

Correlation Analysis on Agronomic Characters in F₁ population derived from a cross of Pongsu Seribu 2 and MR 264

Nor'Aishah H. ¹, Abdul Rahim H. ², Mohd Rafii Y. ³, Norain M.N. ², Nur Izzah J. ⁵

¹Faculty of Applied Science, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

²Division of Agrotechnology and Bioprocess, Agency Nuclear Malaysia, Malaysia

³Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

⁵Faculty of Science Computer and Mathematic, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Abstract

Agronomic characters play a vital role in grain yield performance. However, the information related to the relationships between agronomic characters and grain yield in Malaysia are particularly very limited. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the correlation between grain yield performance with nine (n=9) agronomic characters namely plant height, panicle length, total grain number, filled grains per panicle, grain length, number of tillers, flag leaf area, flag leaf width and 1000-grains weight. Twenty (n=20) F₁ population derived from a cross of Pongsu Seribu 2 and MR 264 were used and were grown at Malaysian Nuclear Agency Greenhouse, Bangi. Result of correlation analysis revealed a positive and significant at ($p \leq 0.01$) relation between 1000-grains weight with total grains number ($r = 0.647$), filled grains per panicle ($r = 0.603$), grain length ($r = 0.834$), flag leaf area ($r = 0.701$) and flag leaf width ($r = 0.894$). However, the negative (inverse) correlation was observed between panicle length with total grain number, number of tiller and filled grain per panicle. Regression analysis revealed that flag leaf width (4.162) has highest direct effect on the yield followed by grain length (2.801), flag leaf area (0.048) and total grain no (0.07) respectively. Whereas, plant height (-0.05), plant length (-0.223), filled grains per panicle (-0.005) and number of tillers (-0.071) has indirect effect on the yield. This study indicated that selection based flag leaf width and grain length will be highly effective for yield improvement can be consider in selection of breeding lines and highly effective for yield improvement in rice breeding program.

Keywords: Agronomic characters, correlation, MR 264, Pongsu Seribu 2, Yield performance

Abstrak

Ciri-ciri agronomi memainkan peranan yang penting dalam prestasi hasil bijirin. Walau bagaimanapun, maklumat yang berkaitan dengan hubungan antara ciri-ciri agronomi dan hasil bijirin di Malaysia terutamanya sangat terhad. Oleh itu, kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menentukan korelasi antara prestasi hasil bijirin dengan sembilan (n=9) ciri-ciri agronomi iaitu tinggi pokok, panjang panikel, bilangan biji, bilangan biji per tangkai, panjang biji, bilangan anak, luas daun pengasuh, lebar daun pengasuh dan berat 1000 biji. Dua puluh (n=20) populasi F₁ berasal dari kacukan Pongsu Seribu 2 dan MR 264 telah digunakan dan ditanam di rumah hijau, Agensi Nuklear Malaysia, Bangi. Keputusan analisis korelasi menunjukkan hubungan yang positif dan signifikan pada ($p \leq 0.01$) hubungan antara berat 1000-biji dengan jumlah bilangan biji ($r = 0.647$), biji penuh per tangkai ($r = 0.603$), panjang biji ($r = 0.834$), luas daun pengasuh ($r = 0.701$) dan lebar daun pengasuh ($r = 0.894$). Walau bagaimanapun korelasi negatif (songsang) diperhatikan antara panjang panikel dengan jumlah biji, bilangan anak padi dan biji penuh per panikel. Analisis regresi menunjukkan bahawa lebar daun pengasuh (4.162) mempunyai kesan langsung tertinggi ke atas hasil diikuti dengan panjang biji (2,801), luas daun pengasuh (0.048) dan jumlah bilangan biji (0.07). Manakala, ketinggian pokok (-0.05), panjang tumbuhan (-0,223), biji penuh per tangkai (-0,005) dan bilangan anak padi (-0,071) mempunyai kesan tidak langsung kepada hasil. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pemilihan berdasarkan lebar daun pengasuh dan panjang bijirin amat berkesan untuk peningkatan hasil boleh dipertimbangkan dalam pemilihan warisan baka dan amat berkesan untuk peningkatan hasil dalam program pembiakbakaan padi

Introduction

Rice is an important crop for more than one third of the world's population (Helliwell and Yang, 2013). In Malaysia, the production of rice increase approximately to 2 millions tons and is expected to increase gradually with the increasing number of population. Currently, Malaysia still largely depends on imported rice to meet the domestic consumer's demand. And there is a growing concern that the current level of production will not meet future demands. In view of the increasing of rice production, improvement of seed yield is the main target of breeders to provide information about the cause and effect relationship between the agronomic characters (Eidi kohnaki *et al.*, 2013).

Crop improvement depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which desirable characters are inherited. Genetic variation among traits is important for breeding and in selecting desirable types. Selection is more effective when all the information including the agronomic character associated with yield are considered (Aris *et al.*, 2013). Agronomic characters consists of many different traits including the plant height, strong culms, tillering, short and erect leaves, large and compact panicles, and early maturation (Paterson *et al.* 2005). Most of agronomical traits are controlled by multiple genes each of which is positively or negatively effective in the emergence of final traits in phenotypes. According to Smith and Dilday, 2003, tillering is the key component in agronomic characters because it determine the panicle number as well as the grains yield. However studies by Seyed, 2011 reported that the prime characters

for grain quality depends on the grain length, width, shape, and its weight. It is believed that these characters have a direct effect on the marketability and commercial success of modern rice cultivars. Under this context, more innovative research and technological advancement is essential to analyze the direct and indirect effect of the agronomic characters. In such situation, correlation and path coefficient analysis could be used as an important tool to bring information about the relationship of agronomic characters in rice. Generally, correlation coefficient analysis demonstrated the relationship among interdependent characteristics and the degree of linear relation between these characteristics. However, path coefficient analysis is more clarifying in separating the two traits into the components which measure the direct and indirect effect (Seyed, 2011). Breeders have extensively used path coefficient analysis to explain direct and indirect effect in different crop species. A number of studies have successfully used the information of path coefficient analysis to improve seed yield as observed in Bhadru *et al.*, 2011 using 93 rice genotypes including both parents and progeny reported the significant positive association of yield with phenotypic and genotypic levels. Seyom *et al.*, 2012 reported that significant correlation between grain per panicle with grain yield in 14 rice genotypes. Therefore, the present study was carried out to investigate the relationships between agronomic characters between traits and to determine the direct and indirect effect of effective traits on grain yield. It is hoped that the result of this study will serve as a basic information in which may be used as selection criteria in a rice breeding program.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

A total of 20 F₁ seeds from a cross between Pongsu Seribu 2 and MR 264 were collected from Agrotechnology and Bioprocess Division, Malaysian Nuclear Agency, Bangi, Malaysia. Pongsu Seribu 2 was a resistant variety while MR 264 was a susceptible rice cultivar.

Experimental layout and measurement of variables

Seeds were pre-germinated by soaking in water at 25°C for 48h before they were grown in the greenhouse. Seedlings of each line were transplanted separately into pots in the greenhouse. Measurements of variables were taken at the three main life history stages. The morphological characteristics measured included plant height, total grain number, filled grains per panicle, area of flag leaf, number of tillers, panicle per plant, panicle length, 1000-grain weight and grain length (**Table 1**). Average values from different characters were determined from each type. Measurements of rice characters referred to the standard evaluation system for rice (IRRI, 1996).

Data Analysis

Relationship between agronomic characters was analyzed using simple correlation analysis. Analysis was based on average data in each environment. Simple correlation analysis was computed using computer software package of SPSS version 16 statistical package.

Table 1. Agronomic characters measured during life-history stages of plant

Agronomic characters measured	Method of measurement
Plant height	Height from the base of the plant (soil line) to the tip of panicles of the main tillers at first flowering
Panicles length	Number of panicles length per individual at maturity
Total Grain Number	Total seeds produced from panicles
Filled grains per panicles	Number of seeds filled per panicles
Grain length	Length of each seed produced from plant
No. of tillers	Number of tillers determined at first flowering for each individual
Flag leaf length	Length of leaf blade of the main tillers at first flowering
Flag leaf width	Width of leaf blade of the main tillers at first flowering
1000-grains weight	Weight of 1000 seeds per plant

Results and Discussions

Interrelationship between yields and its component plays a vital role in providing an information to breeder on the process of selection of yield contributing traits in a plants. In present study, the relationship between yield performance and nine agronomic characters were analyzed in twenty of F₁ lines. F₁ lines derived from a cross between a resistant cultivar, Pongsu Seribu 2 and a susceptible cultivar, MR 264. Results of the descriptive statistic were shown in Table 2. According to the result, maximum standard deviation belonged to total grain number (84.97) followed by filled grain per panicle (69.26) and plant height (18.43). Generally, all the agronomic characters demonstrated more phenotypic variation exclude the plant height which had less variation and more consistent.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics in twenty F₁ rice lines for some agronomic characters

Characters	Range	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of variation (%)
PH	41 – 98	66.53	18.43	27.70
PL	17.5 – 37	25.43	4.13	16.24
TGN	0 – 382	250.65	84.97	33.90
FGP	0 – 283	180.55	69.26	38.36
GL	0 – 3.5	2.35	0.75	31.91
NOT	5 – 25	13.70	5.55	40.51
FL	0 – 75	52.25	17.24	33.00
FW	0 – 2.4	1.91	0.52	27.23
TGW	0 – 19.8	16.52	4.17	25.24

PH = Plant height (cm), PL = Panicle length (cm), TGN = Total Grain Number, FGP = filled grains per panicle, GL = Grain length, NOT = No. of Tillers, FL = Flag leaf Area, FW = Flag leaf width, TGW = 1000-grains weight (g)

Selection of the yield contributing characters simultaneously will improve the grains yield in subsequent segregation population (Eidi kohnaki *et al.*, 2013). Hence, the correlation analysis is therefore necessary to determine the direction of selection and the number of characteristics need to be considered in improving grain yield. Result in Table 3 showed that there was a positive and highly significant correlation between total grains weight with total grain number ($r = 0.647$), filled grains per panicle ($r = 0.603$), grain length ($r = 0.834$), flag leaf length ($r = 0.701$) and flag leaf width ($r = 0.894$) at ($p \leq 0.01$). Among the characters, flag leaf area give the highest correlation coefficient value. This is because the flag leaf is the most important site of photosynthesis for supplying carbon to grains (Cook and Evans 1983), and the degree of senescence of this leaf is statistically will increased the yield grains production. Findings indicated that all the characters will be considered in simultaneous selection on enhancing the yield for next population. Similar findings also observed in the study made by Gulzar *et al.* (2012) for total grains number and Seyed *et al.*, 2013 for flag leaf length and width. However, panicle length and number of tillers demonstrated that there is no relationship with total grain weight with ($r = 0.177$ and $r = 0.213$), respectively. It seems that both criterion will not give any attribute on total grain weight for the plant.

Table 3. Correlation coefficients among agronomic characters in twenty F₁ rice lines

Characters	PH	PL	TGN	FGP	GL	NOT	FL	FW	TGW
PH	1								
PL	0.104	1							
TGN	0.430	-0.076	1						
FGP	0.479*	-0.02	0.896**	1					
GL	0.743**	0.310	0.609**	0.575**	1				
NOT	-0.134	-0.527*	0.406	0.202	0.06	1			
FL	0.276	0.558*	0.484*	0.489*	0.618**	-0.139	1		
FW	0.462*	0.221	0.554*	0.553*	0.769**	0.274	0.641**	1	
TGW	0.461*	0.177	0.647**	0.603**	0.834**	0.213	0.701**	0.894**	1

* and ** , significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

PH = Plant height (cm), PL = Panicle length (cm), TGN = Total Grain Number, FGP = filled grains per panicle, GL = Grain length, NOT = No. of Tillers, FL = Flag leaf Area, FW = Flag leaf width, TGW = 1000-grains weight (g)

Table 4. Coefficient of Determination among agronomic characters in twenty F₁ rice lines

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.952	0.907	0.840	1.6712

- a. Predictors: (Constant), Plant height, Panicle length, Total Grain No, Filled grains per panicle, Grain length, No. of Tillers, Flag leaf Area, Flag leaf width
 b. Dependent Variable: Total grain weight

Table 5. Regression model in twenty F₁ rice lines

Model	(Coefficient) B	Sig.
(Constant)	8.570	.070
Plant Height	-0.050	.201
Plant Length	-0.223	.149
Total Grain No	0.007	.635
Filled Grains per Panicle	-0.005	.758
Grain Length	2.801	.059
No of Tillers	-0.071	.596
Flag Leaf Area	0.048	.280
Flag Leaf Width	4.162	.024

a. Dependent Variable: Total grain weight

$$\hat{y} = 8.570 - 0.050 \text{ Plant Height} - 0.223 \text{ Plant Length} + 0.007 \text{ Total Grain No} - 0.005 \text{ Filled Grains per Panicle} + 2.801 \text{ Grain Length} - 0.071 \text{ No of Tillers} + 0.048 \text{ Flag Leaf Area} + 4.162 \text{ Flag Leaf Width}$$

Based on the Table 4, adjusted R square value (0.840) indicates that relationship with plant height, panicle length, total grain number, filled grains per panicle, grain length, number of tillers, flag leaf area and flag leaf width explained 84 percent of the variance in total grains weight. Regression analysis revealed that flag leaf width (4.162) has highest direct effect on the yield followed by grain length (2.801), flag leaf area (0.048) and total grain no (0.07) respectively. Positive direct effect indicates that these traits were more contribute towards grain yields in this rice lines. Whereas, plant height (-0.05), plant length (-0.223), filled grains per panicle (-0.005) and number of tillers (-0.071) has indirect effect on the yield.

Conclusion

Characters with positive correlation on total grain weight included total grains weight with total grain number filled grains per panicle, grain length, flag leaf length and flag leaf width. Panicle length and number of tiller had no relationship on total grain weight. Both correlation and regression analysis, shows that flag leaf width and grain length will be highly effective for yield improvement can be consider in selection of breeding lines.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Universiti Teknologi MARA and Malaysian Nuclear Agency for the facilities, support and financial aids during the research work. Research was supported by ScFund MOSTI (06-03-01-SF0110) and RAGS (600-RMI/RAGS 5/3 (189/2013).

References

1. Aris, H., Bambang, K., Supartopo, and Suwarno. 2010. Correlation analysis of agronomic characters and grain yield of rice for tidal swamp areas. *Indonesia Journal of Agriculture Science*, 11(1): 11-15
2. Bhadru, D. Lokanadha, R. D. And Ramesha, M.S. 2011. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield contributing traits in rice hybrids and their parental lines, *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 2(1):112-116
3. Evans JR (1983) Nitrogen and photosynthesis in the flag leaf of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Physiol.*, 72:297-302
4. Gulzar, S.S. and Subash C.K. 2012. Genetic parameters and selection indices in F₃ progenies of Hill rice genotypes, *Notulae Scientia Biologica*, 4(2): 124-127.
5. Helliwell, E.E. and Yang, Y. 2013. Molecular Strategies to Improve Rice Disease Resistance. *Rice Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology*, 956: 285-304
6. IRRI. 1996. Standard Evaluation System for Rice (SES). IRRI, Los Banos, the Philippines.
7. Kiani, G.H. and Nematzadeh, G.A. 2012. Correlation and path coefficient studies in F₂ population of rice, *Notulae Scientia Biologica*, 4(2):124-127
8. Mostafa, E. Kohnaki, Ghaffar, K. And Ghorbanali, N. 2013. Relationships between morphological traits in rice restorer lines at F₃ generation using multivariate analysis, *International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 1(6):572-577
9. Paterson, A.H., Freeling, M. and Sasaki, T. 2005. Grains of knowledge:genomics of model cereals. *Genome Res.*, 15: 1643-1650.
10. Seyed, M.S. 2011. Heritability, phenotypic correlation and path coefficient studies for some agronomic characters in landrace rice varieties, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 13(5): 1229-1233
11. Smith, C.W and Dilday, R.H. 2003. Rice: Origin, history, technology, and production, 1st ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.