_F) J_L^{+,lepton} = (4G_F) \bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \quad (\text{Sakai - Sugimoto}) \quad (24)$$

$$A_L^+(0) = (4G_F) \bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \quad (\text{Hard/Soft Wall}) \quad . \quad (25)$$

To identify how pions interact with the above external source for chiral currents, working in the R_ξ -gauge with unitary limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ again seems most convenient. We consider the case of Sakai-Sugimoto model first. Expanding the action (6), we find a kinetic mixing between A_μ and A_Z ,

$$-2\kappa \int d^4x \int dZ (1 + Z^2) \text{tr} \left((\partial_Z A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A_Z) \right) \quad , \quad (26)$$

which can be removed by adding a R_ξ -gauge fixing term,

$$S_{g.f.} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \int d^4x \int dZ \text{tr} \left(\partial_\mu A^\mu - 2\kappa \xi \partial_Z [(1 + Z^2) A_Z] \right)^2 \quad , \quad (27)$$

with a remaining boundary contribution

$$S_{bdry} = -2\kappa (1 + Z^2) \text{tr} \left(A_\mu (\partial^\mu A_Z) \right) \Big|_{Z \rightarrow -\infty}^{Z \rightarrow +\infty} \quad . \quad (28)$$

In the unitary limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, we have a constraint for A_Z from (27); $\partial_Z [(1 + Z^2) A_Z] = 0$, by which A_Z contains the physical degrees of freedom of the pions,

$$A_Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\kappa}} \frac{1}{1 + Z^2} \pi(x) \quad , \quad (29)$$

where the normalization is chosen to have the standard kinetic term for the pions when we insert the above A_Z back to our gauge fixed action. The final form of the action in our unitary gauge is then

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= -\kappa \int d^4x \int dZ \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1+Z^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - (1+Z^2)(\partial_Z A_\mu)^2 - (1+Z^2)(\partial_\mu A_Z)^2 \right] + S_{bdry} \\
&= -\kappa \int d^4x \int dZ \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1+Z^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - (1+Z^2)(\partial_Z A_\mu)^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \int d^4x \operatorname{tr} (\partial_\mu \pi)^2 - f_\pi \operatorname{tr} \left[(\partial^\mu \pi) A_\mu \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \right] \quad , \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

where we put (29) and used $\kappa = \frac{\pi}{4} f_\pi^2$. Mode expansion of A_μ contains (pseudo) vector mesons as normalizable modes plus external sources for the chiral currents as non-normalizable modes. These non-normalizable modes then couple to the pions only through the last boundary term that we are looking for. The result in fact agrees with the old current algebra expression. Expanding $\pi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pi^+ \sigma^- + \pi^- \sigma^+)$ and plugging in $A(+\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A^+ (+\infty) \sigma^- = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L) \sigma^-$, we get the coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi \bar{l} \nu} = -2G_F f_\pi (\partial_\mu \pi^-) (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L) \quad . \tag{31}$$

We expect the same result from the Hard/Soft Wall model as well. Since in our gauge the pions reside in $A_z = \pi(x) f(z)$ with a normalized profile $f(z) \sim z$, the previously identified boundary term (16) in the unitary gauge gives us the same type of coupling between $\pi(x)$ and $A_L^+(0) = (4G_F) \bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L$.

To realize this, note that $A = \frac{1}{2}(A_L - A_R)$, thus the boundary term (16) reproduces

$$\left(\frac{1}{g_5^2 z} f(z) \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} \right) \operatorname{tr} \left((\partial_\mu \pi) (A_L^\mu - A_R^\mu) \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} \right) \equiv -f_\pi \operatorname{tr} \left((\partial_\mu \pi) (A_L^\mu - A_R^\mu) \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0} \right) \quad , \tag{32}$$

so that we have a near $z \rightarrow 0$ expansion of the pion profile $A_z = \pi(x) f(z)$,

$$f(z) = -(g_5^2 f_\pi) z + \dots \quad , \tag{33}$$

which will be useful for later calculations involving the pions.

Recall that the coupling strength of the pions to external current sources, as appears in Eq. (31) is one of the definitions of f_π . Using standard kinematics [30], one uses the experimental decay rate to find $f_\pi \approx 92 \text{ MeV}$. Thus, in weak-interacting holographic QCD we successfully predict not only the operator structure but also the value of the decay constant.

(2) Neutron beta decay

We next analyze a more complicated weak-process involving nucleons; neutron beta decay to proton and the first generation of leptons, $n \rightarrow p^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$, with $\sim 100\%$ branching ratio. As we treat the lepton current $J_L^-, \text{lepton} = \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L$ as external, the analysis is similar to the previous example, that is, the lepton current will play a role of external source for the chiral symmetry

current

$$A^-(+\infty) = (4G_F) \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L \quad (\text{Sakai - Sugimoto}) \quad (34)$$

$$A_L^-(0) = (4G_F) \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L \quad (\text{Hard/Soft Wall}) \quad , \quad (35)$$

and we need how the nucleons couple to the above external source of chiral currents. As a model calculation, we proceed by adopting the recent effective field approach to holographic baryons in Ref.[15, 16] for the Sakai-Sugimoto model, and in Ref.[12] for the Hard Wall model. See also Refs.[17–23] for other approaches to holographic baryons.

One difference from the previous example of the pions is that the resulting coupling would be momentum-dependent on general grounds, because the bulk gauge field with our prescribed non-normalizable mode develops a momentum-dependent bulk profile from equation of motion, so that its coupling to any holographic excitations will also be momentum-dependent. In other words, the result should be phrased as a form factor [24–27]. The resulting form factor in general can be rewritten as a sum over infinite number of intermediate excitations, similar in spirit to vector dominance [26]. The case of pions in the previous example is special in this respect as they couple to the bulk gauge fields only at the boundary through S_{bdry} [37].

As the neutron beta decay is a 3-body decay, the relevant momentum transfer is the invariant mass square q^2 of the $e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ pair, which ranges $m_e^2 < q^2 < (m_n - m_p)^2$. In principle we should calculate the q^2 -dependent coupling for the above range of q^2 in performing phase space integration to find the total decay rate of the neutron. In practice however the relevant q^2 in our case is too small compared to the QCD scale to make any notable difference from simply using $q^2 = 0$ in numerical computations.

We work in the Sakai-Sugimoto model first. In our previous unitary gauge, the action for A_μ is read from (30),

$$-\kappa \int d^4x \int dZ \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + Z^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - (1 + Z^2) (\partial_Z A_\mu)^2 \right] \quad , \quad (36)$$

whose equation of motion is

$$(1 + Z^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \partial^\nu (\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu) - \partial_Z ((1 + Z^2) \partial_Z A_\mu) = 0 \quad . \quad (37)$$

We solve for A_μ of a specific 4-momentum q^μ with our prescribed boundary condition $A_\mu(+\infty) = \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} J_{L\mu}^{lepton}$ and $A_\mu(-\infty) = 0$. Writing $A_\mu(x, Z) = A_\mu(Z) e^{-iq \cdot x}$, the equation for $A_\mu(Z)$ in fact splits into two parts, one for transverse and the other for longitudinal polarization,

$$A_\mu = \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right] A^\nu + \frac{q_\mu}{q^2} (q \cdot A) \equiv A_\mu^T + \frac{q_\mu}{q^2} (q \cdot A) \quad , \quad (38)$$

where A_μ^T satisfies

$$q^2 (1 + Z^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} A_\mu^T + \partial_Z ((1 + Z^2) \partial_Z A_\mu^T) = 0 \quad , \quad (39)$$

and the longitudinal component is exactly solvable by $q \cdot A = q \cdot A(+\infty)\psi_+(Z)$ with $\psi_+(Z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(Z))$. The final form of the solution is

$$A_\mu = A^T(q, Z) \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right] A^\nu(+\infty) + \frac{q_\mu}{q^2} (q \cdot A(+\infty)) \psi_+(Z) \quad , \quad (40)$$

with $A^T(q, Z)$ satisfying (39) with the boundary condition $A^T(q, +\infty) = 1$ and $A^T(q, -\infty) = 0$. By computing how holographic baryons couple to the above q^2 -dependent bulk gauge field, we read off nucleon interaction with an external source $A_\mu(+\infty)$, which in our case is an external lepton current.

This is not the whole story however. There is an additional important contribution coming from tree-level pion-exchange. Recall that A_Z includes pions in our gauge, which have a 1-point coupling to external sources as we identify in the previous example,

$$-f_\pi \text{tr} \left[(\partial^\mu \pi) A_\mu \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \right] \quad . \quad (41)$$

From the gauge interaction of holographic baryons to A_Z in the bulk, we can also obtain an axial coupling of pions to the nucleons, and hence follows a coupling between external sources and the nucleons via tree-level pion-exchange. Note that this is the only tree-level contribution we have in addition to the coupling induced by A_μ above, and we sum the two contributions to get the final answer.

For a practical computation in the following, we should be specific about a model of holographic baryons, for which we choose the model in Ref.[15] as an example. The effective action of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, $SU(2)$ doublet holographic baryon \mathcal{B} , as the lowest spin state of collective quantization of 5D instanton-baryon on the $N_F = 2$ $D8$ branes, is most easily written in the conformal coordinate system (x^μ, w) with

$$w = \int_0^Z \frac{dZ'}{(1 + Z'^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \quad , \quad (42)$$

under which the 5D baryon action doesn't involve spin connections,

$$S_{baryon} = \int d^4x \int dw \left[i\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^M (\partial_M - iA_M)\mathcal{B} - m_B(w)\bar{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B} + (0.90)i\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^{MN}F_{MN}\mathcal{B} + \dots \right] \quad , \quad (43)$$

where the position dependent mass term $m_B(w)$ can be obtained from the energy of the S^4 -wrapped $D4$ brane at the position w , whose explicit form is not essential in our presentation, and the last term is determined to reproduce the long-range tail of the instanton-baryon solution [38]. We then perform usual KK reduction to 4D by expanding $\mathcal{B}(x, w) = f_L(w)N_L(x) + f_R(w)N_R(x)$, where $(N_L, N_R) \equiv N(x)$ is the 4D baryon mode we identify as the nucleons, and the profile functions $f_{L,R}(w)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_w f_L + m_B(w)f_L &= m_N f_R \quad , \\ -\partial_w f_R + m_B(w)f_R &= m_N f_L \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

with the eigenvalue m_N being the mass of the nucleons. We have an important parity property $f_R(-w) = f_L(w)$. Our convention is $\gamma^w = i\gamma^5$ with $\gamma^5 N_{L,R} = \pm N_{L,R}$ and $\gamma^{MN} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^M, \gamma^N]$. Once we find $f_{L,R}$ with the normalization $\int dw |f_L(w)|^2 = \int dw |f_R(w)|^2 = 1$ for the standard 4D kinetic term of $N(x)$, we can insert our previous A_μ from (40) and A_Z from (29) into the action (43) to find how external sources and the pions couple to the nucleons. Note that when transferring from Z to w , we have a transformation of A_Z

$$A_w = A_Z \left(\frac{dZ}{dw} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\kappa}} \frac{\pi(x)}{1+Z^2} \left(\frac{dZ}{dw} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\kappa}} \left(\frac{d\psi_0}{dw} \right) \pi(x) = \frac{2}{f_\pi} \left(\frac{d\psi_0}{dw} \right) \pi(x) \quad , \quad (45)$$

where $\psi_0 \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(Z)$, while A_μ remains same as before.

After a straightforward calculation, the result is summarized by [15, 29]

$$\mathcal{L}_{N-external} = \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \mathcal{V}_\mu N + \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \mathcal{A}_\mu N + \bar{N} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} N \quad , \quad (46)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \int dw (|f_L|^2 + |f_R|^2) A_\mu(w) + (0.90) \int dw (|f_L|^2 - |f_R|^2) \partial_w A_\mu(w) \quad , \\ \mathcal{A}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \int dw (|f_L|^2 - |f_R|^2) A_\mu(w) + (0.90) \int dw (|f_L|^2 + |f_R|^2) \partial_w A_\mu(w) \quad , \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} &= (0.54)i \int dw f_L f_R F_{\mu\nu}(w) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

for the nucleon couplings to external sources encoded in (40), and

$$\mathcal{L}_{N-\pi} = -\frac{g_A}{f_\pi} \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu \pi) N \quad , \quad (48)$$

with

$$g_A = 2 \int dw |f_L|^2 \psi_0(w) + 4 \cdot (0.90) \int dw |f_L|^2 \left(\frac{d\psi_0}{dw} \right) \quad , \quad (49)$$

for the pion-nucleon axial coupling. Numerical evaluation gives $g_A \simeq 1.3$, which compares well with experiment $g_A^{exp} = 1.27$ [15].

Although we can solve (39) for A^T numerically for every q^2 of a problem to find $A_\mu(w)$ inserted in the above result, it is a good approximation in our case to take the leading small q^2 expansion as $q^2 \ll 1$,

$$A^T(q, Z) = \psi_+(Z) + \mathcal{O}(q^2) \quad , \quad (50)$$

which gives a simple formula $A_\mu(w) \simeq \psi_+(Z) A_\mu(+\infty) = (\frac{1}{2} + \psi_0(w)) A_\mu(+\infty)$. Then using the parity property $f_L(w) = f_R(-w)$, it turns out that we have a drastic simplification

$$\mathcal{V}_\mu = \frac{1}{2} A_\mu(+\infty) \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}_\mu = \frac{g_A}{2} A_\mu(+\infty) \quad , \quad (51)$$

with the exactly same g_A as in (49), and numerically we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (0.90)i \left(\int dw f_L f_R(w) \right) F_{\mu\nu}(+\infty) \simeq (0.42)i F_{\mu\nu}(+\infty) \quad . \quad (52)$$

Interestingly, it can be easily checked that the contribution from the tree-level pion exchange with (41) and (67) has the similar shape to the one from the \mathcal{A}_μ above,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\text{-exchange}} = -\frac{g_A}{2} \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 A^\nu(+\infty) N \quad , \quad (53)$$

so that the sum of the all contributions is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{N\text{-external}} &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{N} \gamma^\mu A_\mu(+\infty) N + \frac{g_A}{2} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 A^\nu(+\infty) N \\ &+ (0.42) i \bar{N} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(+\infty) N + \mathcal{O}(q^2) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Expanding $A_\mu(+\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A^-(+\infty) \sigma^+ = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L) \sigma^+$ and $N = (p, n)^T$, we finally have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{n} p e^- \bar{\nu}_e} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\bar{n} \gamma_\mu p) + \frac{g_A}{2} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) (\bar{n} \gamma^\nu \gamma^5 p) - (0.84) q^\nu (\bar{n} \gamma_{\mu\nu} p) \right] (\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L) \quad , \quad (55)$$

for the effective vertex of the neutron beta decay. Note that the Sakai-Sugimoto model does not have a bare quark mass by construction and the pions are massless [39]. In any realistic application of the above result, we therefore should replace the q^2 -pole in the above with m_π^2 . This unsatisfactory feature will be absent in the Hard Wall model however, as we can introduce a bare quark mass in the set-up.

We next turn to the analysis in the Hard Wall model. As the procedure is identical to the previous one in the Sakai-Sugimoto model, we will be brief in explaining the intermediate steps while showing the results. The action for $A = \frac{1}{2}(A_L - A_R)$ in our unitary gauge is given by (18) whose equation of motion is

$$\partial^\nu (\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu) - z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \partial_z A_\mu \right) + \frac{4g_5^2 v^2(z)}{z^2} A_\mu = 0 \quad , \quad (56)$$

and similarly for $V = \frac{1}{2}(A_L + A_R)$ without the last term. We have to solve these equations with the boundary condition $A_L(0) = \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} J_L^{\text{lepton}}$ and $A_R(0) = 0$. While A_z includes the pions in our gauge $A_z = \pi(x) f(z)$, there is no degree of freedom from V_z as it is killed by the IR boundary condition $V_z(z_m) = 0$. Considering a definite 4D momentum q^μ , we again find a separation of equation of motion between transverse and longitudinal polarizations, and the final form of the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= A^T(q, z) \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right] A^\nu(0) + \frac{q_\mu}{q^2} (q \cdot A(0)) A^L(z) \quad , \\ V_\mu &= V^T(q, z) \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right] V^\nu(0) + \frac{q_\mu}{q^2} (q \cdot V(0)) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where A^T, A^L are solutions of the following equations with the boundary conditions $(A^T, A^L)(0) = 1$ and $\partial_z(A^T, A^L)(z_m) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} -q^2 A^T - z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \partial_z A^T \right) + \frac{4g_5^2 v^2(z)}{z^2} A^T &= 0 \quad , \\ -z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \partial_z A^L \right) + \frac{4g_5^2 v^2(z)}{z^2} A^L &= 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

and V^T has an explicit form

$$V^T(q, z) = \frac{\pi\sqrt{q^2}}{2} \frac{z}{J_0(\sqrt{q^2}z_m)} \left[J_1(\sqrt{q^2}z)Y_0(\sqrt{q^2}z_m) - Y_1(\sqrt{q^2}z)J_0(\sqrt{q^2}z_m) \right] . \quad (59)$$

We want to find how holographic nucleons interact with the above bulk gauge fields, and for this purpose we will work in the holographic baryon model of Ref.[12] for the Hard Wall model.

The holographic baryon action for the Hard Wall model reads as

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= \int d^4x \int dz \sqrt{G_5} \left[i\bar{N}_1 \Gamma^M D_M N_1 + i\bar{N}_2 \Gamma^M D_M N_2 - m_5 \bar{N}_1 N_1 + m_5 \bar{N}_2 N_2 \right] \\ &+ \int d^4x \int dz \sqrt{G_5} \left[-g \bar{N}_1 X N_2 - g \bar{N}_2 X^\dagger N_1 \right] \\ &+ \int d^4x \int dz \sqrt{G_5} \left[(iD)(\bar{N}_1 \Gamma^{MN} F_{MN}^L N_1 - \bar{N}_2 \Gamma^{MN} F_{MN}^R N_2) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where N_1 (N_2) is a doublet under $SU(2)_L$ ($SU(2)_R$), and the covariant derivatives contain also spin-connections. Note $\sqrt{G_5} = \frac{1}{z^5}$, $\Gamma^M = z\gamma^M$ and our convention is $\gamma^z = (-i)\gamma^5$ with $\gamma^5\psi_L = +\psi_L$. The 5D Dirac mass m_5 is related to the scaling dimension Δ of the QCD 3-quark nucleon operator by $m_5 = (\Delta - 2)$, and for simplicity we take $\Delta = \frac{9}{2}$ here. There is a room for improving the model by suitably deciding Δ to better fit the experiments. The IR boundary condition is uniquely determined to be $(N_{1R}, N_{2L})(z_m) = 0$ to have the necessary 4D massless chiral nucleons in the KK spectrum to match t'Hooft anomaly in the symmetric phase $X = 0$. Then, the second line gives us the observed nucleon mass $m_N = 0.94$ GeV when the chiral symmetry is broken $\langle X \rangle \neq 0$. For the popular values $z_m = (330 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$, $\sigma = (311 \text{ MeV})^3$ and $m_q = 2.34 \text{ MeV}$, this determines the coupling g to be $g = 9.18$. The coefficient D of the last line should be fixed by either anomalous magnetic dipole moment of the nucleons [13], or by the pion-nucleon axial coupling g_A , but we will leave it as a free parameter in our results.

The 4D Dirac nucleon $N = (N_L, N_R)$ is obtained by KK expanding $N_1 = f_{1L}(z)N_L(x) + f_{1R}(z)N_R(x)$ and $N_2 = f_{2L}(z)N_L(x) + f_{2R}(z)N_R(x)$, where the profile functions $f_{(12)(LR)}(z)$ satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z - \frac{\Delta}{z} & -\frac{g\langle X \rangle}{z} \\ -\frac{g\langle X^\dagger \rangle}{z} & \partial_z - \frac{4-\Delta}{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1L} \\ f_{2L} \end{pmatrix} &= -m_N \begin{pmatrix} f_{1R} \\ f_{2R} \end{pmatrix} , \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z - \frac{4-\Delta}{z} & \frac{g\langle X \rangle}{z} \\ \frac{g\langle X^\dagger \rangle}{z} & \partial_z - \frac{\Delta}{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1R} \\ f_{2R} \end{pmatrix} &= m_N \begin{pmatrix} f_{1L} \\ f_{2L} \end{pmatrix} , \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

with the boundary condition $f_{1R}(z_m) = f_{2L}(z_m) = 0$, and the normalization for the standard kinetic term of the nucleons

$$\int_0^{z_m} dz \left[\frac{1}{z^4} (|f_{1L}|^2 + |f_{2L}|^2) \right] = \int_0^{z_m} dz \left[\frac{1}{z^4} (|f_{1R}|^2 + |f_{2R}|^2) \right] = 1 . \quad (62)$$

There is also an important parity property which is essential in practical manipulations of

formulas,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{1L} \\ f_{1R} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{2L} \\ f_{2R} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (63)$$

Having obtained all the necessary elements to find couplings between the nucleons N and the external sources encoded in (57), as well as the pions in $A_z = \pi(x)f(z)$, it is a tedious but straightforward calculation to read off those couplings from the action (60). Note that in our gauge the phase P of $X = \langle X \rangle e^{iP}$ also contains the pions $P = \pi(x)p(z)$ with $p(z)$ given in terms of $f(z)$ by (17),

$$\frac{1}{g_5^2} z \partial_z \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right) = \frac{2v^2}{z^2} p(z) , \quad (64)$$

which will also enter how pions couple to the nucleons.

The final result is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{N-external} = \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \mathcal{V}_\mu N + \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \mathcal{A}_\mu N + \bar{N} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} N , \quad (65)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\mu &= \int dz \frac{1}{z^4} (|f_{1L}|^2 + |f_{2L}|^2) V_\mu(z) - 2D \int dz \frac{1}{z^3} (|f_{1L}|^2 - |f_{2L}|^2) \partial_z V_\mu(z) , \\ \mathcal{A}_\mu &= \int dz \frac{1}{z^4} (|f_{1L}|^2 - |f_{2L}|^2) A_\mu(z) - 2D \int dz \frac{1}{z^3} (|f_{1L}|^2 + |f_{2L}|^2) \partial_z A_\mu(z) , \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} &= -2iD \int dz \frac{1}{z^3} (f_{1L} f_{2L}) F_{\mu\nu}^V(z) , \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

for how the nucleons couple to the external sources, and the axial pion-nucleon coupling is

$$\mathcal{L}_{N-\pi} = -\frac{g_A}{f_\pi} \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu \pi) N , \quad (67)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} g_A &= \frac{f_\pi}{m_N} \int dz \left[\frac{1}{z^4} (f_{1L} f_{2L}) f(z) + \frac{g}{2} \frac{v(z)}{z^5} (|f_{1L}|^2 + |f_{2L}|^2) p(z) \right] \\ &\quad - 2D f_\pi \int dz \frac{1}{z^3} (|f_{1L}|^2 + |f_{2L}|^2) f(z) . \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

For the previous popular parameters, we have $f_\pi = 0.084 \text{ GeV}$ and numerically

$$g_A = (0.33 + 1.02D) , \quad (69)$$

where D is in units of $(\text{GeV})^{-1}$. Note that in our convention of (33), $f(z) < 0$.

In the case of our neutron decay, we approximate the above by using only the leading term in small q^2 expansion, so that $A_\mu(z) \simeq A^L(z) A_{L\mu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} A^L(z) A_{L\mu}(0)$ and $V_\mu(z) \simeq V_\mu(0) = \frac{1}{2} A_{L\mu}(0)$, which simplifies the result as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} A_{L\mu}(0) , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = -iD \left(\int dz \frac{1}{z^3} f_{1L} f_{2L} \right) (F_L)_{\mu\nu}(0) , \\ \mathcal{A}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\int dz \frac{1}{z^4} (|f_{1L}|^2 - |f_{2L}|^2) A^L(z) - 2D \int dz \frac{1}{z^3} (|f_{1L}|^2 + |f_{2L}|^2) \partial_z A^L(z) \right] A_{L\mu}(0) . \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

We have to resort to numerical analysis from now. For the previous parameters, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2}A_{L\mu}(0) \quad , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = 0.24iD(F_L)_{\mu\nu}(0) \quad , \\ \mathcal{A}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2}(0.33 + 1.02D)A_{L\mu}(0) \quad ,\end{aligned}\tag{71}$$

where the numeric numbers in \mathcal{A}_μ is identical to g_A , reproducing the previous structure of the Sakai-Sugimoto model without quark mass. Although we can prove this identity analytically in the massless $m_q = 0$ limit, we think the present equality with a small but finite quark mass is simply numerical. We leave a further study on the quark mass dependence to the future.

As the tree-level pion-exchange contribution is given by (53) except the q^2 -pole replaced by m_π^2 , it is an order $\mathcal{O}(q^2)$ effect which is negligible, and our final effective interaction for the neutron beta decay reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{n}pe^- \bar{\nu}_e} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\bar{n}\gamma_\mu p) + \frac{1}{2}(0.33 + 1.02D)(\bar{n}\gamma_\mu\gamma^5 p) - (0.48D)q^\nu(\bar{n}\gamma_{\mu\nu}p) \right] (\bar{\nu}_L\gamma^\mu e_L).\tag{72}$$

The neutron beta decay rate depends linearly on the axial form factor at zero energy, i.e. g_A . In fact, g_A is calibrated using this process. Since the Sagai-Sugimoto model overpredicts g_A by about 2%, it would also underpredict the neutron half-life by the same ratio.

In order to estimate the prediction of the Hard/Soft Wall model, we need to fix D . In principle, this could be done by using the physical value of either the axial form factor or the weak magnetism form factor. In the Sagai-Sugimoto model, these two form factors are successfully predicted as can be seen in Eq. (55). In the case of Hard Wall model, we find a tension between the two, that is if we choose to fit $D \simeq 0.94$ by $g_A^{exp} = 1.27$, then the magnetic form factor is too small by 50%. There is however a chance for improving the bottom-up Hard Wall model, for which we hope to come back in the future [33].

(3) Parity non-conserving (PNC) pion-nucleon coupling

Our final example is something whose theoretical estimate has been difficult to obtain with other conventional tools. It is also a first non-trivial example which does not involve external leptons, so that the full aspect of our proposal should be used for its calculation.

We are interested in the parity-violating couplings of mesons, especially the pions, to the nucleons induced by weak-interactions. For an illustrative purpose, we focus here only on the charged pion-nucleon coupling from a W^\pm -exchange, and a more complete study of the problem will be presented elsewhere [33].

The procedure of applying (9) and (23) to first order in G_F starts by first solving, without G_F , the relevant normalizable modes for the particles involved in the process one is trying to study. In the case of our problem, these would be the nucleons N and the charged pions π^\pm , for which we have already done the necessary analysis in the previous sections. Some of these normalizable modes, such as mesons, come from the bulk gauge fields of chiral symmetry, and the right-hand side of (9) or (23) is non-vanishing for them in general. In the case of Sakai-Sugimoto model for example, every normalizable vector or axial-vector meson from A_μ has a

near UV boundary behavior $A_\mu \sim \frac{1}{Z}$, which is precisely what we need for the right-hand side of (9). For the pions, we have $A_Z \sim \frac{\pi(x)}{Z^2}$ which again contributes similarly. These modes are then accompanied by the induced boundary values in the left-hand side of (9) or (23), which would act just like external sources for the chiral currents. From studying how external sources couple to the other particles of interest, we can obtain the G_F -induced interactions between the original modes and the other particles.

It is the pion in our case which carries an induced external source by (9) or (23), and how this external source would couple to the nucleons is described in the previous sections. In the Sakai-Sugimoto model, the pions are sitting in (29)

$$A_Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\kappa}} \frac{1}{1+Z^2} \pi(x) \quad , \quad (73)$$

and from (9), this entails a source

$$A_{\mu(+\infty)} = -\frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{4\kappa}{\pi}} (\partial_\mu \pi) = -\frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} f_\pi (\partial_\mu \pi) \quad . \quad (74)$$

We have a similar result in the Hard/Soft Wall model by first observing that $A_z = f(z)\pi(x)$ with an expansion (33)

$$f(z) = -(g_5^2 f_\pi)z + \dots \quad , \quad (75)$$

so that the induced source becomes

$$A_{L\mu}(0) = \frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{g_5^2} (-g_5^2 f_\pi) (\partial_\mu \pi) = -\frac{8G_F}{\sqrt{2}} f_\pi (\partial_\mu \pi) \quad . \quad (76)$$

Once we find the induced source, we can come straight to our previous results of nucleon couplings to external sources; (46) and (47) for the Sakai-Sugimoto model and (65) and (66) for the Hard Wall model. As we may need a general momentum transfer q^μ between the nucleons and the pions, we should solve the necessary $A^T(q^2)$ profile function numerically for a given q^2 .

For the $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ limit however, we have a simplification as before to get

$$\mathcal{L}_{N-\pi}^{weak} = -2G_F f_\pi \left((\bar{p}\gamma^\mu n) (\partial_\mu \pi^+) + g_A \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) (\bar{p}\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 n) (\partial^\nu \pi^+) \right) + \text{h.c.} \quad , \quad (77)$$

for the Sakai-Sugimoto model, and similarly for the Hard Wall model we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{N-\pi}^{weak} = -2G_F f_\pi \left((\bar{p}\gamma^\mu n) (\partial_\mu \pi^+) + (0.33 + 1.02D) (\bar{p}\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 n) (\partial_\mu \pi^+) \right) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (78)$$

where we expand $\pi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pi^+ \sigma^- + \pi^- \sigma^+)$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in our case as the source is purely longitudinal. Only the first piece in the above corresponds to the parity non-conserving (PNC) coupling, while the second term is a small correction to the usual g_A . The first term is identical to the contribution of pion exchange to the PNC coupling, as achieved by current algebra and chiral perturbation theory [34]. Although the above pion-nucleon coupling in $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ limit is largely dictated by chiral algebra alone, its non-trivial q^2 -dependence when we consider finite q^2 is beyond the ability of the chiral algebra. Our framework can give predictions for that. Our method can also provide predictions for the coupling of other excited mesons such as ρ , ω , *etc*, whose details will be reported soon elsewhere [33].

DISCUSSION

We have outlined a proposal for including effective weak interactions in the framework of holographic QCD. By construction, this prescription should be applicable up to energies of a few GeV. In order to demonstrate the implementation of the prescription, we chose two specific models, the Sagai-Sugimoto and Hard/Soft Wall models. The use of our method in three low-energy processes, namely charged pion decay, neutron beta decay, and PNC nuclear-meson couplings, showed the usefulness and strength of our prescription.

Contrary to other theoretical tools to calculate such reactions, e.g. chiral perturbation theory, the current approach not only recovers the operator structure of previous methods, but also gives a quantitative estimate, up to about 10-20%, of the coupling constants. In addition, our weak-interacting holographic QCD can be extended to energies higher than the QCD scale, which is not assessable in other methods.

Of course, there are many things to be done to improve the model. We hope that our first step will stimulate further study and possible refinement of our present proposal.

Acknowledgments

We thank Institute for Nuclear Theory (INT) at the University of Washington for the program “From Strings to Things: String Theory Methods in QCD and Hadron Physics”, where this work was initiated, and H.U.Y. thanks the organizers for hospitality. D. G. is supported by DOE grant number DE-FG0200ER41132.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **113**, 843 (2005); *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **114**, 1083 (2006).
- [2] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 261602 (2005).
- [3] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, *Nucl. Phys. B* **721**, 79 (2005).
- [4] A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 015005 (2006).
- [5] K. Ghoroku, N. Maru, M. Tachibana and M. Yahiro, *Phys. Lett. B* **633**, 602 (2006).
- [6] H. Boschi-Filho and N. R. F. Braga, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **32**, 529 (2004); *JHEP* **0305**, 009 (2003).
- [7] T. Hambye, B. Hassanain, J. March-Russell and M. Schwelling, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 026003 (2006); *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 125017 (2007).
- [8] E. Witten, [arXiv:hep-th/0112258].
- [9] H. U. Yee, *Phys. Lett. B* **598**, 139 (2004).
- [10] D. Marolf and S. F. Ross, *JHEP* **0611**, 085 (2006).
- [11] J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, I. Kirsch and E. Threlfall, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **35**, 81 (2008).
- [12] D. K. Hong, T. Inami and H. U. Yee, *Phys. Lett. B* **646**, 165 (2007).

- [13] D. K. Hong, H. C. Kim, S. Siwach and H. U. Yee, JHEP **0711**, 036 (2007).
- [14] M. Bianchi, D. Z. Freedman and K. Skenderis, Nucl. Phys. B **631**, 159 (2002).
- [15] D. K. Hong, M. Rho, H. U. Yee and P. Yi, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 061901 (2007); JHEP **0709**, 063 (2007).
- [16] J. Park and P. Yi, JHEP **0806**, 011 (2008).
- [17] K. Nawa, H. Suganuma and T. Kojo, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 086003 (2007); Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **168**, 231 (2007).
- [18] H. Hata, T. Sakai, S. Sugimoto and S. Yamato, [arXiv:hep-th/0701280].
- [19] G. F. de Teramond and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 201601 (2005).
- [20] A. Pomarol and A. Wulzer, JHEP **0803**, 051 (2008); [arXiv:0807.0316 [hep-ph]].
- [21] H. Hata, M. Murata and S. Yamato, [arXiv:0803.0180 [hep-th]].
- [22] K. Hashimoto, T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, arXiv:0806.3122 [hep-th].
- [23] K. Y. Kim and I. Zahed, arXiv:0807.0033 [hep-th].
- [24] J. Polchinski and M. J. Strassler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 031601 (2002).
- [25] S. J. Brodsky and G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Lett. B **582**, 211 (2004); Phys. Rev. D **77**, 056007 (2008).
- [26] S. Hong, S. Yoon and M. J. Strassler, JHEP **0604**, 003 (2006).
- [27] H. R. Grigoryan and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 115007 (2007).
- [28] H. R. Grigoryan and A. V. Radyushkin, arXiv:0803.1143 [hep-ph].
- [29] D. K. Hong, M. Rho, H. U. Yee and P. Yi, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 014030 (2008).
- [30] J. D. Walecka, “Theoretical Nuclear and Subnuclear Physics”, Oxford Univ. Press, New York (1995).
- [31] O. Bergman, S. Seki and J. Sonnenschein, JHEP **0712**, 037 (2007); A. Dhar and P. Nag, JHEP **0801**, 055 (2008); R. Casero, E. Kiritsis and A. Paredes, Nucl. Phys. B **787**, 98 (2007).
- [32] O. Aharony and D. Kutasov, arXiv:0803.3547 [hep-th]; K. Hashimoto, T. Hirayama, F. L. Lin and H. U. Yee, arXiv:0803.4192 [hep-th].
- [33] D. Gazit and H. U. Yee, *work in progress*.
- [34] See M. J. Musolf and S. A. Page, hep-ph/0601127 (2006) for a recent review.
- [35] The “trace” here means the trace over $SU(N)$ color indices.
- [36] Note that our convention is $A^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^1 - iA^2)$ with $A = A^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$ for bulk gauge fields, while $J^+ = (J^1 - iJ^2)$ with $J^a = \bar{\psi} \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \psi$ for the boundary currents. Therefore, the source-operator coupling becomes $A^a J^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^+ J^- + A^- J^+)$ in our convention.
- [37] See however Ref.[28] for a 5D Chern-Simons term induced 3-point anomalous coupling.
- [38] We performed the shift $N_c \rightarrow N_c + 2$ for the coefficient of the last term that was argued in Ref.[15].
- [39] See Ref.[31, 32] for attempts to introduce quark mass in the Sakai-Sugimoto model.